

F¹⁸FDG PET/CT Pitfalls and Artifacts in Pediatric Malignancies

Thesis

Submitted in the Partial Fulfillment for MD Degree in
Nuclear Medicine

By

Ayah Adel Nawwar
M.B.B.CH, M.Sc.

Supervised By

Prof. Hosna Mohamed Moustafa

Professor of Nuclear Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Prof. Walid Soliman Omar

Professor of Nuclear Medicine
National Cancer Institute- Cairo University

Assis. Prof. Mahassen Amin Abu Gabal

Assistant Professor of Nuclear Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

2015

LIST OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Acknowledgment	II
List of Abbreviation	III
List of Figures	V
List of Tables	VIII
INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF THE WORK	4
REVIEW OF LITERATURE:	
▪ Pediatric Definitions	5
▪ Paediatric Malignancies in Egypt	7
▪ Indications and Contraindications	9
▪ Practical Aspects	14
▪ Radiopharmaceutical	25
▪ Imaging	37
▪ Image Interpretation	42
▪ Pitfalls and Artifacts	44
▪ Normal Distribution and Physiologic Variants of ¹⁸ F- FDG Uptake in Children	45
▪ Benign Causes of Abnormal ¹⁸ F FDG Uptak	76
▪ Therapy Related Pitfalls	79
▪ Technical Artifacts	82
PATIENTS AND METHODS	87
RESULTS	93
CASE PRESENTATIONS	110
DISCUSSION	116
SUMMARY	140
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	142
REFERENCES	143
ARABIC SUMMARY	

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my special appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Hosna Moustafa, for her stimulating motivation and continuous support and guidance. Her advice on both this research as well as my career has been invaluable. A true role- model. For you I will always be indebted.

I would also like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Walid Omar, for his incredible generosity and guidance. Without your help, this work wouldn't have been possible.

Ass. Prof. Dr. Mahasen, I thank you for always being there, not only as a constructing supervisor, but also as a backbone to this work. Thank you for always being there.

I would especially like to thank my Professors and colleagues at the Children's Cancer Hospital of Egypt (CCH/E) for all their help, invaluable knowledge and for simply baring with me. Their family spirit was undoubtedly contagious and welcomed me as one of their own. I'd like to also thank my NEMROCK family, who were all a part of this work in their own special way. I would like to thank Dr. Ahmed Tawakol per se, for coming out of his way and taking the time and effort in helping review all the cases.

A special thank you to my family. Words cannot express how grateful I am to each and every one of you for all the sacrifices that you've made. Your prayers and encouragement for me were what sustained me this far.

List of Abbreviations

AC	: Attenuation Corrected
ALARA	: As low as reasonably achievable
BAT	: Brown Adipose Tissue
BGO	: Bismuth germanium oxide
CCHE	: Children's cancer Hospital Egypt
CSF	: Colony- stimulating factor
e.g.	: Exempli gratia
EANM	: European Association of Nuclear Medicine
EMS	: Emergency Medical Services
Etc	: Et cetera
G- CSF	: Granulocyte colony- stimulating factor
GLUT	: Glucose Transporter
HD	: Hodgkin's Disease/ lymphoma
ICRP	: International Commission Radiological Protection
kg	: Kilogram
kV	: Kilovolt
mAs	: Milliampere
Max	: Maximum
MBq	: Megabecquerel
mCi	: Millicurie
MIBG	: Metaiodobenzylguanidine
min	: Minute
MIP	: Maximum intensity projection
mm	: Millimeter
MR	: Magnetic resonance
NHL	: Non- Hodgkin's Lymphoma
PET/MR	: Positron emission tomography–magnetic resonance imaging
Q	: The decay-corrected activity in the region of interest in Becquerels per milliliter, W is, and
Q inj	: The injected dose in Becquerels.
ROI	: Region of interest

SD	: Standard Deviation
SPSS	: Statistical package for social sciences
SUV	: Standardized Uptake Value
Vs.	: Versus
W	: The body weight of the patient in kilograms
18F-FDG PET/CT	: fluorine-18 Fluoro-deoxyglucose, Positron Emission Tomography–Computed Tomography
2D	: Two-dimensional
3D	: Three-dimensional

List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1.	Normal age-dependent patterns of ^{18}F -FDG uptake in the brain.	19
2.	Normal ^{18}F -FDG PET in pediatric patients.	26
3.	^{18}F -FDG uptake in brown adipose tissue is common in children and adolescents	31
4.	Activity- related ^{18}F -FDG uptake in skeletal muscle.	33
5.	Example patient worksheet to help ensure that all data are recorded correctly and to help eliminate inaccuracies in standardized uptake value calculations	36
6.	Coronal ^{18}F FDG PET scan shows normal physiologic ^{18}F FDG uptake in the brain, heart, liver, spleen, urinary collecting system (including the bladder), and bone marrow.	42
7.	Maxi- mum intensity-projection (MIP) FDG PET image	47
8.	MIP FDG PET image	48
9.	MIP FDG PET image	49
10.	Transverse FDG PET scan	50
11.	Coronal FDG PET scan shows diffuse and homogeneous uptake in the normal thymus.	52
12.	Transaxial (left), coronal (middle), and sagittal ⁽²⁾ ^{18}F -FDG PET scans obtained in an 11-year-old boy with lymphoma demonstrate an inverted V-shaped area of anterior mediastinal uptake on the transaxial view with a maximum standardized uptake value (SUV) of 3.0. Given the morphologic features, this finding is typical of normal thymic uptake. ⁽⁵⁾	53
13.	Transaxial (left), coronal (middle), and sagittal ⁽²⁾ ^{18}F -FDG PET scans obtained in a 17- year-old girl who was being treated for lymphoma demonstrate linear uptake in the anterior mediastinum with a maximum SUV of 3.8. Differentiation of lymphoma from thymic hyperplasia is difficult in such cases. A repeat study performed 5 months later showed continued absence of lymphoma with persistent anterior mediastinal uptake in the same triangular configuration. This finding was believed to represent thymic hyperplasia. ⁽⁵⁾	54
14.	(a) Transaxial (left), coronal (middle), and sagittal ⁽²⁾ ^{18}F -FDG PET scans obtained in a 14-yearold boy with lymphoma involving the right arm demonstrate a triangular area of anterior mediastinal uptake.	56
15.	MIP FDG PET image obtained in a patient who had eaten a heavy meal 3 hours prior to ^{18}F FDG injection shows in- tense uptake in the heart and high soft-tissue background activity. ⁽³⁾	58

Figure No.	Title	Page
16.	Sagittal FDG PET scan (a) and fused PET/CT image ⁽¹⁾ show linear ¹⁸ F FDG up- take anterior to the tho- racic spine (a), a finding that corresponds to the normal esophagus ^{(1). (3)}	60
17.	Coronal FDG PET scan (a) and transverse fused PET/CT image obtained at the level of the stomach ⁽¹⁾ show curvilinear ¹⁸ F FDG uptake corresponding to the normal gastric wall. Note also the bilateral muscle activity in the neck on the coronal image. ⁽³⁾	61
18.	Coronal FDG PET scan (a) and transverse CT (1) and fused PET/CT (c) images show markedly in- creased ¹⁸ F FDG uptake in the normal right colon (arrow). Note also the physiologic uptake in the thymus on the coronal image.	62
19.	Normal uptake and accumulation of ¹⁸ F-FDG in the genitourinary tract.	64
20.	Physiologic testicular uptake in a 16-year-old boy with a history of lymphoma of the left tibia.	66
21.	Ovarian corpus luteum cyst in a 17-year-old girl with a history of stage IVB Hodgkin disease.	66
22.	Muscle uptake in a 2-year-old girl who was crying after ¹⁸ F FDG injection.	68
23.	7 year old female patient. Mild ¹⁸ F-FDG uptake can be seen in the growth centers of the wrists of an immature skeleton	70
24.	MIP FDG PET image shows the typical distribution of uptake in brown adipose tissue in pediatric patients.	73
25.	Coronal FDG PET scan (a) and fused PET/CT image ⁽¹⁾ show bilateral linear ¹⁸ F FDG uptake in the distal femora and proximal tibiae, findings that correspond to the long bone physes. ⁽³⁾	74
26.	Effect of granulocyte CSF treatment in a 15-year-old girl with Hodgkin disease.	75
27.	Pneumonia in an 8 year old boy with history of neuroblastoma, fever and cough.	78
28.	FDG uptake at subcutaneous injection sites in a 16-year-old girl with a history of lymphoma and pathologic fracture of the proximal left femur.	78
29.	Attenuation correction artifact	82
30.	Respiratory motion artifact.	84
31.	Motion artifact in a 16-year-old girl.	85
32.	Prevalence of Primary Tumours in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	94
33.	Incidence of Various Pitfalls in Lymphoma and Neuroblastoma Patients	95
34.	Pitfalls and Artifacts in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	96

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
35.	Physiological Uptake in 833 Sites in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	97
36.	Head and Neck Pitfalls in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	97
37.	Laryngeal Uptake in One of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	99
38.	Parotid Uptake in One of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	99
39.	Focal Ureteric Uptake in one of the 253 paediatric oncology scans	100
40.	Myocardial Uptake in One of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	101
41.	Brown Adipose Tissue in One of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	103
42.	Earing Artifact in One of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans. Also focal bilateral tonsillar uptake is seen	104
43.	Patient Movement in one of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	105
44.	Technical Related Artifacts	106
45.	Thymic Uptake in one of the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	108

List of Tables

Table No	<i>Title</i>	Page
1.	The Most Common Childhood Cancers among Egyptians	7
2.	Cancer incidence and mortality in children age 0-14	7
3.	Recommended activity based upon the revised EANM Dosage Card for 2D and 3D whole-body 18F-FDG PET	28
4.	Radiation dose to various organs in healthy subjects after administration of 18F-FDG according to the ICRP Publication 80	29
5.	Demographic Features in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	94
6.	Pitfall Incidence per Primary Tumour in 253 Paediatric Oncology scans	96
7.	Different Types of Artifacts in 1089 sites in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	96
8.	Physiologic Head and Neck Pitfalls in 279 Sites in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	99
9.	GIT Pitfalls in 150 sites in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	101
10.	Mediastinal Pitfalls in 252 Paediatric Oncology Scans	101
11.	Muscular Pitfalls in 88 sites in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	102
12.	Technical Artifacts in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	106
13.	Benign Lesions in 49 sites in the 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	108
14.	Therapy Related Artifacts in 34 Sites in 253 Paediatric Oncology Scans	109

INTRODUCTION

Although the incidence of childhood malignancy remains relatively stable, survival rates have significantly improved over the past 30 years. In addition to improved treatment strategies, survival gains have relied upon continuous improvements in the accurate detection, staging and follow-up of these cancers⁽¹⁻⁷⁾.

¹⁸F-FDG PET is being applied with increasing frequency in the evaluation of children with various malignancies.^(5, 8-12) However, a number of pitfalls are commonly encountered with ¹⁸F-FDG PET in children, including uptake in benign lesions and normal physiologic activity, leading to possible misinterpretation as malignancy and inaccurate disease staging^(8,13,14). FDG is therefore not a tumour-specific entity and can accumulate in a number of physiological and pathological processes⁽⁷⁾.

Accurate interpretation of ¹⁸F-FDG PET and PET/CT requires a technically adequate study and knowledgeable interpretation of the resulting images. Children are not just small adults and differ in their psychology, normal physiology, and pathophysiology. Furthermore, different tumour entities or tumour subtypes with different tumour biology may be seen in children in comparison to adults, which should be taken into account when performing PET in paediatrics^(6, 15, 16).

Therefore, pediatric ¹⁸F-FDG PET requires age-appropriate patient preparation, technically adequate acquisition, and appropriate image interpretation. Performing PET in pediatric patients requires consideration of the developmental stage of each patient and may require decisions about

sedation and general anesthesia. A technically inadequate study can have decreased sensitivity for abnormal findings and may have technical artifacts that may obscure real findings. Accurate interpretation of the resulting images requires familiarity with the normal patterns of ^{18}F -FDG distribution in children and the ability to recognize common developmental and physiologic patterns in the body and brain⁽¹⁵⁾.

It is therefore vital to address this issue due its importance in the paediatric oncology population in terms of diagnosis, staging and in turn treatment.

AIM OF WORK

- To assess prevalence of various pitfalls and artifacts in pediatric ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT that may affect image interpretation, diagnosis and in turn, patient management.
- Qualitative and quantitative assessment of various pitfalls.
- Correlating pitfalls prevalence with tumor primary.

Pediatric Definitions

The definition of a pediatric patient for the purposes of San Mateo County EMS protocols is age less than 15 years or a length-based weight of 36 kg or less. Patients who are known to be less than 15 years of age but whose weight exceeds 36 kg may still be considered pediatric patients given their chronological age; however weights will then need to be estimated and adult dosages should be used. The following are age classifications of pediatric patients that may assist pre- hospital personnel in their assessment and management of pediatric patients. ^(17, 18)

- Neonate: newborn up to first 28 days of life
- Infant: comprises neonatal period up to 12 months
- Toddler: 1-3 years
- Early Childhood: 2-5 years
- Late childhood: 6-11 years
- Adolescent: 12-18 years

It is important to consider developmental age, rather than chronological age when working with this population. General Approach to Caring for the Pediatric Patients:

- Allow the parent/caregiver to remain with the patient whenever possible.
- Adolescents may want to be examined without parent/caregiver. Honor their request if possible and provide them with privacy.

- Obtain history from both older children and adolescents and their parents
- Approach child slowly and calmly. Observe level of consciousness, activity level and respiratory rate/effort before touching.
- Compare assessment findings with parents' description of normal behavior.
- Be honest with the child and parent/caregiver. Explain all procedures to older children and adolescents directly.
- Allow child to hold a familiar security object. Use distraction techniques to assist in gaining cooperation.
- Acknowledge positive behaviors, no matter how small.

Paediatric Malignancies in Egypt

Treatment of childhood cancer is one of the great success stories of modern medicine.

There are marked differences between childhood and adult cancer. First, cancer is generally a rare disease among children. Annual incidence of all cancer in children under 5 years of age in developed countries is only 0.5% ⁽¹⁹⁾. In European countries, 1% of all malignant neoplasms occur in patients younger than 20 years of age ^(20, 21). Second, childhood cancers are histologically variable, and embryonic tumors are the most common, while the majority of adult cancers are carcinomas ^(19, 22,23).

Childhood cancer is relatively rare in Egypt, with an age-standardized incidence rate of 130.9 per 100, 000 (150.3 in males and 110.7 in females) ^(24,25). The most common cancers diagnosed among Egyptian children are summarized in tables 1, while table 2 compares cancer incidence and mortality in Egypt to international incidence. Childhood lymphoma is especially high among Egyptians and constitutes a high proportion of all childhood malignancies in Egypt ⁽²⁶⁾.