

# **Minimal invasive Plate Osteosynthesis in treatment of fracture Humerus**

Essay submitted for fulfilment of the requirements for the  
Master degree in Orthopaedic Surgery

By

***Dr.Hany Ahmed Elsayed Ahmed***  
*M.B.B.Ch*

*Under supervision of*

**Prof. Dr. Hazem Abd El Azeem**

Prof. of orthopaedic surgery  
Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Morrah**

Prof. of orthopaedic surgery  
Cairo University

**Dr. Ashraf Nihad Moharram**

Assist. Prof. of orthopaedic surgery  
Cairo University

Cairo University 2009

## **ABSTRACT**

The development of major wound and soft tissue complications with long bone fracture arouse the idea of minimally invasive methods in management of these fractures. These methods improve the outcome and reduce the incidence of wound complications. This essay presents and discusses the recent trends of minimal invasive techniques in treatment of fractures of the humerus.

**Key Words:** Humerus—minimally invasive- internal fixation – plate

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I am deeply grateful for the many people who have encouraged me and have shown me support throughout this research project, my graduate studies and my life. First and foremost, I would like to thank God, for I owe my very life to him. Lord, without you in my life, I would certainly be nothing. Thank you for your love and grace.

I am extremely grateful to my supervisors. I would like to express my sincere thanks and deep gratitude and respect to ***Prof. Dr. Hazem Abd El Azeem***, Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his great support, kind guidance, meticulous revision and encouragement in performing this work.

I also wish to express my extreme appreciation and gratitude to ***Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Morrah*** , Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery , Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his faithful supervision, constructive guidance and real interest in the progress of this work.

I am also very thankful to ***Dr. Ashraf Nihad Moharram***, Assistant Professor of Orthopaedic surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, who contributed to this work, and provided me with the technical support I needed much.

I owe countless thanks to my family, as they have supported me throughout life. Mom and dad, thank you for teaching me to always strive for excellence. I hope it shows through in this project. I dedicate this project to you. It is my hope that I have been a good example for you as you strive for excellence throughout your lives.

At last but not least, I would like to express my profound gratitude and love to my seniors and colleagues.

# CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledge.....	ii
Contents.....	iii
List of Figures.....	v
List of Table.....	vii
List of Abbreviations.....	viii

<b>1.Introduction of fracture Humerus.....</b>	<b>1</b>
--	----------

<b>2.Anatomy of the Humerus .....</b>	<b>4</b>
---------------------------------------	----------

<b>3. Classification of fracture Humerus .....</b>	<b>31</b>
--	-----------

<b>4. Locked plate .....</b>	<b>35</b>
------------------------------	-----------

<b>5. Advantages, Indications and complicationsOf the MIP0...</b>	<b>54</b>
---	-----------

<b>6.Minimally invasive plating for treatment of proximal humeral fractures .....</b>	<b>60</b>
---	-----------

<b>7.Minimally invasive plating for treatment of shaft humeral fractures.....</b>	<b>76</b>
---	-----------

<b>8.Minimally invasive plating for treatment complex humeral shaft fractures.....</b>	<b>85</b>
--	-----------

<b>9. Minimally invasive plating for treatment Fractures of the distal third of the Humerus with palsy of the radial nerve.....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>10.Summary.....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>11.References.....</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>12.Arabic summary.....</b>	<b>127</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure 1:</b> Proximal end of the humerus.....	5
<b>Figure 2:</b> Humerus.....	9
<b>Figure 3:</b> Distal end of the humerus .....	11
<b>Figure 4:</b> Biceps brachii and brachialis muscles.....	13
<b>Figure 5:</b> Triceps muscle.....	16
<b>Figure 6:</b> Brachial artery.....	18
<b>Figure 7:</b> Brachial artery ( Branches).....	20
<b>Figure 8:</b> Veins of the arm.....	22
<b>Figure 9:</b> Musculocutaneous, median, and ulnar nerves in the arm.....	25
<b>Figure 10:</b> Radial nerve in the arm.....	28
<b>Figure 11:</b> Radiograph of the humerus demonstrating a mid-shaft fracture, which may disrupt the radial nerve.....	30
<b>Figure 12:</b> Neer classification of fractures to the proximal humerus.....	32
<b>Figure 13:</b> AO classification of humeral diaphyseal fractures.....	34
<b>Figure 14:</b> The forces that must be overcome by any method of fracture fixation. ....	36
<b>Figure 15:</b> : Axial force is countered during compression plate fixation by the product of A (the normal force provided by screw torque) and B (the coefficient of friction between the plate and bone).....	37
<b>Figure 16:</b> Schuhl nuts turn a conventional dynamic compression plate into a fixed-angle device. ....	40
<b>Figure 17:</b> Locked screws are used when a bridge-plate technique is applied to span an area of comminution. ....	42
<b>Figure 18:</b> Deformation of the screw-hole track after application of a three-point-bending load to failure. ....	44
<b>Figure 19:</b> Guidelines for the appropriate use of standard or locked plates, or plates combining.....	47
<b>Figure 20:</b> A seventy-four-year-old man sustained a proximal humeral fracture in a fall. Fig.A Initial fixation with a proximal humeral locking plate permitted early pendulum exercises. Fig.B The patient returned to the clinic at six weeks with pain in the right...52 shoulder. Radiographs demonstrated loss of fixation in the proximal part of the humerus.	52
<b>Figure 21:</b> The patient is bumped under the affected shoulder blade, and the fluoroscopic C-arm machine is brought from the opposite side of the radiolucent table to obtain AP (A) and transcapular (B) views of the proximal Humerus.....	61
<b>Figure 22:</b> Proximal incision.....	62
<b>Figure 23:</b> A retractor, such as a cobra-type retractor, placed anterior to the proximal segment can help reduce the fracture.....	64
<b>Figure 24:</b> For unstable fractures, a provisional K-wire placed anteriorly in the distal fragment and advanced posteriorly into the humeral head can hold the reduction until the fracture is stabilized with the plate and screw construct.....	64
<b>Figure 25:</b> Distal incision.....	65
<b>Figure 26:</b> : Tunnel preparation.....	67
<b>Figure 27:</b> Plate insertion .....	68
<b>Figure 28:</b> Plate Fixation.....	69
<b>Figure 29:</b> Screw hole pattern of the fixation plate.....	70

<b>Figure 30:</b> (anteroposterior and transscapular) of an A2 fracture according to the AO classification preoperatively (A) and 3 weeks postoperatively (B). The plate was applied in the locked modus. The fracture is not yet fully healed.....	71
<b>Figure 31:</b> Anterior-posterior view of 2-part surgical neck fracture treated with PHILOS (A). Distal plate and screw pull out and failure due to persistent nonunion (B), patient underwent revision surgery with a longer plate.....	72
<b>Figure 32:</b> AP radiographs of a Neer valgus impacted three-part fracture (A), postoperative (B), 3-year follow-up (C).....	74
<b>Figure 33:</b> The distal incision of 2–3 cm was made between the brachioradialis and brachialis muscles .....	78
<b>Figure 34:</b> Epiperiosteal surfer.....	80
<b>Figure 35:</b> Illustration of the procedure (lateral approach).....	82
<b>Figure 36:</b> During the exploration in a patient with preoperative radial nerve palsy, the radial nerve was found between the brachialis and the brachioradialis in the distal humerus. The radial nerve was separated from the plate and well protected by the brachialis with the forearm in a full supination position.....	86
<b>Figure 37:</b> a) AP radiographs immediately postoperation b) We protect the good function of the radial nerve(c).....	88
<b>Figure 38:</b> A 12-C3 fracture of right humerus in a 60-year-old male <b>B</b> postoperative radiographs <b>C</b> bony union achieved 12 weeks after operation .....	91
<b>Figure 39:</b> A 12-C1 fracture of right humerus in a 36-year-old male <b>B</b> postoperative radiographs <b>C</b> bony union achieved 14 weeks after operation <b>D, E, F</b> , excellent shoulder and elbow function at the end of 25th postoperative month.....	92
<b>Figure 40:</b> Photograph of the radial nerve tethered by a distal bone fragment.....	99
<b>Figure 41:</b> Photograph of the three incisions .....	101
<b>Figure 42:</b> Photograph of the radial nerve (arrow) and its exit from the intermuscular septum.....	103
<b>Figure 43:</b> ) Pre-operative and b) immediate post-operative radiographs.....	104

## **LIST OF TABLE**

- Table 1: Specific indications for different techniques of locked plates.....46
- Table 2: Result of proximal humeral fracture by MIPO technique .
- Table 3: Result of humeral shaft fracture by MIPO technique .
- Table 4: Result of complex humeral fracture by MIPO technique .
- Table 5: Result of distal humeral fracture by MIPO technique .



## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

AO: Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Osteosynthesefragen

DCP: dynamic compression plate

DCS: dynamic condylar screw.

K-wires: kirschner wires

LC: Locked Plates

LCP: less contact plate

LISS: Less Invasive Stabilization System.

MIPO: minimally invasive plate Osteosynthesis

ORIF: open reduction and internal fixation.

PC-Fix : percutaneous fixation

NBC-PH: Non-Contact-Bridging Plate to the Proximal Humerus.

### Introduction

Most humeral shaft fractures can be successfully treated by nonoperative methods. The indications for operative treatment include unacceptable alignment after closed reduction, multiple injuries, radial nerve palsy after manipulation, bilateral humeral fractures and open fractures. Compression plate fixation, which is a widely accepted operative method gives a high union rate and allows early active motion of the joints, however, is technically demanding and requires extensive surgical dissection with risk of injury to the radial nerve.<sup>1</sup>

An interlocking intramedullary nail has been reported to produce satisfactory results with less soft tissue injury, relatively percutaneous insertion as well as biomechanical advantages; however its entry may lead to rotator cuff tear and proximal the nail may creat shoulder impingement and decrease shoulder abduction and distally may lead to problem of elbow extention.<sup>2</sup>

## *Introduction*

---

As a result of technical advancement, minimally invasive plate Osteosynthesis (MIPO) has gained popularity in recent years with satisfactory clinical outcomes. The plate is inserted by a percutaneous approach with separate proximal and distal incisions. This method requires less soft tissue disruption and preserves the fracture haematoma and blood supply to the bone fragments, although percutaneous plate insertion in humeral shaft fractures seems to be a dangerous procedure regarding radial nerve injury.<sup>3,4</sup>

Four conventional surgical approaches to the humeral shaft have been described: posterior, anterolateral, anterior and anteromedial. Open plate fixation has generally utilized two approaches: anterolateral and posterior.

The anterolateral approach is suitable for proximal and middle third fractures, whereas distal third fractures are best treated using the posterior approach. The anteromedial approach is less useful because of intervening neurovascular structures. The anterior approach is rarely used. However, the radial nerve does not cross the anterior aspect of the humerus, hence the anterior approach to the humerus carries the least risk of injury to the radial nerve.<sup>5,6</sup>

## *Introduction*

---

Osteosynthetic procedures with new implants designed for better implant anchorage even in osteopenic/ osteoporotic bone were developed. There are two different design philosophies within the latest developed implants. One design group aims to provide maximum stability, referred to as “rigids”. Rigid implants perform ideally in patients with good bone quality. The other promotes semi-rigid (“elastic”) fixation. These semi-rigid implants are designed to allow some motion and thereby decrease the forces acting upon the bone metal interface during strain. On decreased bone quality, a rigid implant might fail due to insufficiency of the bone metal interface, whereas the semi-rigid implant might reduce the strain on the interface by absorbing a part of the energy (motion) and therefore maintaining the interface intact. On the other hand, if throughout the healing phase, the fracture is disturbed by motion at the fracture site nonunion might be observed more often.<sup>7,8</sup>

# *Introduction*

---

# Introduction

*Anatomy*