Developing Nursing Care Standards for Postoperative Hepatic Patients

This Proposal
Submitted to faculty of nursing of
Kin partial fulfillment for doctorate, degree Of nursing administration.

By

Elham Youssef Elhanfy

Msc. In nursing Administration

Under Supervisors

Dr. Mohammed Abd-Elwahb Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine Mansoura University

Dr. Kamilia Fouad
Assistant Professor of Medical Surgical
Nursing
Faculty of Nursing Ain Shams University

Dr. Galila Abd-Elghfar

Lecturer of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Nursing Ain Shams University 2002

Introduction

The last ten to fifteen years, major changes have occurred in the field of disease. These changes include a new diagnostic development approaches, ill-surgical treatment and possibilities, expectations regarding the results ofmanaget w the patients with sever liver disease may require transfer to an intensive care unit ting anesthesia and surgery apart from monitoring of vital organ functions these patients lineeli to extensive nursing care, physiotherapy for improvement of pulmonary as well as (bkaletal function and arc in need of psychological support by the whole team (Blumgart,

Ihe liver plays a central role in the response to tissue injury, whether that be from ill, surgical intervention, disease: oFinfectiori. Howevef understanding of the liver is often iilint in the management and prevention of organ failures that occur after injury Iildl996). Accordingly certain principles should follow to manage such patients. This files includes, maintaining a clear airway, assessment of effective breathings and men! of adequate circulation (Peattie, 1996).

appropriate complication/may arise of postoperative hepatic patients, bile leakage, Y peritonitis, T.tubc blocked, vascular damage of the portal triad, infection, bleeding and

fusion (Simpson, 1998 and Kontaki, 1996). These groups of patients needs high quality of tand special standards to give such care in order to reach desired outcome.

Quality is the systematic process of evaluating the quality of care given in a particular unit. julves, setting standards, determining criteria to meet those standards, evaluating how well irileria have been met, making plan for change based on the evaluation and following upon tatation for change (Sullivan&Decker, 1988).

Ik standards focus on practice, they provide a means for determining the quality of ig which a patient receives regardless of whether such services are provided solely by a lissional nurse and nonprofessional assistants (Sullivan& Decker, 1988),

; of care defined as authoritative statements that describe a common or acceptable tf client care or performance (Ellis and Hartly 2000). Standard is a baseline condition or I of excellence that comprise a model to be followed and practiced (Vestal 1987). It is not an nation instrument in itself but provide the yardstick (Marquis and. II us ton 1994).

Standards for nursing practice define the scope and dimensions of professional nursing kjuis & Huston, 1994). The purpose of standards are stated according to a systematic (roach to nursing practice, the assessment of patient status, the plan of nursing action the lamentation of the plan and the evaluation (Sullivan & **Decker** 1988,\Vessourik 1990).

Duke (1983) listed four qualities necessary in the development of standards, the udard must be explicit in terms, of what measured at what level of performance and at what nes it must distinguish various difference in the quality of care, the organization must possess it technology or techniques necessary for measurement of the criteria, and the measurement

Istandard must be cost effective.

The criteria defined as descriptive statements of performance that identify the «sable elements the care process and out come that can be used to measure quality. The literion for the standard be achievable and avoid aiming at unrealistic expectations, it should iifl be objective based on facts without bias, at the same time the measurement tool should be 'id and reliable (Nan and Diana 1990).

The framework commonly used for establishing standard is Dona- bedian's. It is framework model, it composed of three entities structure encompasses the setting and uvironment in which nursing is practiced this entails facilities, supplies, equipment, pfessional, and auxiliary personnel that should be available. Process standards the second nmponent of the model focuses on the specific nursing activities that are necessary to achieve It desired patients care goals. While process standards as a component of Dona-bedian's ifldels develop parallel to the components the nursing care process, thus entails assessment planning implementation and evaluation of care. The third component model is out come ilandard -related to the result of the nursing care given to the patient (Dona, 1991, Sale 1990 ltd Non 1990).

Development standard of care for postoperative hepatic patients is important in the slitting, which the study will be carried out to guide nurses to carry out care effectively, help lem to improve their practice and to reach to the quality of care.

iundards for nursing practice define the scope and dimensions of professional nursing i& Huston, 1994). The purpose of standards are stated according to a systematic il (o nursing practice, the assessment of patient status, the plan of nursing action the rotation of the plan and the evaluation (Sullivan & Decker 1988, Wessourik 1990).

Duke (1983) listed four qualities necessary in the development of standards, the id must be explicit in terms, of what measured at what level of performance and at what ilmust distinguish various difference in the quality of care, the organization must possess

linology or techniques necessary for measurement of the criteria, and the measurement idard must be cost effective.

The criteria defined as descriptive statements of performance that identify the able elements the care process and out come that can be used to measure quality. The ion for the standard be achievable and avoid aiming at unrealistic expectations, it should It objective based on facts without bias, at the same time the measurement tool should be and reliable (Nan and Diana 1990).

The framework commonly used for establishing standard is Dona- bedian's. It is work model, it composed of three entities structure encompasses the setting and onment in which nursing is practiced this entails facilities, supplies, equipment, ssional, and auxiliary personnel that should be available. Process standards the second went of the model focuses on the specific nursing activities that are necessary to achieve hired patients care goals. While process standards as a component of Donabedian's :ls develop parallel to the components the nursing care process, thus entails assessment ling implementation and evaluation of care . The third component model is out come

lard -related to the result of the nursing care given to the patient (Dona, 1991, Sale 1990 Non 1990).

Development standard of care for postoperativ '- "«tients is important in the ng, which the study will be carried out to guide n to improve their practice and to reach to the quail

Aim of the study

This study aims at, Developing nursing care standards for postoperative hepatic patients. Developing check list of nursing care entailing all criteria related to nursing care for postoperative hepatic patients.

Testing the validity of observational check list from expert of view .Developing nursing standard. Testing the validity and reliability of nursing care standard

Reference

L.h. (1994) ;Surgery of the liver and biliary tract. Churchill fcstor. NewYork.2ed vol;l .p.499 |,M, & Cartin M.A. (1991) ;Cycle of quality, nursing dard.p.p.3-4

;(1983) in Marquis B. & Huston C. (1994) ;Management sion making for nurses .J.B. Lippincott co.Philadelphia, p. 335 ,Hartly L (2000);Managing and coordinating nursing care.

IJ.B. Lippincott, Baltimore, p.p. 74-75.

i E. (1996) ;Surgical critical care. W,B. sounders co. London. p.4H,p

jis B. Huston C. (1994) Management decision making for "

fles. J.B. Lippincott co. Philadelphia, p. 335

1(1990), Quality assurance essentials of nursing management. icmillion. Hong Kong.

son p. (1998): Introduction to surgical nursing, Arnold co. London p. 187.

in E, & Decker p., (1998) Effective Management in nursing $2^{ll<1}$ e ddibon - wesley Co. "New York. P. 797, p. 592.

jK.W.1987 in Marquis B. & Huston C. (1994); Management vision making for nurses. J,B- Lippincott co. Philadelphia, p. 335

iourik B. (1990) ;Standards of nursing care. Amodel for chemical

:;actice. J.B. Lippincott co. Philadelphia.

تطوير مستويات الرعاية التمريضية للمرضى بعد جراحة الكبد

توطئة للحصول علي درجة الدكتوراه في إدارة التمريض

مقدم من

إلهام يوسف الحنفى

ماجستير إدارة تمريض

إشراف

أم د/كاميليا فؤاد أستاذ مساعد تمريض باطنة وجراحة كلية التمريض عين شمس

أ د/محمد عبدالتواب أستاذ جراحة عامة كلية الطب جامعة المنصورة

د. جليلة عبدالغفار كلية التمريض. جامعة عين شمس

> كلية التمريض جامعة عين شمس

مقدمة:

حدثت تغييرات عديدة خلال الأعوام من العشر سنوات إلي الخمس عشرة سنة في أمراض سقراء الكبد، هذه التغيرات تشمل وسائل التشخيص المتطورة والعلاجات الجراحية والاحتمالات المتوقعة الخاصة بنتائج الفحص، علاوة علي ذلك ربما يتطلب نقل مريض أمراض الكبد الشديد، إلي وحدة العناية المركزة يتبعها فقدان الحس والجراحة بصرف النظر عن تخدير وظائف العضو الحيوى فإن هؤلاء المرضى بحاجة إلي رعاية تمريضية مكثفة، علاج طبيعي لتحسين الرئة فضلاً عن وظيفة الأعضاء، وهم بحاجة إلي دعم نفسى من كل الفريق (Blumgaret, 1994)

يلعب الكبد دوراً محورياً في الاستجابة المتسلسلة لأضرار سواء إذا كانت من الصدمة التدخل الجراحي، المرض أو العدوى، علاوة علي ذلك فهم الكبد مهم لإدارة ومنع فشل العضو الذي يحدث بعد التلف (Kontaki, 1996).

وبناء علي ذلك يجب إتباع قواعد معينة لإخضاع مثل هؤلاء المرضى، هذه قواعد تشمل الحفاظ علي منفذ الهواء النقى وتحديد اللحظات مؤثرة فعالة وتحديد الدورة المتناسبة (Peattie, 1996)

معظم المضاعفات التي تلى العمليات الجراحية لمرضى الكبد، هى ارتشاح الصفراء التهاب الصفا الصفراوي، انسداد قناة T وتلف أوعية الوريد البابي الدموية والعدوى، النزف وارتفاع ضغط الدم (Kontaki, 1996; Simpson, 1998)

هذه المجموعات من المرضى بحاجة إلي درجة عالية من الاهتمام وقياسات خاصة للحصول علي مثل هذه الرعاية لكى نصل إلي النتائج المرجوة المتوفرة في وحدة معينة أنها عملية نظامية لتقييم نوعية تشمل الوضع القياسى وتحديد المعيار لواجهة هذه القياسات وتقييم كيفية مواجهة تلك المقياس جيداً وعمل خطة التغير مرتكزة علي التقييم ومتابعة تنفيذ هذه التغييرات (Sullivain, Decker 1998) هذه المستويات تركز علي الممارسة تزود بأساليب لتحديد صورة جودة التمريض التي يتلقاها المريض بغض النظر عن ما إذا كان مثل هذه الخدمات تقدم بمفردها من قبل ممرضين محترفين ,Decker, 1998)

يعرف مستوى العناية بالجهات المسئولة التي تصف مستوى شائع أو مقبول من رعاية الزبون أو الأداء (Eillis and Harety 2000). مستوى الرعاية هو حالة القاعدة الغريضة أو مستوى التفوق الذي يشمل نموذج يحتذى به ويمارس (Vestal 1987) إنها ليست أداء للتقييم في حد ذاتها ولكنها مقياس معتمد (Marquis and Hustion, يحدد مستوى ممارسة التمريض مدى وأبعاد التمريض مارسة التمريض مدى وأبعاد التمريض . Hustion

يحدد الغرض من مستويات التمريض وفقاً للطرف النظامية لممارسة التمريض وتحدد حالة المريض خطة التمريض تؤثر في تتفيذ الخطة والتقييم (Sullivan).

(Duke, 1983) وضع أربع خصائص ضرورية لتطوير هذه المستويات يجب أن تحدد هذه المستويات في بنود عن ماذا يقاس في أي مستوي من الأداء وفي أي وقت يمكن تمييز اختلافات متعددة من درجة الاهتمام هذا التنظيم يجب أن يكون لديه التكنولوجيا والتقنية الضرورية لقياس هذا بالعيار.

تطور مستوى الرعاية المتوفر لمرض المتوفر الكبد التابع لعملية جراحية في هذا المحيط الذي تسعى لتحقيقه هذه الدراسة لإرشاد الممرضات لتوفير الرعاية بشدة تساعدهم لتحسين ممارستهم والوصول إلى درجة عالية من الاهتمام.

أهداف الدراسة

تهدف الدراسة إلي:

تطوير مستويات الرعاية التمريضية لمرضى الكبد التالي لعملية جراحية من خلالها:

- ۱- تطوير قائمة فحص الرعاية التمريضية التي تشمل كل المقاييس المرتبطة بالرعاية التمريضية لمرضى الكبد التالى لعملية جراحية.
 - اختبار صلاحية قائمة الفحص المتعلقة بالمشاهدة أو من وجهه نظر الخبير.
 - ۳- تحسین مستوی التمریض.
 - ٤- اختيار الصلاحية وثقة بمستوى الرعاية التمريضية.

المواد والأساليب

مكان الدراسة:

يتم عمل هذه الدراسة في مراكز الجهاز الهضمي بمستشفى جامعة المنصورة.

الموضوعات:

تشمل هذه الدراسة على مجموعتين من الموضوعات هم:

الممرضات:

كل الرعاية التمريضية المتوفرة لمريض الكبد التالي لعملية جراحية المذكورة سابق في نفس وقت الدراسة.

الخبراء:

أعضاء الكلية (التمريضية . الطبية)

مقدمي الخدمات (مدير التمريض والأطباء) في مجال الخدمات.

الأدوات لجميع البيانات:

أدوات تجميع البيانات:

- المة الفحص التي تشمل الرعاية التمريضية لمرضى الكبد التالي لعملى
 جراحية والتي تعتمد على المراجعة والملاحظة المباشرة للمريض.
- ۲- استبیان یهدف إلي اختیار مدی أو حجم صلاحیة تطویر مستوی الرعایة
 التمریضیة.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to develop a standard of nursing care for postoperative hepatic patients through developing a check list for observation of nursing care entailing all criteria related to nursing care for postoperative hepatic patients, testing the validity of this observation checklist from experts of views, developing a nursing standard, and testing its validity and reliability. A descriptive design was used. The setting of the study was Gastro –Entrology Center at Mansoura University hospitals. The sample consisted of 90 of juries, 70 nurses working at the intensive care unit and recovery room. The tools of the study were two juries' opinionnaires, and two observation checklist. The results indicated that, The checklist entailing nursing care for postoperative hepatic patients was valid through full acceptance by juries.' The checklist entailing structure items of postoperative hepatic units was also valid by experts' consensus. Application of The checklist showed that the performance of bachelor nurses was higher than that diploma nurses. The reliability was tested through verifying internal consistency using Cronbach alpha coefficient, and showed high reliability of the tool. All items of initial checklist of nursing care for postoperative hepatic patients and initial checklist of structure items were used in developing the nursing care standard for postoperative hepatic patient, which was also validated by experts.

keywords Nursing standard, postoperative hepatic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| AIM OF THE STUDY | 7 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 8 |
| STANDARDS | 8 |
| History of standards | 8 |
| Definitions of standards | 10 |
| Importance of standards | 13 |
| Developing standards | 15 |
| Writing standards | 18 |
| Validity and reliability of standards | 20 |
| Validity | 20 |
| Reliability | 22 |
| Standards criteria | 24 |
| Types of standards | 27 |
| Structure Standards | 28 |
| Process Standards | 30 |
| Outcome Standards | 32 |

| | | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| | Standards and quality | 33 |
| | Standards and quality assurance | 36 |
| I | PATIENT WITH HEPATIC SURGERY | 37 |
| | The postoperative hepatic patient | 40 |
| 1 | NURSING CARE FOR LIVER SURGERY PATIENTS | 42 |
| SU | BJECTS AND METHODS | 48 |
| RE | SULTS | 60 |
| DIS | SCUSSION | 144 |
| CO | NCLUSION | 164 |
| RE | COMMENDATIONS | 165 |
| SU | MMARY | 167 |
| RE | FERENCES | 172 |
| AP | PENDICES | |
| AR | ABIC SUMMARY | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Page | | Table |
|------|--|------------|
| 62 | Characteristics of jury groups in the study sample | 1 |
| 64 | Agreement of jury groups upon mission and objectives of unit structure items | 2 |
| 66 | Agreement of jury groups upon human and material resources of unit structure items | 3a |
| 67 | Agreement of jury groups upon human and material resources of unit structure items | 3 b |
| 69 | Agreement of jury groups upon record keeping system of unit structure items | 4 |
| 70 | Agreement of jury groups upon job description and performance appraisal system of unit structure items | 5 |
| 72 | Agreement of jury groups upon channels of communication, patient environment, and physical and financial resources of unit structure items | |
| 74 | Agreement of jury groups upon pre-operative assessment items of the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 7 |
| 76 | Agreement of jury groups upon patient assessment items upon arrival to unit in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 8a |
| 77 | Agreement of jury group upon patient assessment items upon arrival to unit in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 8 b |
| 79 | Agreement of jury groups upon nursing diagnosis items of the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 9 |

| Page | | Table |
|------|---|-------|
| 81 | Agreement of jury groups upon nursing planning items of the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 10a |
| 82 | Agreement of jury groups upon nursing planning items of the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 10b |
| 84 | Agreement of jury groups upon immediate nursing intervention items of the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 11 |
| 85 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to respiratory system in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 12a |
| 87 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to circulatory system in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 12b |
| 89 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to body fluids, nausea and vomiting, and pain in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 13a |
| 90 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to body fluids, nausea and vomiting, and pain in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 13b |
| 92 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to hypothermia and patient safety in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 14a |
| 93 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to hypothermia and patient safety in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 14b |
| 94 | Agreement of jury groups upon implementation items related to care of tubes in the proposed observation checklist of nurses' performance | 15a |