



**The Use Of Erbium Chromium Laser In Recipient
Bed Bio-modification And Connective Tissue
Harvesting for Management of Gingival Recession
(Randomized Clinical Study)**

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of
Doctor Degree in Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral
Diagnosis

By

Tarek Mahmoud EL-Tayeb

B.D.S (Ain Shams University, 2006)

M.D.S (Ain Shams University, 2014)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Youssef Gamal

*Professor and Chairman of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,
Oral Diagnosis and Radiology
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Assist. Prof. Dr. Shahinaz Gamal ELdin Elashiry

*Assistant Professor and Acting Head of Oral Medicine,
Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Misr International
University*

Prof. Dr. Rami Maher Ghali

*Professor of Prosthodontics,
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Fatma Hamed Mohamed Eldemerdash

*Lecturer of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,
Oral Diagnosis and Radiology
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Ain Shams University*

2017

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدقة الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgement

*First and foremost, I am deeply indebted to Allah the most merciful,
Who gave me the power to accomplish this work,*

I wish to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ahmed Youssef Gamal Professor and Chairman of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University for suggesting the point of the thesis, supervision of the work and for his valuable guidance and tremendous efforts. It was a great honor to work under his supervision.

I also express my deepest thanks to Dr. Shahinaz Gamal ELdin Elashiry, Assistant Professor and Acting Head of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Misr International University for her helpful advice and continuous encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to Dr. Rami Maher Ghali, Professor OF Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University for his great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

I wish to thank Dr. Fatma Hamed Mohamed Eldemerdash, Lecturer of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis and Oral Radiology Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her excellent cooperation and valuable advice.

Thanks to Dr. Khaled Kera for graciously lending his time and expertise in content analysis.

Many thanks and appreciation to all those who generously gave me time to fill in the forms used in this work especially Dr. Islam Shawky and the staff members and my colleagues of Oral Medicine and Periodontology Department, Ain Shams University and Misr International University, without their cooperation, this study would have never been completed.

Finally, I am grateful to my family and my close friends for their special support, care and love.

Tarek Mahmoud Eltayeb

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	v
List of Abbreviations	viii
Introduction and Review of Literature	1
Aim of the Study	48
Subjects and Methods	49
Results	68
Case Presentation	92
Discussion	97
Summary	110
Conclusions and Recommendations	113
References	114
Arabic Summary	-

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	A summary of grouping and subgrouping of participants in the current study.	52
2	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between PI scores of group A at different time periods within each subgroup (Friedman's test) and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	71
3	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between GI scores of group A at different time periods within each subgroup (Friedman's test) and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	72
4	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between PI scores of group B at different time periods within each subgroup (Friedman's test) and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	72
5	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between GI scores of group B at different time periods within each subgroup (Friedman's test) and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	72
6	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between PPD (mm) of group A at different time periods within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (repeated measures ANOVA)	73
7	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between CAL (mm) of group A at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	73
8	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between PPD (mm) of group B at different time periods within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (repeated measures ANOVA)	74
9	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between CAL (mm) of group B at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and	74

Table No.	Title	Page No.
	between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	
10	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between RD (mm) of group A at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	75
11	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between % of root coverage of group A at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the three subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	76
12	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between RD (mm) of group B at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	77
13	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between % of root coverage of group B at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the three subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	77
14	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between RW (mm) of group A at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the three subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	79
15	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between RW (mm) of group B at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the three subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	80
16	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between HKT (mm) of group A at different time periods within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (repeated measures ANOVA)	82
17	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between HKT (mm) of group B at different time periods within each subgroup and between the 3 subgroups (repeated measures ANOVA)	82
18	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between GT (mm) of group A at different time	84

Table No.	Title	Page No.
	periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the three subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	
19	Mean (\pm SD) values and results of comparison between GT (mm) of group B at different time periods (Friedman's test) within each subgroup and between the three subgroups (Kruskal-Wallis test)	84
20	Mean baseline and 9m values (in mm), mean difference (Δ in mm) and % change between (baseline - 9m) values. It also shows comparison of those values between subgroups AI, AII and AIII using the relevant statistical test [(ANOVA for parametric data of mean PPD at baseline, 9m as well as mean difference (BL – 9m); Kruskal-Wallis test (for non-parametric data)]	85
21	Mean baseline and 9m values (in mm), mean difference (Δ in mm) and % change between (baseline - 9m) values. It also shows comparison of those values between subgroups BI, BII and BIII using the relevant statistical test [(ANOVA for parametric data of mean PPD at baseline, 9m as well as mean difference (BL – 9m); Kruskal-Wallis test (for non-parametric data)]	86
22	Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for comparison between VAS scores of the two harvesting techniques subgroups	89
23	Descriptive statistics and results of Fisher's Exact test for comparison between intake of analgesic tablets in subgroups receiving two different harvested DGG techniques among subgroups.	90

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
1	Photograph shows radiographic stent for standardization of GT measurements using gutta percha marker corresponding to the tooth 13 and placed midlabial on the crown portion of the stent.	57
2	Screenshot of the software window showing pre-operative (BL) GT measurement 2 mm apical to the free GM using the linear measurement tool (GT= 0.84 mm).	57
3	Screenshot of the software window showing post-operative (9m) GT measurement 2 mm apical to the free GM using the linear measurement tool (GT= 2.18 mm).	57
4	A preoperative photograph showing single recession (RD=3 mm) on the labial aspect of tooth 13.	64
5	A clinical photograph showing horizontal and vertical incisions of CAF.	64
6	A clinical photograph showing flap elevation and de-epithelialization of the anatomical papillae.	64
7	A clinical photograph showing root surface biomodification with Er,Cr:YSGG	65
8	A clinical photograph showing DGG harvesting using Er,Cr:YSGG.	65
9	A clinical photograph showing de-epithelialization of the free gingival graft using 15c blade.	65
10	A clinical photograph showing suturing the harvested DGG 1mm coronal to CEJ.	66
11	A clinical photograph showing suturing of the flap.	66
12	Pie chart representing gender distribution in group A and B	70
13	Pie charts representing tooth type distribution in group A and B	70
14	Bar chart representing comparison between mean RD in the three subgroups of group A and B.	78
15	Bar chart representing comparison between mean % root coverage in the three subgroups of group A and B.	78
16	Pie chart representing number of cases that reached CRC in each subgroup of group A and B.	78
17	Bar chart representing comparison between mean RW in	80

	the three subgroups of group A and B periods in each group	
18	Bar chart representing comparison between mean HKT in the three subgroups of group A and B	83
19	Bar chart representing comparison between mean GT in the three subgroups of group A and B	84
20	Bar chart representing comparison between mean VAS in the two harvesting techniques subgroups	89
21	A clinical photograph showing preoperative isolated recession, Miller's class I, at the maxillary left canine (RD=3mm)	92
22	A clinical photograph showing CRC of the treated tooth after 3 months	92
23	A clinical photograph showing continued CRC of the treated tooth after 9 months	92
24	A clinical photograph showing preoperative isolated recession, Miller's class I, at the mandibular left canine (RD=3mm)	93
25	A clinical photograph showing CRC of the treated tooth after 3 months	93
26	A clinical photograph showing continued CRC of the treated tooth after 9 months	93
27	A clinical photograph showing preoperative isolated recession, Miller's class I, at the maxillary right canine (RD=3mm)	94
28	A clinical photograph showing CRC of the treated tooth after 3 months	94
29	A clinical photograph showing continued CRC of the treated tooth after 9 months. Note the site-specific increase in GT at the area of previous GR. This extra GT was adjusted later on	94
30	A clinical photograph showing preoperative single recession, Miller's class III, at the mandibular right central incisor (RD=3mm)	95
31	A clinical photograph showing PRC of the treated tooth after 3 months (RD=1mm)	95
32	A clinical photograph showing CRC of the treated tooth after 9 months	95
33	A clinical photograph showing preoperative isolated recession, Miller's class III, at the maxillary right canine (RD=3mm)	96

34	A clinical photograph showing PRC for the treated tooth after 3 months (RD= 1mm)	96
35	A clinical photograph showing continued PRC for the treated tooth after 9 months (RD= 1mm)	96

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
%RC	Percentage of root coverage
ADM	Acellular dermal matrix
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BL	Baseline
CAF	Cornally advanced flap
CAL	Clinical attachment level
CBCT	Cone beam computed tomography
CEJ	Cemento-enamel junction
CRC	Complete root coverage
CTG	Connective tissue graft
DGG	De-epthelialized gingival graft
EG	Epithelialized Graft
EMD	Enamel matrix derivatives
Er,Cr:YSG G	Erbium, Chromium, Scandium, Gallium and Garnet
Er:YAG	Erbium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet
FGG	Free gingival graft
GI	Gingival index
GM	Gingival margin
GR	Gingival recession
GRD	Gingival thickness
GT	Gingival recession depth
GTR	Guided tissue regeneration
HKT	Higth of keratinized of tissue
HLLT	High level laser therapy
Hz	Hertz
LASER	Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation
LLLT	Low level laser therapy
MGJ	Mucogingival junction

Abb.	Full term
Nd:YAG	Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet
PI	Plaque index
PPD	Probing pocket depth
PR	Palatal recession
PRC	Partial root coverage
RD	Recession depth
RW	Recession width
SCTG	Subepithelial connective tissue graft
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error
VAS	Visual analogue scale
VISTA	Vestibular incision subperiosteal tunnel access
W	Watt

INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Mucogingival therapy is a general term used to describe periodontal treatment involving procedures for the correction of defects in the morphology, position and/or amount of soft tissue and underlying bone support around teeth (**American Academy of Periodontology, 2001**).

Friedman in **1957** introduced surgical procedures designed to preserve gingival tissue, remove aberrant frenal or muscle attachments and increase the depth of the vestibule. In **1996**, the international scientific community accepted the term ‘periodontal plastic surgery’ introduced by **Miller** in **1993**, which was defined as ‘surgical procedures performed to prevent or correct anatomic, developmental, traumatic or disease-induced defects of the gingiva, alveolar mucosa or bone (**Wennstrom, 1996**). This definition includes various soft and hard tissue procedures aimed at gingival augmentation and root coverage.

Etiology and pathogenesis of gingival recession

The gingival margin (GM) is clinically scalloped located 1-2 mm coronal to the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ). Gingival recession (GR) was first defined by **Gorman (1967)** as the apical migration of the gingiva exposing the root. It was also defined as the term used to characterize the apical shift of the marginal gingiva from its normal position on the crown of the tooth to levels on the root surface beyond the CEJ (**Löe et al., 1992**).

Afterwards, **Smith (1997)** redefined it as a condition where the GM lies against any part of the root surface of the tooth. He noted that it is better not to correlate the recession to the CEJ as it is sometimes obliterated by restorations, so it is enough to mention that the GM lies on the root. Later on, in the Glossary of Periodontal Terms (**American Academy of Periodontology, 2001**); GR was defined as the location of the GM apical to the cemento-enamel junction.

A. Anatomical factors:

These include fenestration and dehiscence of the alveolar bone, abnormal tooth position in the arch, an aberrant path of eruption of the tooth and the shape of the individual tooth. The deficiencies in alveolar bone may be developmental (anatomical) or acquired (physiological or pathological) (**Alldritt, 1968**).

All those anatomical factors are interconnected and may lead to an alveolar osseous plate that is thinner than normal and consequently be more susceptible to resorption. One surgical study found a correlation between GR and bone dehiscence (**Bernimoulin and Curilovie, 1977; Modeer and Odenrick, 1980; Lost, 1984; Kassab and Cohen, 2003**).

Dehiscence may be present where the bucco-lingual thickness of a root is similar to or exceeds the crestal bone thickness. It has been also postulated that patients with morphological biotypes characterized by narrow, long teeth are more susceptible to dehiscence than are people with broad short teeth. In teeth where GR has developed, an underlying dehiscence may be considered and possibly discovered during flap procedures (**Olsson and Lindhe, 1991**).

B. Physiological iatrogenic factors:

Physiological factors may include the orthodontic movement of teeth to positions outside the labial or lingual alveolar plate, leading to dehiscence formation. It has been suggested that the acquired loss of alveolar bone might be associated with a number of identifiable physiological or pathological conditions for which bone loss is part of a physiological or pathological process (**Wennstrom et al., 1987**). The GR may appear as a deep and narrow lesion, similar to a 'Stillman cleft', in which oral hygiene measures becomes very difficult to perform, and bacterial infection may induce the formation of a buccal probing pocket of sufficient depth to reach the periapical environment of the tooth. Sometimes a delayed diagnosis is made only when an endodontic abscess occurs (**Zucchelli and Mounssif, 2015**).

The volume of the facial soft tissue may be a factor in anticipating whether GR will take place during or after active orthodontic treatment. A thin biotype may be a greater risk factor for progression of GR in the presence of plaque-induced inflammation or tooth brushing trauma (**Wennstrom et al., 1987**). Consequently, the active orthodontic movement of the teeth outside the alveolar bone may be considered as an etiological factor (**Zucchelli and Mounssif, 2015**).

During the post-orthodontic retention phase, wide and deep multiple GR may occur as a result of tooth malposition. In such condition, orthodontic therapy is considered as a predisposing factor for GR. Sometimes, isolated deep GRs occur in the lower incisors a few years after orthodontic therapy. Common characteristics associated with these gingival defects are the presence of a round-wire lingual bonded retainer