

To

My Mother,

Father,

Wife

And my son Asser



Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Study of Calcified Tissues as Radiation Dosimeters

A Thesis

**“Submitted for the degree of Master of Science as a partial
Fulfillment for requirement of the master of Science”**

By

Islam Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali El-Faramawy

Physics Department
Faculty of Science -Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hoda Mohamed Eissa

National Institute of Standard (NIS)

Dr. Abdu Mohamed Ibrahim

Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA)

(Cairo, 2014)



Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Study of Calcified Tissues as Radiation Dosimeters

By

Islam Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed

B.Sc. in physics 2006

**Thesis Submitted to faculty of Science- Ain Shams University
For the degree of Master of Science**

IN

Nuclear & Radiation Physics

**Physics Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University
(2014)**



Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Study of Calcified Tissues as Radiation Dosimeters

By

Islam Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali El-faramawy

Physics Department-Faculty of Science -Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hoda Mohamed Eissa

National Institute Of Standard (NIS)

Dr. Abdu Mohamed Ibrahim

Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA)

(2014)



Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Study of Calcified Tissues as Radiation Dosimeters

By

Islam Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed

Supervisors

signature

Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali El-Faramawy

.....

Prof. of Nuclear Physics

Faculty of science

Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hoda Mohamed Eissa

.....

Prof. of Radiation Physics

National Institute Of Standard (NIS)

Dr. Abdu Mohamed Ibrahim

.....

Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA)

Acknowledgment

Praise is to Allah, who guided me to do this work.

I would like to express my deep thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali El-Faramawy, faculty of science, Ain Shams University for his supervision, for his suggesting the problem, his keen supervision, continuous and variable helpful discussion, theoretical and experimental advice, and encouragement during all the stages of this work.

I am very thankful to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Hoda Mohamed Eissa, National Institute for Standards (NIS), continuous guidance and helpful discussions throughout this work.

Deep thanks and gratitude to my Dr. Abdu Mansour, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA), for his continuous and helpful discussions and for his effort in reviewing the final prints of this work.

My deep appreciation is extended to Dr. Ahmed El-Magraby for their encourage support.

Finally, deep appreciation is extended to all members of Physics Department, Ain Shams University, for their co-operation and also all the personnel of Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA), for their helpful helps.

Contents

Acknowledgement	i
Contents	iv
Figures List	v
Tables List	xi
Subject	Page no.

CHAPTER 1

THEORETICAL ASPECTS

<i>1.1 Introduction</i>	2
<i>1.2 Principles of EPR</i>	7
1.2.1. Paramagnetism	8
1.2.2. Which substances are paramagnetic?	9
1.2.3. Free radicals	11
1.2.4. Resonance	11
1.2.5. Instrumentation	15
<i>1.3 EPR dosimetry with tooth enamel</i>	18
1.3.1. Tooth enamel morphogenesis	18
1.3.2 EPR spectrum of tooth enamel	22
1.3.3 Information gained from EPR spectra	27
1.3.4 The line shapes	30
1.3.5 Intensity of the EPR signal	30
<i>1.4 Aim of the work</i>	32

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Review of literature	33
<i>2.1. Brief history of EPR Dosimetry with tooth enamel</i>	34
<i>2.2. Previous Publications were concerned in four categories</i>	38

CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

<i>3.1. Samples collection, selection and storage.</i>	51
<i>3.2. Tooth enamel preparation</i>	55
3.2.1. Separation of roots from crown.	55
3.2.2. Separation of enamel from dentine.	56
3.2.2.1. Mechanical separation	57
3.2.2.2. Chemical separation	58
3.2.3. Grinding of the enamel sample.	59
<i>3.3. irradiation of samples</i>	60
<i>3.4 EPR spectrometer setup</i>	61

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<i>4.1 EPR Measurements.</i>	64
4.1.1. EPR Calibration and Standards.	64
4.1.2. Choosing the Optimum Parameters.	65

4.1.2.1. Microwave Power.	65
4.1.2.2. Modulation Amplitude.	70
4.1.2.3. Sample's weight.	73
4.1.3. Measuring native and irradiated signal.	76
4.1.3.1 Measuring native and irradiated signal for human tooth enamel.	76
4.1.3.2 Measuring native and irradiated signal for camel tooth enamel.	78
4.2 Dose response	80
4.2.1. Sources of uncertainty	85
4.2.1.1 Sources of type-B uncertainty	85
4.2.1.2 Sources of type-A uncertainty	86
4.3 Stability	94
4.4 Comparison between camel tooth enamel and Alanine dosimetric system	96
4.5 Attributes of the EPR tooth dosimetry method	97

SUMMARY**Conclusion****REFERENCES****ARABIC SUMMERY**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1.1)	Classical model illustrating relationship between angular momentum of electron, moving around a nucleus N and magnetic moment μ	8
Figure (1.2)	Zeeman splitting of energy levels of an electron placed in a magnetic field (B).	12
Figure (1.3)	Lamar precession of magnetic moment μ about stationary field B.	13
Figure(1.4)	A simple ESR spectrometer.	14
Figure(1.5)	Scheme of a CW ESR spectrometer employing magnetic field modulation	16
Figure (1.6)	Anatomy of a tooth and locations of tooth tissues	18
Figure (1.7)	The technology of EPR dosimetry.	22
Figure (1.8)	EPR spectra of tooth enamel after irradiation with different doses	26
Figure (1.9)	Simulated powder spectra for a) isotropic b) axially symmetric c) orthorhombic symmetries.	29
Figure (1.10)	EPR signal with Lorentzian line shape: absorption and first derivative spectra	31
Figure (1.11)	EPR signal with Gaussian line shape: a absorption and derivative spectra	31
Figure. (2.1)	Trend in the number of publications in tooth enamel dosimetry in the period from1986 to 2008	36
Figure (3.1)	Flowchart of tooth collection, selection and storage steps.	53
Figure (3.2)	Separation of crown and roots	56
Figure (3.3)	Water bath for chemical separation	57

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (3.4)	Grinding of the enamel sample	58
Figure (3.5)	Washing samples with ethanol and drying samples	59
Figure (3.6)	^{137}Cs gamma irradiation cell	60
Figure (3.7)	(a), (b) Shows the EPR spectrometer	61
Figure (4.1)	EPR spectrum of DPPH (a) represents Magnetic Field against EPR Intensity (b) represents g-value against EPR intensity.	64
Figure (4.2)	Shows the effect of varying the microwave power on shape of dosimetric EPR signals of tooth enamel.	66
Figure (4.3)	Shows the effect of varying the microwave power on intensity of dosimetric EPR signals of camel tooth enamel.	67
Figure (4.4)	Shows the relation between microwave power and peak-to-peak height.	68
Figure (4.5)	Shows the relation between g-value and the microwave power.	69
Figure (4.6)	Shows the effect of varying the modulation amplitude on shape of dosimetric EPR signals of tooth enamel.	70
Figure (4.7)	Shows the effect of varying the modulation amplitude on intensity of dosimetric EPR signals of camel tooth enamel.	71
Figure (4.8)	Shows the relation between modulation amplitude and peak-to-peak height.	72
Figure (4.9)	EPR spectrum of different sample's mass.	73
Figure (4.10)	Relation between sample weight and signal intensity.	74
Figure (4.11)	Shows the relation between g-value and the sample weight.	75

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (4.12)	Shows the un-irradiated spectrum of human tooth enamel	76
Figure (4.13)	Shows the irradiated spectrum of human tooth enamel.	77
Figure (4.14)	Shows the un-irradiated spectrum of Camel tooth enamel.	78
Figure (4.15)	Shows the irradiated spectrum of camel tooth enamel.	79
Figure (4.16)	(a), (b) shows the dose response of camel tooth enamel low doses	81
Figure (4.16)	(c), (d) shows the dose response of camel tooth enamel high doses	82
Figure (4.17)	Shows the variation of peak to peak height after irradiation.	88
Figure (4.18)	The variation of peak to peak height by experimental reparation	90
Figure (4.19)	The variation of peak to peak height by repositioning the sample in cavity.	92
Figure (4.20)	The variation of peak to peak height by positioning the sample in cavity in the two degrees of orientation 0 and 90.	94
Figure (4.21)	The variation of peak to peak height by positioning the sample in cavity in the different degrees of orientation from 0 to 360.	94
Figure (4.22)	Peak-to-peak heights (HPP) of camel teeth sample as a function of post-irradiation storage time for 2280 h	95

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1.1)	Comparison of various biophysical and cytogenetic techniques on human tissues (such as blood and tooth)	6
Table (1.2)	Major and most important minor constituents of normal healthy human tooth enamel, dentine and bovine cortical bone	21
Table. (2.1)	Overview of the tooth dosimetry content of the seven conferences on EPR dosimetry	35
Table (3.1)	teeth collected from people lived in Egypt.	52
Table (3.2)	Camel teeth collected from camel lived Egypt.	55
Table (4.1)	Spin numbers of camel teeth samples at different doses	84
Table (4.2)	The relation between time after irradiation of camel tooth enamel and EPR signal intensity.	87
Table (4.3)	The values of repeated EPR measurements obtained via adjust the sample fixed position in the cavity.	89
Table (4.4)	The values of repeated EPR measurements obtained via repositioning the sample in the cavity	91
Table (4.5)	The values of EPR measurements obtained via positioning the sample in the cavity in the two degrees of orientation 0 and 90	93
Table (4.6)	Comparison between Camel tooth enamel and alanine dosimetric system	97

CHAPTER 1

THEORETICAL ASPECTS

INTRODUCTION

THIS CHAPTER DISCUSSES SOME THEORETICAL INFORMATION THAT CONSIDERED IN THE EXPERIMENTAL PART, THIS CHAPTER CONSISTS OF.

- 1.1. Introduction***
- 1.2. Principles of EPR***
- 1.3. EPR dosimetry with tooth enamel***

1.1. Introduction

The latter half of the twentieth century has been witness to a variety of releases of radioactive materials from industrial facilities, military program activities, or to over exposure of persons due to the improper use and disposal of radiation sources. These events resulted in a broad range of ionizing radiation exposure to a considerable number of people. There have been about 400 radiation accidents registered in 1944–2000 worldwide leading to confirmed significant overexposure of 3000 persons (Turai et al. 2001). Besides these accidents, there are other exposures to tens of thousands of persons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Techa River basin, Mayak facilities and Chernobyl (Karaoglou et al. 1998; Pierce et al. 1996).

History has demonstrated that despite all precautions, Accidents are unavoidable, and preparation for future incidents is a prudent course of action. Follow-up examinations of these events have commonly found that measurements of the radioactive contamination and dose rates were insufficient to judge the full extent of the Situation. This complicates, and in some cases even prevents, proper dose assessment for exposed individuals or critical groups. Therefore, retrospective assessment of the radiation Exposure is of fundamental importance to the analysis of the radiation risk and is an essential Part of many radio-epidemiological studies. Retrospective dosimetry is also an essential tool for the assessment of individual doses as a basis for the selection of appropriate Countermeasures. In addition, despite well-established regulations and protocols for maintaining radiation protection dose limits for professionals, the assurance that these limits will not be exceeded is not guaranteed. Alternative methods to routine dosimetry for Individual dose