## NEW MRI TECHNIQUES IN THE EVALUATION OF PANCREATIC TUMORS

Essay

Submitted For Fulfillment of the M.Sc. Degree

In Radiodiagnosis

By

Mohammed El Sayed Mohamed

M.B., B.Ch.

**Cairo University** 

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Moharrm Ahmed El Badwi Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Samy

Prof. and chairman of Radiodiagnosis Prof. of Radiodiagnosis

National cancer institute Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University. Cairo University.

**Cairo University** 

2009

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to give thanks to **ALLAH** the almighty.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to *Prof. Dr. MOHARRAM EL-BADAWI*, Head of Radiology Department, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for accepting the idea of this work, his efforts and encouragement.

I also extend my thanks and appreciation to *Prof. Dr. HANY SAMY* Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine , Cairo University for his invaluable guidance, constructive criticism and great help in supervising this work.

My profound thanks and sincere appreciation goes to *Prof. Dr. IKRAM HAMED*, Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University as regards his great continuous encouragement.

I would like to record my utmost appreciation to *Prof. Dr.MAHA HELAL*, Professor of Radiology Department, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for her great effort.

I also want to thank *Prof. Dr.EMAN ZAKI*, Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for her great encouragement and support.

I also want to express my gratitude and respect to *Prof. Dr. ALAA EL-ORABBY*, Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for his support and great efforts.

It is my pleasure to express my deep appreciation to my professors and colleagues in the Radiology department, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University. My especial thanks and deep appreciation to *Dr. Yehia Labib*, *Dr. Amr Shabana*, *Dr. Reda Hassan*, *Dr. Osama Raslan*, *Dr. Usama Saif and Dr. Ayda Youssef* for their help, guidance and unlimited kind support.

No words can express my feelings, respect and gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Hazem Moharram*, Professor of Diagnostic radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his patience, great support and continuous encouragement.

To all *my family ,my wife and my son,* to whom I am overwhelmingly indebted to, thank you and GOD bless you.

# **CONTENTS**

Introduction	1
CHAPTER 1: ANATOMY OF THE PANCREAS	5
CHAPTER 2: PATHOLOGY AND MR APPEARANCE OF THE PANCREATIC TUMORS	17
CHAPTER 3: MR IMAGING TECHNIQUE	61
CHAPTER 4: MAGNETIC RESONANCE CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY (MRCP)	70
CHAPTER 5: MAGNETIC RESONANCE ANGIOGRAPHY (MRA)	87
CHAPTER 6: MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY (MRS)	99
CHAPTER 7: DIFFUSION WEIGHTED IMAGING (DWI)	106
CHAPTER 8: 3T MR IMAGING	122
CHAPTER 9: MR GUIDED BIOPSY	138
SUMMARY	144
REFRENCES	146
ARABIC SUMMARY	

### **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.**

**CBD** COMMON BILE DUCT

**CHD** COMMON HEPATIC DUCT

**CNR** CONTRAST TO NOISE RATIO

**CT** COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY

**DWI** DIFFUSION WEIGHTED IMAGING

**ERCP** ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY

**FLASH** FAST LOW ANGLE SHOT

**FSE** FAST SPIN ECHO

**GRE** GRADIENT RECALL

**HASTE** HALF FOURIER AQUITION SINGLE SHOT TURBO SPIN ECHO

**IPMN** INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY MUCINOUS NEOPLASM

**LHD** LEFT HEPATIC DUCT

MCN MUCINOUS CYSTIC NEOPLASM

**MIP** MAXIMUM INTENSITY PROJECTION

**MPD** MAIN PANCREATIC DUCT

MPGR MULTIPLANAR GRADIENT RECALL

**MRA** MAGNETIC RESONANCE ANGIOGRAPHY

MRCP MAGNETIC RESONANCE CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY

**MRI** MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

**MRP** MR PANCREATOGRAPHY

MRS MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY

**NPV** NEGATIVE PREDECTIVE VALUE

PAS PERIODIC ACID - SCHIFF

**PI** PARRALLEL IMAGING

**RHD** RIGHT HEPATIC DUCT

**ROI** REGION OF INTEREST

**SAR** SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE

**SCA** SEROUS CYSTADENOMA

**SE** SPIN ECHO

**SI** SIGNAL INTENSITY

**SSFSE** SINGLE SHOT FAST SPIN ECHO

**SNR** SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO

**T1WI** T1 WEIGHTED IMAGES

**T2WI** T2 WEIGHTED IMAGES

**TR** TIME OF REPITITION

**TRICKS** TIME RESOLVED CONTRAST KINETICS

**TSE** TURBO SPIN ECHO

**US** ULTRASONOGRAPHY

**1.5 T** 1.5 TESLA

**2D** TWO DIMENSTIONAL

**3D GD-MRA** THREE DIMENSIONAL GADOLINIUM ENHANCED MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

**3T** 3 TESLA

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 1.1: NORMAL EMBRYOLOGIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE PANCREAS AND BILIARY TREE	7
FIGURE 1.2: ANTERIOR RELATIONS OF THE PANCREAS.	9
FIGURE 1.3: POSTERIOR RELATIONS OF THE PANCREAS.	11
FIGURE 1.4: NORMAL PANCREATIC DUCTAL ANATOMY	13
FIGURE 1.5: NORMAL MR PANCREATIC SIGNAL INTENSITY	16
FIGURE 2.1: GROSS AND MICROSCOPIC APPEARANCE OF DUCTAL ADENOCARCINOMA	22
FIGURE 2.2:MR SHOWING PANCREATIC ADENOCARCINOMA.	25
FIGURE 2.3: MR SHOWING PANCREATIC ADENOCARCINOMA	25
FIGURE 2.4: MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING OF LARGE UNCINATE MASS	26
FIGURE 2.5: GROSS AND MICROSCOPIC APPEARANCE OF ANAPLASTIC CARCINOMA	29
FIGURE 2.6: GROSS AND MICROSCOPIC APPEARANCE OF ACINAR CELL CARCINOMA.	32
FIGURE 2.7: INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY MUCINOUS TUMOR IN THE TAIL OF THE PANCREAS	34
FIGURE 2.8: INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY MUCINOUS TUMOR	35
FIGURE 2.9: MULTIPLE SIDE-BRANCH INTRADUCTAL PAPILLARY MUCINOUS NEOPLASMS	35
FIGURE 2.10: INTRADUCTAL MUCIN-PRODUCING TUMOR WITH MALIGNANT TRANSFORMATION	36
FIGURE 2.11: GROSS AND MICROSCOPIC APPEARANCE OF MUCINOUS MACROCYSTIC NEOPLASM	38
FIGURE 2.12: MUCINOUS CYSTIC TUMOR IN THE TAIL OF THE PANCREAS	38
FIGURE 2.13: MR SHOWING SEROUS CYSTADENOMA	40
FIGURE 2.14: GROSS AND IMAGING APPEARANCE OF SEROUS MICROCYSTIC ADENOMA	41
FIGURE 2.15: GROSS APPEARANCE OF PANCREATOBLASTOMA IN AN ADULT MALE	43
FIGURE 2.16: MR FOR 29-YEAR-OLD WOMAN WITH PANCREATOBLASTOMA	43
FIGURE 2.17: GROSS AND MR APPEARANCE OF SOLID-PSEUDOPAPILLARY TUMOR	45
FIGURE 2.18: GROSS AND MICROSCOPIC APPEARANCE OF INSULINOMA	52
FIGURE 2.19: INSULINOMA LOCATED IN THE BODY OF THE PANCREAS	52
FIGURE 2.20: ISLET CELL TUMOR OF PANCREAS	53
FIGURE 2.21:63-YEAR-OLD MAN WITH HYPOGLYCEMIA AND BIOCHEMICAL EVIDENCE OF INSULINOMA	<b>4</b> 53
FIGURE 2.22:35-YEAR-OLD WOMAN WITH LIVER AND PANCREATIC LESIONS	54
FIGURE 2.23: WOMAN WITH HISTORY OF HYPOGLYCEMIA AND CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS OF INSULINOMA.	54

Figure $2.24$ :malignant islet cell tumor of pancreas with splenic and portal v. invasion $\dots 5$	5
FIGURE 2.25: ISLET CELL NEOPLASM METASTATIC TO REGIONAL LYMPH NODES AND LIVER	5
FIGURE 2.26:GASTRINOMA	6
FIGURE 2.27: NON-FUNCTIONING NEUROENDOCRINE NEOPLASM	7
FIGURE 2.28: LYMPHOMATOUS INFILTRATION OF THE PANCREAS, LIVER, GALLBLADDER, AND KIDNEYS 5	8
FIGURE 2.29: LYMPHOMA PRESENTS AS A MASS IN THE HEAD OF THE PANCREAS	9
FIGURE 2.30: METASTATIC CLEAR CELL CARCINOMA OF THE PANCREAS	9
FIGURE 3.1: NORMAL PANCREATIC PARENCHYMAL ENHANCEMENT	6
FIGURE 3.2:MNDPDP-ENHANCED MRI	7
FIGURE 3.3: DYNAMIC MR PANCREATIC IMAGING	9
FIGURE 4.1:A 3D OBLIQUE CORONAL MRCP IMAGE	3
FIGURE 4.2: NORMAL MAGNETIC RESONANCE CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY (MRCP)7	5
FIGURE 4.3:A COMPARISON OF MR CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHIC IMAGES	8
FIGURE 4.4: SECRETIN-ENHANCED MR CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY	9
FIGURE 4.5: PANCREATIC ADENOCARCINOMA	1
FIGURE 4.6: PANCREATIC ADENOCARCINOMA	1
FIGURE 4.7: IPMT WITH DIFFUSE DUCT DISEASE	3
FIGURE 4.8:BRANCH-DUCT-TYPE IPMN IN A 67-YEAR-OLD MAN	3
FIGURE 4.9: WHIPPLE PROCEDURE	5
FIGURE 5.1: POSTCONTRAST NORMAL PANCREAS DEMONSTRATED ON CORONAL 3D GRE T1WI 9	4
FIGURE 5.2: ADENOCARCINOMA OF THE PANCREAS DEMONSTRATED ON A CORONAL 3D GRE T1WI 9	6
FIGURE 5.3: ADENOCARCINOMA OF THE PANCREATIC HEAD9	6
FIGURE 5.4: UNRESECTABLE PANCREATIC ADENOCARCINOMA	7
FIGURE 6.1: MRS OF PANCREATIC CARCINOMA IN A 70-YEAR-OLD WOMAN	3
FIGURE 6.2: MRS OF CHRONIC FOCAL PANCREATITIS IN A 51-YEAR-OLD MAN	4
FIGURE 7.1: DIAGRAM SHOWING DIFFUSION OF WATER MOLECULES	8
FIGURE 7.2: 63-YEAR-OLD MAN WITH PANCREATIC ADENOCARCINOMA	.3
FIGURE 7.3: ADENOCARCINOMA IN HEAD OF PANCREAS WITH EXTENSIVE NECROSIS	.3
FIGURE 7.4: SCATTERPLOT OF THE ADC VALUES OF BENIGN AND MALIGNANT LESIONS	.5
FIGURE 7.5: SCATTERPLOT OF THE ADC VALUES OF THE VARIOUS MALIGNANT LESIONS	.5
FIGURE 7.6: A PATIENT WITH A MASS-FORMING PANCREATITIS IN THE PANCREATIC TAIL	7

FIGURE 7.7: A PATIENT WITH A MASS-FORMING PANCREATITIS IN THE TAIL	118
FIGURE 7.8: DIAGRAM SHOWS VARIATION IN TUMOR ADC WITH TREATMENT	119
FIGURE 7.9: RATES OF PROGRESSION IN ADVANCED PANCREATIC CANCER TREATED WITH CHEMOTHER	ару121
FIGURE 8.1: SIGNAL INTENSITY—TIME CURVES IN 1.5T AND 3 T.	125
FIGURE 8.2: COMPARISON OF IMAGES ACQUIRED AT 1.5TAND 3T IN A NORMAL SUBJECT	127
FIGURE 8.3: DIELECTRIC EFFECTS OF SINGLE-SHOT AND MULTISHOT TSE SEQUENCES	130
FIGURE 8.3: 1.5T AND 3T IMAGES IN A PATIENT WITH CYST IN THE HEAD OF THE PANCREAS	137
FIGURE 9.1. BIOPSY OF A PATIENT WITH A CARCINOMA OF THE TAIL OF THE PANCREAS	143

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<u>TABLE 4.1</u>	73
<u>TABLE 4.2</u>	84
<u>TABLE 4.3</u>	86
TABLE 7.1	114

**Abstract** 

Magnetic resonance imaging is a powerful imaging technique for evaluating

pancreatic neoplasms. Improvements in MR pulse sequences, MRCP and MRA

have resulted in a highly reliable means of detecting and staging pancreatic

neoplasms and distinguishing malignant from benign pancreatic disease processes.

Emerging techniques such as DWI and MRS may help to improve the diagnostic

capability of magnetic resonance imaging of the pancreas. The intrinsic SNR

improvement from the higher static magnetic field strength, 3T holds considerable

promise as a means for early detection of pancreatic tumors and evaluation of other

types of pancreatic pathologic change.

Keywords:MRI- pancreatic tumors-MRCP-MRA-DWI-MRS-3T.

l	INTRODUCTION

**INTRODUCTION** 

Despite the availability of a wide range of applicable diagnostic modalities to visualize the pancreas and upper abdomen, the major proportion of pancreatic cancers will be diagnosed at advanced stages when the tumor is surgically non resectable or the disease is metastatic. In addition, whereas new approaches with chemotherapeutic agents yield only marginal improvements in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer, surgery in early stages remains the only therapeutic option in the curative setting. Thus, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 2%. Pancreatic cancer continues to be among the group of tumors with a poor prognosis. (Tsiotos et al., 1999)

One crucial consideration in the treatment of patients suspected of having pancreatic tumors is how to proceed diagnostically. So far, ultrasonography (US) and contrast material-enhanced computed tomography (CT) have been widely used to diagnose pancreatic tumors. However, in previous series, differentiating benign lesions from pancreatic cancer was considerably difficult. This dilemma is clinically relevant and to overcome this dilemma, the development of sensitive and specific imaging modalities appears highly desirable. (*Hänninen et al,2002*)

More recently the use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for detection of pancreatic tumors was demonstrated. In particular, faster sequences reduced motion artifacts substantially and facilitated successful characterization of pancreatic lesions. In addition, one major advantage of MR imaging is the possibility to examine the pancreatobiliary system non invasively. (Hänninen et al., 2002)

MRI can be applied to pancreaticobiliary malignancies as conventional MR, as MR angiography/venography or as MR cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). The technique and applications of each method differ. (Ferucci, 1999)

MRCP demonstrated a high accuracy in the depiction of diverse abnormalities involving diseases of the pancreaticobiliary system, including duct dilatation, tumors, strictures, and stones. (*Hänninen et al*, 2002)

The introduction of contrast-enhanced three-dimensional MR angiography with fast gradient-echo (GRE) sequences facilitated the visualization of the vascular anatomy with the breath-hold technique and, thus, permitted multiphase assessment of abdominal arteries and veins with excellent quality. (*Hänninen et aL*, 2002)

Diffusion-weighted (DW) images can be helpful in detecting the pancreatic carcinoma and accessing the extent of the tumor. The ADC value ( $\times 10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s) in the carcinoma was  $1.44 \pm 0.20$ , which was significantly lower compared to that of normal pancreas (1.90 ± 0.06) and tumor-associated chronic pancreatitis (2.31 ± 0.18). (*Matsuki et al*, 2007)

In vivo H-MRS can be a useful method for making a differential diagnosis between chronic focal pancreatitis and pancreatic carcinoma. (*Cho et al, 2005*).

Since MR imaging was found to be a widely usable clinical method for the differential diagnosis of retroperitoneal lesions, its use for biopsy guidance has just been the logical next step. In the last few years the speed of MR imaging has been drastically increased and the design of magnets has been modified, so that MR-guided interventions in different organs can now be performed. (Zangos et al ,2006)

Technologic advances such as the introduction of open and short-bore magnet designs, the proliferation of fast imaging sequences, and the availability of MR-compatible instruments have aided the growth of this field. (*Trumm et al,2009*)