



**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department**

**Integrated water resources management (IWRM) using
GIS**

A Thesis Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of the
Master Degree
Civil Engineering - Irrigation & Hydraulics [2010]

By
Eng. Eman Wasfy Zakaria M. Bayoumy
B.Sc. Civil Engineering - Irrigation & Hydraulics [2002]

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Iman Mahmoud EL-Azizy
Professor of Hydraulics
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Hoda Kamal Soussa
Associate Professor
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Cairo, Egypt
2010

Integrated water resources management (IWRM) using GIS

By

Eng. Eman Wasfy Zakaria M. Bayoumy

A thesis submitted for the partial fulfillment of the
Master degree

Examiners Committee

Name		Signature
Prof. Dr. Ashraf El-Sayed Ismail	Deputy Director of Drainage Research Institute
Prof. Dr. Aly Nabeah El-Bahrawy	Professor of Hydraulics Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University
Prof. Dr. Iman Mahmoud EL- Azizy	Professor of Hydraulics Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University
Dr. Hoda Kamal Soussa	Associate Professor Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

Cairo, Egypt
2010

TITLE SHEET

Name: Eman Wasfy Zakaria M. Bayoumy

Degree : Master

Department : Irrigation and Hydraulics

Faculty : Engineering

University : Ain Shams

Graduation Year : 2002

Degree Granting Year : 2010

THE AUTHOR

Name: Eman Wasfy Zakaria M. Bayoumy

Date of birth: Feb-15-1980

Place of birth: Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Scientific Degrees: B.Sc. Civil Engineering – Public works,
June-2002

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to the Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University in the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master in Civil Engineering.

The work in this thesis was carried out in the Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University from 2004 to 2010.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Date:

Signature:

Name: Eman Wasfy Zakaria M. Bayoumy

Abstract

The view that wetlands are wastelands, resulting from ignorance or misunderstanding of the value of the goods and services available, has led to their conversion to intensive agricultural, industrial or residential uses. Wetlands may also be lost by pollution, waste disposal, mining or groundwater. Therefore there is a need to conserve and manage wetlands to retain their importance and their economic values.

The aim of this study is to develop an environmental assessment and conservation of wetland using GIS, and understanding the impact of human interventions on wetlands loss, pollution and degradation.

Assessment of wetland requires assessment of the activities take place both within a wetland and in the surrounding catchments. One of the most important assessment factors is the allocation of sufficient water of an adequate quality to maintain the desired wetland functions.

This thesis takes Burullus lagoon as a case study. Burullus is the second largest lake and most productive wetland in the Egyptian Nile Delta and considered among the most important wetlands in the Mediterranean region, also classified from Ramsar as one of wetlands of international Importance. Burullus lagoon is facing problems due to increasing pollution pressure on it.

The spatial distribution of water quality parameters were largely mapped by geostatistical methods, comparing the values with the water quality guidelines help to determine the severe parameter and their pollution impacts on wetlands ecosystem. The use of the GIS provides a successful method to visualize the reality. It helps to better understand the system relations and therefore improve the decision making process.

The analysis manifested that the eastern and southern parts of the lake has high values than the western part for most of the quality parameters (TS,EC, TDS, Total Hardness, Ca, Mg, Cl, Na, So₄, COD, and Cu) , this is due to agricultural runoff, leaching of soil contamination as most of agriculture lands located on the east side of the lagoon and due to point source water pollution discharge from industrial as the industrial zone located at the south.

It can be concluded that lake suffers from degradation in water quality as it highly affected by the drainage water, this is also gives a justification for the trend change between 2002 and 2008. This degradation in water quality could cause most types of wetland pollution (eutriphication, acidity, salinization, sedimentation...ect). This situation is completely not suitable for a conserved area like Burullus lake.

As a result for this fact, control action need to be taken to insure that the drainage are compliance with the guideline values in order to keep the lake safe, and to preserve the socioeconomic and ecological benefits of the lake water that could bring in particular to the inhabitants of the catchment and the potential positive impacts on the country in general.

Keywords:

Wetland, GIS, geostatistical analysis, water pollution.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors **Dr. Iman Elazizy**, Professor of Hydraulics, Ain Shams University, for her continuous guidance, expert advice and valuable suggestions that greatly enriched this work.

I am deeply grateful to **Dr. Hoda Soussa**, Associate Professor of Hydraulics, Ain Shams University, for all her support in my entire study, sincere advice and encouragement.

Special thanks to IHE for their help, Dr. Sherif M. El-Sayed, Eng. Amal Azab, who facilitate collecting the data, providing me with the needed field equipment and facilitate the variables lab analysis in the IHE laboratory, Eng. Al- Sayed Ibrahim who provides me with intensive data about Burullus lagoon (Area description and 2002 lagoon water quality data), and all people who joined me in my field trip and helped me in collecting the data.

Finally my sincerest gratitude goes to my parents for their prayer and continuous support.

CONTENTS

Table of Contents	I
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1 Problem statement	1-1
1.2 Research aim and objectives	1-1
1.2.1 Aim	1-1
1.2.2 Objectives	1-2
1.3 Research methodology	1-3
1.4 Research structure	1-4
Chapter 2: Literature Review	
2.1 Wetland definition and terminology	2-1
2.2 Wetland classification	2-2
2.3 Wetland benefits	2-4
2.4 Wetland structure	2-7
2.5 Wetland management	2-9
2.6 Water quality parameters	2-12
2.7 Previous studies	2-20
Chapter 3: Area Description	
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Climate	3-3
3.3 Hydrology	3-4
3.3.1 Burullus lagoon system	3-4
3.3.2 Lagoon water	3-5
3.3.3 Tides and Tidal inlet	3-5

3.3.4 Sand barrier	3-6
3.3.5 Groundwater inflow and outflow	3-6
3.3.6 Drainage system into the lagoon	3-6
3.4 Physical condition	3-10
3.4.1 Waves	3-10
3.4.2 Wind	3-10
3.4.3 Erosion/accretion of Burullus lagoon shoreline	3-10
3.5 Ecosystem	3-11
3.5.1 Vegetation	3-12
3.5.2 Flora (Phytoplankton)	3-13
3.5.3 Fauna (Zooplankton)	3-13
3.5.4 Fish	3-13
3.5.5 Birds	3-13
3.6 Socio-economy	3-14
3.6.1 Population	3-14
3.6.2 Human activity	3-15
3.6.2.1 Agriculture	3-15
3.6.2.2 Tourism	3-17
3.6.2.3 Fishery	3-17
3.6.2.4 Bird hunting	3-18
3.6.2.5 Fish farm	3-18
3.6.2.6 Industry	3-19
3.7 Human uses	3-20
3.8 Management authority (Stakeholder)	3-21

Chapter 4: Research Methodology

4.1 Sampling plan	4-1
4.2 Field campaign	4-2
4.3 Laboratory analysis	4-8
4.4 Data analysis	4-9
4.4.1 Statistical analysis	4-9
4.4.2 Geostatistical analysis	4-17

Chapter 5: Data Analysis

5.1: Analysis for 2002 collected data	5-1
5.1.1 Explore samples data	5-1
5.1.2 Statistical analysis	5-2
5.1.3 Correlation analysis	5-4
5.1.4 Severity analysis	5-6
5.1.4.1 Australian guideline for recreational area	5-6
5.1.4.2 Russian guideline for fisheries and aquatic life	5-9
5.1.5 Geostatistical analysis	5-12
5.2: Analysis for 2008 collected data	5-19
5.2.1 Explore samples data	5-19
5.2.2 Statistical analysis	5-20
5.2.3 Correlation analysis	5-22
5.2.4 Severity analysis	5-24
5.2.4.1 Australian guideline for recreational area	5-24
5.2.4.2 Russian guideline for fisheries and aquatic life	5-27
5.2.5 Geostatistical analysis	5-30
5.3: Water quality final assessment	5-36

Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusions 6-1

6.2 Recommendations and future work 6-3

References R-1

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2-1	Minimum variables in assessment programmes 2-15
Table 2-2	Water quality variables for the WHO-GEMS monitoring programme 2-17
Table 2-3	Selected water quality parameters for the thesis 2-19
Table 3-1	Mean monthly and annual rainfall (mm) on protected area for 1912-1992 with the volume (in 106 m ³) of water added to the lake 3-3
Table 3-2	Estimated average daily (mm) and monthly (mm/month) evaporation and monthly volume (million m ³) of water loss from lake Burullus 3-3
Table 3-3	Mean monthly air temperature (c ⁰) and relative humidity (R.H.%) at lake Burullus 3-3
Table 3-4	Average water quality in the drainage for 2000/2001 3-8
Table 3-5	Average water qualities in the drainage for 2001/2002 3-9
Table 3-6	Demographic composition of El-Burullus District in 1000 individuals and % of illiteracy for age group 10 years old or more 3-14
Table 3-7	Data on labor force, unemployment Fishing and hunting are including in agriculture 3-14

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

	Page	
Table 4-1	Field trip activities checklist	4-2
Table 4-2	Field measured parameters and used equipments	4-4
Table 4-3	listed parameters for laboratory analysis	4-8
Table 4-4	One sample test table	4-16
Table 5-1	Lake Burullus 2002 data	5-1
Table 5-2	2002 descriptive analysis	5-2
Table 5-3	2002 correlation analysis	5-5
Table 5-4	2002 severity analysis for Australian guideline	5-6
Table 5-5	2002 data exceed Australian guideline	5-7
Table 5-6	2002 severity analysis for Russian guideline	5-9
Table 5-7	2002 data exceed Russian guideline	5-10
Table 5-8	Lake Burullus 2008 data	5-19
Table 5-9	2008 descriptive analysis	5-20
Table 5-10	2008 correlation analysis	5-23
Table 5-11	2008 severity analysis for Australian guideline	5-24
Table 5-12	2008 data exceed Australian guideline	5-25

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

	Page	
Table 5-13	2008 severity analysis for Russian guideline	5-27
Table 5-14	2008 data exceed Russian guideline	5-28
Table 5-15	2002 & 2008 descriptive analysis comparison	5-36
Table 5-16	2002 & 2008 pH data	5-37
Table 5-17	The numerical ranges and the crosspending eutrophication status	5-65
Table 5-18	Eutrophication status for the lake zones	5-66