

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة النساء
"الاية 113"

**A CLINICAL STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF
DIODE LASER THERAPY ON THE
OSSEOINTEGRATION OF DELAYED-
IMMEDIATE IMPLANTS**

Thesis

**Submitted to the Oral Surgery Department,
Faculty Of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo
University.**

**For Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of
the Doctor Degree in Oral Surgery.**

BY

**Dalia Abd El-Khalek Radwan
B.D.S, M.S.D, Cairo University**

**Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University**

2005

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Tarek Abbas Hassan
Head of the Oral Surgery Department
Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Faculty of Oral and Dental medicine,
Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Khaled Abden Zekery
Professor of Oral Prosthesis
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University.

Ass. Prof. Sameh Mekhamer
Assistant Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University

Acknowledgment

First of all, I thank Allah for paving the way to fulfill this work. I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to ***Professor Dr. Tarek Abbas Hassan***, Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. I will remain grateful to him for his advice, scientific supervision, and kind guidance throughout the entire course of this work.

This work would have never been accomplished without the sincere help, guidance and assistance of ***Professor Dr. Khaled Zekery***, Professor of Oral Prosthesis, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. My words can never express my appreciation, gratefulness and thanks to him for teaching me how prepare and perform this work.

I am also grateful to ***Dr. Sameh Mekhamer***, Assistant Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, who generously offered his time, experience, and co-operation to make this work possible.

My deep thanks are extended to ***Professor Dr. Mouchira Salah El-Din***, Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,

Oral Diagnosis, and Oral radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her continuous motivation, exact, precise, and generous supervision, without her support, this work would have never reached its final stages of completion.

Most sincere thanks to all the members of Oral Surgery and oral Radiology departments, Cairo University.

Table of Contents

I- Introduction	2
II- Review of literature	5
III- Aim of the study	77
IV- Patients and methods	79
V- Results	119
VI- Discussion	142
VII- Summary and conclusion	152
VIII- Recommendations	155
IX- Appendix	157
X- References	164
XI- Arabic summary.....	209

List of Figures

Fig. 1: Unilateral mandibular subperiosteal metal framework in its second stage.

Fig. 2: The seven pin mandibular stable.

Fig. 3: A representative group of endosteal root form implants currently in use.

Fig. 4: Diagrammatic representation of the healing phases.

Fig. 5: Diagrammatic representation of the state of the host bed.

Fig 6: Diagrammatic representation showing how to achieve an optimal surgery by controlling the drill size, speed and the irrigation.

Fig. 7: Diagrammatic representation showing that premature movement of the implant will result in soft tissue healing and poor function.

Fig. 8: Properties of the laser beam.

Fig. 9: Physical principle of laser beam generation.

Fig. 10: Diagrammatic representation of the components of the laser system.

Fig. 11: Partially transmissive mirror to emit the laser beam.

Fig. 12: Classification of laser light in the electromagnetic spectrum.

Fig. 13: Diagrammatic representation of n- and p-type semiconductors.

Fig. 14: Diagrammatic representation of semiconductor laser and the depletion zone after energy transmission.

Fig. 15: Frialet-2 instrument set composed of a 2mm twist drill, round head bur, a 3mm twist drill, and 3 stepped drills.

Fig. 16: Three implant lengths: 10, 13 and 15mm.

Fig. 17: Four implant diameters; 3.8, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5mm.

Fig. 18: Ratchet and Placement instruments.

Fig. 19: Long and short screw Drivers.

Fig. 20: Two-part instrument for depth stops adjustment.

- Fig. 21:** Physiodespenser (Electric /Motor irrigation system) and reduction hand speed
- Fig. 22:** Periotome used for separation of the gingival attachment.
- Fig. 23:** Surgical stent with u-shaped spaces regarding the no. of missing teeth.
- Fig. 24:** Periapical x-ray with a metallic ball.
- Fig. 25:** Implant surgical kit.
- Fig. 26:** Preoperative examination of the edentulous space.
- Fig. 27:** Preoperative panoramic x-ray.
- Fig. 28:** Examination of the adaptability of the surgical stent.
- Fig. 29:** The surgical stent allows clear visibility and accessibility to the drilling site.
- Fig. 30:** Modified pyramidal flap at the area of the central incisor.
- Fig. 31:** Reflection of the mucoperiosteal flap buccally and slightly palatally.
- Fig. 31:** Preparation of the implant bed. The drills are held in a vertical direction parallel to the roots of the adjacent teeth.
- Fig. 32:** Frialet-2 dental implant, 4.5mm diameter and 15mm length.
- Fig. 33:** Frialet-2 implant and cover screw in its sterile double vial package.
- Fig. 34:** Final implant threading.
- Fig. 35:** Implant in place and its top is flushes with the bone surface.
- Fig. 36:** The flap is repositioned and sutured.
- Fig. 37:** Postoperative periapical radiograph with the Frialet-2 implant in place.
- Fig. 38:** Gallium Arsenide laser device, Oralaser model no. 1030
- Fig. 39:** Two unit partial denture seated intraorally in the edentulous space

- Fig. 40:** Digitized radiographs representing the follow up periods of one of the laser group.
- Fig. 41:** Digitized radiographs representing the follow up periods of one of the control group.
- Fig. 42:** The Digora system
- Fig. 43:** Representation of the dental implant in yellow color, zone one (osseointegration zone) in blue color and zone two in the red color.
- Fig. 44:** Identification of zone one and two by IDRISI software.
- Fig. 45:** Denso-metric analysis of zone one (osseointegration zone) and zone two around the implant.
- Fig. 46:** Comparison between the average percentage increase in bone density for the lased and the non lased group (zone one)
- Fig. 47:** Histogram showing the maximum and minimum percentage increase in bone density for the lased group (zone one).
- Fig. 48:** Histogram showing the maximum and minimum percentage increase in bone density for the non lased group (zone one).
- Fig. 49:** Pie chart showing the percentage increase in bone density in each follow up period in the lased group (zone one)
- Fig. 50:** Pie chart showing the percentage increase in bone density in each follow up period in the non lased group (zone one)
- Fig. 51:** Bone units of different densities in the apical portion of zone one for a patient in the lased group.
- Fig. 52:** Frequency analysis of different bone densities in the apical portion of a lased patient.
- Fig 53:** Bone units of different densities in the apical portion of zone one for a patient in the non lased group.
- Fig 54:** Frequency analysis of different bone densities in the apical portion of a non lased patient.

Fig 53: Comparison between the average bone densities in zone one and zone two in the lased group.

Fig. 54: Comparison between the average bone density in zone one and zone two in the non lased group.

List of tables

Table 1: Data of the ten implants and causes of tooth extraction in the lased group

Table 2: Data of the ten implants and causes of tooth extraction in the control (non lased) group.

Table 3: Average, maximum, minimum bone density and the p-values of the lased group at different time intervals (zone one).

Table 4: Average, maximum, minimum bone density and the p-values of the non lased group at different time intervals (zone one).

Table 5: p-values of the student t-test done between the lased and the non lased groups (zone one).

Table 6: Average, maximum, minimum percentage increase in bone density in the lased group (zone one).

Table 7: Average, maximum, minimum percentage increase in bone density in the non lased group (zone one).

Table 8: Average, maximum, minimum increase in bone density in the lased group (zone two).

Table 9: Average, maximum, minimum increase in bone density in the non lased group (zone two).

Table 10: p-values of the student t-test done between the lased and the non lased groups (zone two).

Introduction

Introduction

After tooth extraction alveolar ridge topography worsens due to the progressive and irreversible bone resorption. A condition that leads the patient to digestive problems in addition to the psychological ones. Throughout history many clinicians have attempted to use dental implants as a solution for complete and partial edentulism (*Rasmussen, 1992*).

A dental implant is a surgically implanted device that replaces the lost teeth and to which an artificial prosthesis can be attached (*Lemons, 1998*). In the 25 years dramatic technological and biological advances in dental implants have occurred (*Mckinney, 1991*). *Andersson et al, 1998 & Nir-Hadar, 1998* stated that because of the excellent long-term prognosis of implants and its improved esthetic results there is a growing demand to replace lost teeth with implants.

The ability to achieve bone anchoring of implants has been a major interest in oral surgery (*Guglielmotti et al., 1999*). Osseointegration is defined by *Branemark, 1989* as a direct structural and functional contact between the living bone and the surface of the implant.

As there is a number of disadvantages associated with immediate placement of the fixture, e.g. the vertical and horizontal voids created between the bone and the implant (*Ettinger et al., 1993*), the infection that persists at the extraction site, and lack of gingival tissue approximation that endangers the fixture stability (*Ibbott et al., 1993; Gher et al., 1994; Marrit et al., 1993; Rosenquist and Grenthe 1996*). So a technique of delayed immediate

placement was introduced. In this technique the timing of the fixture placement was delayed after tooth extraction by 3-5 weeks. This new technique overcame the disadvantages of immediate implantation (*Nir-Hadar et al., 1998*). *Evian et al., 1982* added other advantages as benefiting of the osteoblastic activity present at the extraction site that helps to narrow the socket and improve the chances of osseointegration.

The last decade had seen an explosion of research work in the application of laser technology in the dental practice (*Walsh, 1997*). In vitro the data demonstrated that biostimulatory effect of laser irradiation can stimulate the release of the growth factors from the cells and increase the number of fibroblast (*Van Breugel, et al., 1992 & Yu, et al., 1994*). It accelerates intracellular metabolism and causes immunostimulation and vasodilatation leading to improved metabolism and overall stimulation of wound healing by selectively influencing connective tissue metabolism (*Yu, et al., 1997*).

The present study was employed in an attempt to evaluate the effect of diode laser therapy on the osseointegration of delayed-immediate implants placed without the use of membranes or bone substitutes.

Review of Literature