

Botany Department
Faculty of Science
Cairo University
Egypt

**Assessment of Effective Microorganisms Technology
for Treatment of Mycotoxins in Municipal Sewage
Water and Its Impact on *Eruca sativa***

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Science

Cairo University

For the M Sc. Degree

In

Microbiology

By

SAMAH ABDEL RAZIK MOHAMED MOHAMED

B.Sc.1998

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. TAHANY MOHMED ALI ABDEL RAHMANN

Prof. Dr. AHMED KAMEL HEGAZY

Prof. Dr. RABAB MOSTAFA KADRY

2009

جامعة القاهرة

كلية العلوم

قسم النبات

تقييم تكنولوجيا الميكروبات المؤثرة فى معالجة السموم الفطرية بمياة الصرف الصحي و اثرها على نبات الجرجير

رسالة مقدمة الي

كلية العلوم- جامعة القاهرة

للحصول على درجة الماجستير فى

(نبات- ميكروبيولوجى)

مقدمة من

سماح عبدالرازق محمد محمد

بكالوريوس العلوم- كيمياء ميكروبيولوجى

كلية النبات للاداب و العلوم و التربية-جامعة عين شمس ١٩٩٨

السادة المشرفون

الاستاذة الدكتورة / تهنى محمد على عبدالرحمن

الاستاذ الدكتور/ احمد كامل حجازى

الاستاذة الدكتورة / رباب مصطفى قدرى

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my deep gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Tahany Mohamed Ali Abdel Rahman**, Professor of Microbiology, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University for direct supervision, Valuable discussion, Continuous encouragement and generous help throughout the present work, I am greatly indebted to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Kamel Hegazy**, Professor of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University for his valuable help supervision and giving support to complete this work. Also my deep appreciation to **Prof Dr.Rabab Mostafa Kadry** Professor of Mycotoxins in Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Dokki for her valuable share in Supervision, Valuable Support in Practical part, Guidance and Valuable efforts during the present investigation.

I express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my husband **Dr. Amr Hassan** to whom I always indebted for his support and guidance throughout the investigation from the initial planning and proposing the research problem to the manuscript revision. I appreciate his encouragement, advice, constructive criticism and patience.

To **my parents** and **sons**.

Contents

1. Abstract.....	1
2. Introduction.....	2
3. Literature Review.....	5
4. Ecological study.....	27
4.1 Study area.....	27
4.2. Study plant species (<i>Eruca sativa</i>).....	32
4.3. Climate.....	35
4.3.1. Temperature.....	35
4.3.2. Relative Humidity.....	36
4.3.3. Wind.....	37
4.3.4. Rain Fall.....	37
5. Materials and Methods.....	39
5.1 Samples & Analysis.....	
5.2. Physico-Chemical analysis.....	39
5.2.a. Hydrogen Ion Concentration.....	39
5.2.b. Chemical Oxygen Demand.....	39
5.2.c. Biological Oxygen Demand.....	40
5.2.d. Electric Conductivity.....	42
5.2.e. Ammonia nitrogen	42
5.2.f. Nitrate nitrogen.....	43
5.2.g. Total soluble phosphorus.....	44
5.2.h Total Phosphates	46
5.2.i Total Suspended Solids.....	46
5.3. Mycobiological surveys.....	46

5.3.a. Preparation of samples.....	46
5.3.b. Plating.....	46
5.3.c. Isolation and Identification of molds isolates.....	47
5.4 Mycotoxins Estimation.....	47
5.4. a. Extraction of Mycotoxins in <i>Eruca sativa</i> using VICAM.....	47
5.4.b. Aflatoxin estimation in <i>Eruca sativa</i>	48
5.4.c. Ochratoxin estimation in <i>Eruca sativa</i>	49
5.4.d. Zearalenone estimation in <i>Eruca sativa</i>	50
5.5. Dry Biomass.....	51
5.6. Greenhouse design.....	51
5.7 Statistical analysis.....	52
6. Experimental Results.....	53
Experiment (1): Physicochemical analyses, mycological surveys and mycotoxins estimations in <i>E.sativa</i> , soil and wastewater collected from six drains	53
Experiment (2): Green house experiment. Effect of primary treatment and EM ₁ treatment to wastewater on physicochemical characters, Mycocount and mycotoxins accumulation in <i>E.sativa</i>	69
Experiment (3): Effect of different concentrations of active EM ₁ on the total fungal count of soil (cfu/mg) and <i>E.sativa</i> (cfu/cm ²) and mycotoxins accumulation in the plant.....	84
Experiment (4): Effect of different concentrations of EM ₁ on the growth (dry biomass) of the fungal species isolated allover the study.....	91
7. Discussion.....	96
8. Summary and Conclusions.....	107
9. References.....	109
10. Arabic Summary.....	120

APPROVAL SHEET

Title of the Thesis:

**Assessment of Effective Microorganisms
Technology for Treatment of Mycotoxins in
Municipal Sewage Water and Its Impact on
*Eruca sativa***

Name of the Candidate

Samah Abdel Razik Mohamed

Submitted to

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo
University

Supervision Committee:

Prof. Dr. Tahany Mohamed Ali Abdel Rahman

Professor of Microbiology, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Kamel Hegazy

Professor of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Prof .Dr.Rabab Mostafa Kadry

Professor of Mycotoxins in Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Dokki

Prof.Dr.E.F.Shabana.

Head of Botany Department
faculty of Science , Cairo University

List of Tables

List of tables

Table 1. Physico chemical characters of untreated wastewater collected from the six study site	56
Table 2. Physico chemical characters of soils samples collected from the six study sites.....	57
Table 3. The total fungal count and Relative density percent (R.D %) of species isolated from wastewater samples collected from the six study sites.....	60
Table 4. The total fungal count and Relative density percent (R.D %) of species isolated from soil samples collected from the six study sites.....	63
Table 5. The total fungal count and Relative density percent (R.D %) of species isolated from <i>E.sativa</i> plant samples collected from the six study sites	64
Table 6. Physico- chemical analyses of pretreated and primary treated wastewater collected from Al Rahawy and station site before and after addition of EM ₁ (4ml/L) River Nile water used as a control.....	74
Table 7. Effect of EM ₁ addition on the Total fungal count and relative density percent (R.D) of species isolated from primary treated and pretreated wastewater from Al Rahawy station site. River Nile water used as a control.....	75
Table 8. Effect of EM ₁ on the total fungal count and relative density percent (R.D) of species isolated from soil potted in green house cultivated with <i>E.sativa</i> and irrigated by primarily treated and pretreated wastewater from Al Rahawy station site of primary and Al Rahawy sites in comparison to control (River Nile).....	76
Table 9. Effect of EM ₁ on the total fungal count and relative density percent (R.D) of species isolated from <i>E.sativa</i> plant in green house irrigated by primarily treated and pretreated wastewater from Al Rahawy station site.....	78
Table 10. Total fungal count and relative density (%) of isolated species from potted soil irrigated with pretreated and primarily treated wastewater from Al Rahawy station after	

addition of different concentrations of EM ₁	88
---	----

Table 11. Total fungal count and relative density (%) of isolated species from <i>E.sativa</i> planted in green house and irrigated with pretreated and primarily treated wastewater from Al Rahawy station after addition of different concentrations of EM ₁	89
---	----

Table 12. Climate characteristics of Giza. Data from Environmental profile of Giza 2007 and CLAC 2008. Temperature (°C), Relative Humidity (%), wind currents (%), wind speed (Km/hour) and Rainfall (mm per month).....	121
--	-----

List of Abbreviations

- BOD: Biological Oxygen Demand.
- CFU: Colony forming unit.
- COD: Chemical Oxygen Demand.
- DO: Dissolved oxygen.
- EC: Electric Conductivity.
- EM₁: Effective Microorganisms.
- EPA: Environmental Protection Agency.
- OD: Optical density.
- SS: Suspended Solids.
- TDS: Total dissolved solids.
- TSS: Total Suspended Solid.
- WWTP: Waste Water Treatment Plant.

List of figures

List of Figures

Fig. 4.3.1 Monthly rates for Temperatures in meteorological station of Giza.....	36
Fig. 4.3.2 Monthly rates for relative humidity in meteorological station of Giza.....	36
Fig. 4.3.3 Average annual wind speed in the meteorological station of Giza.....	37
Fig. 4.3.4 Average annual rainfall in the meteorological station of Giza.....	38
Fig. 6.1 the total fungal count isolated from wastewater, soil and <i>E.sativa</i> collected from the six study sites. Bars represent standard deviation of the mean and the different letters indicate a significant difference at $P > 0.05$	58
Fig. 6.2 Relative Density variation in the total fungal species isolated from wastewater, soil and <i>E.sativa</i> in relation to six study sites. Bars represent standard deviation of the mean and the different letters indicate a significant difference at $P > 0.05$	65
Fig. 6.3 Relative density variation between the fungal species in isolated from wastewater, soil and <i>E.sativa</i> relation to the species diversity. Bars represent standard deviation of the mean and the different letters indicate a significant difference at $P > 0.05$	66
Fig. 6.4 Estimation of the residual mycotoxins (Aflatoxin, Ochratoxin and zearalenone) in <i>E.sativa</i> plant samples collected from the six study sites.....	67
Fig. 6.5 Effect of EM addition on the Relative density variation between the isolated fungal species in relation to three study sites, in the green house experiment.....	79
Fig. 6.6 Effect of EM addition on the species diversity of the isolated fungi in green house experiment.....	80

Fig. 6.7 Effect of EM addition on the species diversity of the isolated fungi in green house experiment.....	81
Fig. 6.8 The residual mycotoxins accumulation in <i>E.sativa</i> irrigated with pretreated wastewater after addition of different EM concentrations.....	90
Fig. 6.9 The residual mycotoxins accumulation in <i>E.sativa</i> irrigated with primarily treated wastewater after addition of different EM concentrations.....	90
Fig. 6.10Effect of different EM concentrations on the growth (dry biomass) of Aspergilli isolated allover the study.....	93
Fig. 6.11 Effect of different EM concentrations on the growth (dry biomass) of the unicellular fungi isolated allover the study.....	94
Fig. 6.12 Effect of different EM concentrations on the growth (Dry Biomass) of phycomycetous fungi isolated allover the study	94
Fig. 6.13 Effect of different EM concentrations on the growth (dry biomass) of the deuateromycetous fungi isolated allover the study.....	95