

### Does The Combination Of Intrathecal Magnesium Sulfate And Fentanyl Improve Post Cesarean Section Analgesia?

Thesis
Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the M.D Degree
in Anesthesiology

By Ghada Ghazy Ali Khattab

M.B.B.Ch, M.Sc., Anesthesiology
Faculty of Medicine — Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of
Prof. Dr. Nahd Salah El Din Omar
Professor of Anesthesiology and intensive care
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Naglaa Mohammed Ali Professor of Anesthesiology and intensive care Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Azza Atef Abd Al Alim
Assistant professor of Anesthesiology and intensive care
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2013



هل مزج عقار كبريتات الماغنسيوم مع الفينتانيل للحقن تحت الأم العنكبوتية يحسن ألم ما بعد العمليات القيصرية؟

> رسالة توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراة في التخدير

مقدمه من طبیبة/ غادة غازی علی خطاب بكالوریوس الطب- ماجستیر التخدیر كلیة الطب- جامعة عین شمس

تحت إشراف الدكتور/ ناهد صلاح الدين عمر الأستاذ التخدير والرعاية المركزة كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذ الدكتور/ نجلاء محمد على أستاذ التخدير والرعاية المركزة كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

دكتور/ عزة عاطف عبد العليم أستاذ مساعد التخدير والرعاية المركزة كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس ٢٠١٣



# Does The Combination Of Intrathecal Magnesium Sulfate And Fentanyl Improve Post Cesarean Section Analgesia?

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the M.D degree in Anesthesiology

Ву

Ghada Ghazy Ali Khattab
M.B.B.Ch, M.Sc., Anesthesiology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr/ Nahd Salah El Din Omar

Professor of Anesthesiology and intensive care Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr/ Naglaa Mohammed Ali

Professor of Anesthesiology and intensive care Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr/ Azza Atef Abd Al Alim

Assistant professor of Anesthesiology and intensive care Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr/ Rasha Gamal Abu Sinna

Lecturer of Anesthesiology and intensive care Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2010

## Contents

	Page
List of Tables	I
List of Figures	IV
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
Review of Literature	4
Patients and Methods	69
Results	75
Discussion	93
Summary	101
Conclusion	104
References	105
Arabic Summary	

## List of Tables

Tab	le No. Page
(1)	Nerve fibers classification
(2)	Contraindications to spinal anesthesia
(3)	Complications of spinal anesthesia
(4)	Demographic data of the two study groups
(5)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard intraoperative mean blood pressure
(6)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard intraoperative heart rate
(7)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard intraoperative oxygen saturation
(8)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard intraoperative respiratory rate
(9)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard characteristics time to maximum sensory block80

(10)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time to T12	. 81
(11)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of onset of motor block	. 82
(12)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of complete motor block	. 83
(13)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of complete motor recovery	. 84
(14)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard complication of spinal anesthesia	. 85
(15)	Intraoperative need for atropine or ephedrine	. 86
(16)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of first analgesic dose	. 87
(17)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard duration of spinal anesthesia	. 88
(18)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard mean blood pressure	. 89
(19)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard heart rate	. 90

(20)	Comparison	between	2	groups	s as	regard	
	postoperative	monitorin	g		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	91
	1 1		C				
(21)	Comparison	between 2	grou <sub>]</sub>	ps as	regard	oxygen	
	saturation						92

## list of Figures

Figu	ure No.				Pá	age
(1)	Sagital section common feature					16
(2)	Dermatomes of	the body		•••••	•••••	19
(3)	Pharmacologic	structure of	f bupivaca	aine	•••••	35
(4)	Chemical struct	ture of fenta	anyl	•••••		52
(5)	The Verbal Ra	•			-	73
(6)	Comparison lintraoperative n		-		_	76
(7)	Comparison lintraoperative h					77
(8)	Comparison I				_	79
(9)	Comparison lintraoperative r					80
(10)	Comparison l				_	81

(11)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard	92
(12)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of	<b>0</b> 2
(12)	Onset of motor block	83
(13)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of complete motor block	84
(14)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of complete motor recovery	85
(15)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard time of first analgesic dose	86
(16)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard duration of spinal anesthesia	87
(17)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard MBP	88
(18)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard heart rate	89
(19)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard postoperative monitoring	90
(20)	Comparison between 2 groups as regard oxygen saturation	91

#### Aim of work

To study the effect of adding intrathecal magnesium sulfate to bupivacaine, fentanyl in spinal anesthesia in parturients undergoing cesarean section.

The study will be based on the onset, duration and recovery of sensory and motor block, duration of analgesia and post operative analgesia requirements.

#### Introduction

Post cesarean pain is associated with neuroendocrinal responses and catecholamines release that may cause increase in blood pressure and tachycardia. Pain can also increase the risk of thromboembolic disease which is increased during pregnancy. Anxiety and pain may reduce the ability of mother to breast feed effectively so adequate post cesarean section analgesia decreases morbidity and improves parturient outcome (*Gadsden et al.*, 2005).

Co-administration of small doses of fentanyl (25microgram) and bupivacaine (0.5%) for spinal anesthesia increase the duration of anesthesia and may reduce postoperative analgesic requirements in parturients undergoing cesarean section (*Buvanendran et al.*, 2002). However, its use may cause adverse effects such as prurities, urinary retention, respiratory depression, nausea and vomiting and relatively short duration of post operative analgesia (*Unlugenc et al.*, 2009).

Recently, (N-Methyl. D. Aspartate) NMDA receptor antagonists are thought to prevent the induction of central sensitization attributed to peripheral nociceptive stimulation. Magnesium sulfate blocks NMDA channels and so prevents the induction of central sensitization (*Grab et al.*, 2009).

Magnesium sulfate has been used in some studies to prolong duration of spinal anesthesia and reduce side effects observed when local anesthetics combined with opioids (*Malleeswaran*, *et al.*, 2010).

#### For Example:

- 1- The effects of adding magnesium to bupivacaine and fentanyl for spinal anesthesia in knee arthroscopy was investigated and the conclusion was: the time of first analgesic requirement was prolonged significantly by magnesium (*Dayioglu et al.*, 2009).
- 2- The effects of adding intrathecal magnesium sulfate to bupivacaine and fentanyl in spinal anesthesia in patients undergoing lower extremity surgery was investigated and the conclusion was: the addition of magnesium sulfate to bupivacaine and fentanyl in spinal anesthesia prolonged the period of anesthesia (*Özalevli et al.*, 2005).

Intrathecal administration of magnesium sulfate significantly potentiates opioid antinociception during spinal anesthesia (*Unlugenc et al.*, 2009).

#### **Parturients and Methods**

#### **Selection of parturients:**

This is a randomized clinical trial study, 60 parturients at full term gestation presenting for elective cesarean section will participate in the study. They will be undergoing spinal anesthesia. This study will be carried out in Ain Shams University hospitals. The parturients will be divided into two groups (30 parturients each):

- **Group (1):** will receive 10mg of isobaric bupivacaine 0.5% (2ml), 25 microgram of fentanyl (0.5ml) and 50mg of MgSO<sub>4</sub> 5% (0.1ml) intrathecally.
- **Group (2):** will receive 10mg of isobaric bupivacaine 0.5% (2ml), 25 microgram of fentanyl (0.5ml) and 0.1ml of preservative free 0.9% sodium chloride intrathecally.

#### **Inclusion criteria:**

- 1- Age 18-40 yrs.
- 2- Weight: 50-90 kg.
- 3- ASA (American society of Anesthesiolgoy) grade I, II.

#### **Exclusion criteria:**

- 1- Any contraindication to spinal anesthesia (Absolute or relative).
- 2- Allergy to opioids (family or personal hisroty).
- 3- Long term opioids use.
- 4- Significant co-existing disease such as pre-eclampsia.

All parturients will be assessed prior to surgery. Proper clinical evaluation will be performed before admission and parturients will be fasting for at 8 hours for food.

#### **Anesthetic technique:**

On arrival to the operating room, standard monitoring will be established. (Pluse oximetry, electrocardiography, non invasive arterial blood pressure monitoring and fetal heart rate monitoring). A 18-gauge IV cannula will be inserted in a peripheral vein under complete aseptic precautions. After preloading with 1000ml lactated ringer, the parturients will be placed in sitting position. A 23G Quincke needle will be inserted in the L3-L4 inter space under complete aseptic precautions.

**In group (1):** will receive 10mg of isobaric bupivacaine 0.5% (2ml), 25 microgram of fentanyl (0.5ml) and 50mg of MgSO<sub>4</sub> 5% (0.1ml) will be injected intrathecally.

**In group (2):** will receive 10mg of isobaric bupivacaine 0.5% (2ml), 25 microgram of fentanyl (0.5ml) and 0.1ml of preservative free 0.9% sodium chloride will be injected intrathecally.

Then the parturients will be placed supine with 15°-20° left tilt.

#### **Anesthetic Assessment**

- o Demographic profile of the parturients will be recorded including age, height and weight.
- O Hemodynamic parameters including pluse rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation and respiratory rate will be recorded baseline before induction of spinal anesthesia then every 5 minutes after induction of spinal anesthesia for 15 minutes then every 15 minutes till the end of surgery.
- Onset, duration, height of sensory block, time taken for two segment regression, and time when parturients ask for analgesia will be noted, sensory block will be evaluated by pin prick sensation.
- o Onset and duration of motor block will be assessed using modified Bromage's scale grading from 0 (no movements of legs and feet) to 3 (unable to move knees or feet) and noted.
- Sedation will be assessed by a sedation score with four point scale as per filos grading from 1 (awake and alert) to 4 (unarousable) and noted.
- The incidence of post operative nausea, vomiting and pruritis will be also be evaluated and any complication as bradycardia or hypotension will be noted.
- o Pain score using verbal rating scale (VRS) from 0 to 10 (0 = no pain, 10 = maximum pain) every 15 min after the block until the end of surgery and 2, 4, 8, 12hr post operatively.