



Assessment of Thermoelastic Retainer on the Abutment Supporting Structure in Bilateral Distal Extension Cases

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

**In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
Master Degree in oral and Maxillofacial
Prosthodontics**

By

Mohammed Hamed AbdelAziz

B.D.S, Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University-2007

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2016

Supervisors

Dr. Ingy A. Talaat

Professor of Prosthodontics
Prosthodontic Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mona M. AboElnagga

Lecturer of Prosthodontics
Prosthodontic Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University



تقييم المشابك من الراتنج المطاطى على العظام المحيطة بالأسنان الداعمة للسرّج الخلفى ثنائى الجانب ذو النهايه الحره

رسالة مقدمة الى قسم الإستعاضة الصناعية بكلية طب
الأسنان جامعة عين شمس للحصول على درجة الماجستير فى
الإستعاضة الصناعية للفم والوجه والفكين

مقدمة من

الطبيب/ محمد حامد عبد العزيز

بكالوريوس طب وجراحة الفم والأسنان

جامعة عين شمس - ٢٠٠٧

كلية طب الفم والأسنان

جامعة عين شمس

٢٠١٦

تحت اشراف

أ.د / إنجي امين طلعت

أستاذ الإستعاضة الصناعية
كلية طب الأسنان – جامعة عين شمس

د/ منى محمد على أبوالنجا

مدرس الإستعاضة الصناعية
كلية طب الأسنان – جامعة عين شمس

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ingy Amin Talaat**, Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her generous support, faithful supervision and valuable guidance throughout the whole study.*

*I would also like to thank **Dr. Mona Mohammed AboelNagga** Lecturer of prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her remarkable help, valuable advice, constant support and encouragement during the course of this study.*

Last but not least, I would like to express my deep thanks to all the staff members of the Prosthodontic Department for their support, encouragement and cooperation.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	I
LIST OF FIGURES	II
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
Causes and sequel of partial edentulism	3
Problems of restoring distal extension	4
Treatment options for management of distal extension cases..	10
Retainers for Class I RPDs.....	14
Clasp retainers	15
Esthetics considerations in RPD	20
Attachments	22
Nonmetal clasp dentures (NMCD)	25
Types of thermo plastic materials	27
Evaluation of Periodontal Condition of Abutment teeth.....	32
Clinical evaluation.....	32
Radiographic Evaluation	36
AIM OF THE STUDY	44

MATERIALS AND METHODS	45
RESULTS.....	63
DISCUSSION.....	75
SUMMARY	83
REFERENCES.....	86
ARABIC SUMMARY	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table. No.	Title	Page
(1)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and paried-t test for the marginal bone height change at the mesial and distal surfaces for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm) and group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm).	64
(2)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and Paired-T test for marginal bone height change at the right and left sides for Group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm).	67
(3)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and Paired-T test for marginal bone height change at the right and left sides for Group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm).	67
(4)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and paired –t for bone height changes in Group I(abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm) during the follow up period.	68
(5)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and paired –T test for bone height changes in Group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm)during the follow up period.	69
(6)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and student-t test for the amount of marginal bone height change for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm)) and group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm).	70
(7)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) and paired-t test for the measured pocket depth for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm) & group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm) during the follow up period.	72
(8)	Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and student-t test for the measured pocket depth for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retainers) & group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retainers) patients during the follow up period	73

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page
1.	Intra oral view of bilateral mandibular distal extension edentulous area	47
2.	Primary impression	48
3.	Preoperative panoramic radiograph	49
4.	Surveying of the study cast	50
5.	Secondary impression using rubber base impression	51
6.	Special tray attached to the metal framework	53
7.	Final impression using altered cast impression technique	53
8.	Finished partial denture	54
9.	Metal framework without the retentive arm	55
10.	Metal try in without the retentive arm of the RPI clasp	56
11.	Thermopress 400 injection machine	57
12.	Joining the flexible retentive arm with the metal framework	58
13.	Finished and delivered partial denture	58
14.	Measurement of the pocket depth	59
15.	Measurement of the alveolar bone height using panoramic x-ray	61

Fig. No.	Title	Page
16.	Bar chart representing the mean amount of marginal bone height change mm at the mesial and distal surfaces for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm) and group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm).	65
17.	Bar chart representing marginal bone loss at the right and left sides for Group I (abutments bearing cast retentive clasp arm) and Group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm).	67
18.	Bar chart representing the mean amounts of marginal bone height change for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm) & group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm) patients during the follow up period.	71
19.	Line chart representing mean of the measured pocket depth for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp Arm) & group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm) during the follow up period.	72
20.	Bar chart representing mean values of the measured pocket depth for group I (abutments bearing co-cr retentive clasp arm) & group II (abutments bearing thermoelastic retentive clasp arm) patients during the follow up period	74

INTRODUCTION

Removable Partial Dentures (RPDs) are provided to restore facial form and masticatory function after tooth loss. Cobalt chromium (Co-Cr) alloy has been traditionally used as the material of choice in the fabrication of definitive cast RPDs, since 1929. However, in the Kennedy class I partially edentulous condition, the use of metal alloys together with the design considerations pose unique challenges to the esthetic and biological acceptance of the restoration.

Special clasp designs and stress breakers have been employed to avoid traumatizing the supporting tissues. However the cast clasps are unsightly and pose problems in bilateral undercuts, along with difficulty in relining and repair procedures.

Recent advances of thermoelastic resins are promising as an alternative material which could overcome the challenges posed by the cast metal RPDs. They have been introduced as thermoplastic acetal and super polyamide in which the resin polymers are reinforced with glass fillers or aramid fibers to produce a material which is much more stable in nature and provides resistance to polymer unzipping.⁽¹⁾

These materials are claimed to have higher creep resistance, flexibility, fatigue endurance, dimensional stability, wear characteristics and solvent resistance, they also match tooth and tissue color, are light weight and heat resistant. Cast Chromium Cobalt alloy has been the material of choice for fabricating Removable Partial Dentures (RPDs) but has certain drawbacks.

Newer materials like thermoplastic acetal and flexible Nylon based Super Polyamide, have been introduced to overcome these drawbacks & improves esthetics⁽²⁾

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Causes and sequel of partial edentulism:

Oral health environment has been found to influence general health, quality of life and economic. Edentulism (partial or complete) is a key indicator of the oral health of a population. An edentulous space in the dental arch is normally formed by one or multiple missing tooth. ⁽²⁾

Tooth loss has been reported to be mainly due to dental caries and periodontal disease. History of high tobacco consumption is also a risk factor for tooth loss in addition it has been documented that age and tooth loss show a positive relation. ⁽³⁾

Partial edentulism is more common in maxilla than in the mandible, and anterior tooth loss following posterior tooth loss. According to Hoover and McDermount the prevalence of edentulism is higher in males than females. ⁽⁴⁾

Classification of partially edentulous arches helps to identify the relation of the remaining teeth to the edentulous ridges and facilitates communication, discussion, and comprehension of the suggested prosthetic treatment among dentists, students and technicians. As of its simplicity, application to all semi-dentate situations, immediate visualization of the type of partially edentulous arch being considered and differentiation between tooth borne and tooth tissue borne prosthesis, Kennedy's classification is the most widely used and accepted. ⁽⁵⁾

Removable partial denture (RPDs) rehabilitating distal extension edentulous areas are defined as "RPDs supported and retained by natural teeth at one end of the denture base and in which portion of the function and load is carried by the residual ridge. ⁽⁶⁾

RPD restoring a bilateral distal extension edentulous span is the most common clinical situation and is more frequently encountered in the mandible. ⁽⁷⁾

Treatment with distal extension RPD necessitates precise framework and partial denture design following biomechanical principles and considerations. ⁽⁸⁾

Problems of restoring distal extension

Distal extension RPD derives its support from two different types of tissues, the tooth representing a relatively immovable support and the soft displaceable tissues overlying the bone of the edentulous ridge. These two tissues differ in the degree of compressibility. ⁽⁹⁾

When pressure is applied to the denture base, an axis of rotation is created around the most distal abutments causing torque. Dentures will thus tend to rock under occlusal forces. ⁽¹⁰⁾

Lack of posterior abutment is a major problem in distal extension cases. The denture tends to rotate around the fulcrum line passing through the tips of direct retainers. ⁽¹¹⁾

Rotation of the denture causes lever action on abutment teeth. The potential of lever action is increased with the longer edentulous area. ⁽¹²⁾

Movement of the prosthesis during function should not result in unfavorable forces directed to the dentoalveolar segment. ⁽¹³⁾

The ability of the segments to bear the applied forces must be evaluated and designs which maximize mucco-osseous support and which equitably distribute the forces between the segments are recommended.

The edentulous ridge of free end saddle cases usually bears some part of the masticatory load; hence, ridge resorption is likely to occur. ⁽⁸⁾

Direct pressure beyond the physiological tolerance of bone may lead to interference with the blood supply leading to bone resorption and sometimes may lead to bone necrosis. ⁽¹⁴⁾

An increase in the mobility of abutment teeth is a sequel of the dual support of distal extension bases, excessive loading of distal extension bases, and the use of rigid clasping. ⁽¹⁵⁾

Lack of posterior retention in bilateral distal extension bases makes the distal end of these bases free and subjected to vertical forces acting occlusally. Tissue away forces occurs due to the action of muscles, gravity or sticky food. ⁽¹⁶⁾

- ***Stress control can be achieved through:***

- A. Reduction of the load**

The total load applied to RPD is affected by the number of artificial teeth, their occlusal surface width, and their cutting efficiency. Reducing the number of the teeth with reduction of their occlusal width lead to reduction of the vertical and horizontal forces acting on the partial denture and stresses transmitted to the abutment teeth and supporting tissues ⁽¹⁷⁾.

- B. Load distribution between teeth and ridge**

Distribution of load between abutment teeth and residual ridges can be achieved by varying the connection between the clasp and the saddle through using stress breaking device that allow movement between the saddle and the retainer.