POSTHARVEST PHYSIOLOGY OF SOME VEGETABLE CROPS

By

MONA IBRAHIM ABD EL-REHIM IBRAHIM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2003 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Vegetable Crops), Ain Shams Univ., 2008

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

of

the requirements for the degree of

In
Agricultural Science
(Vegetable Crops)

Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

2015

Approval Sheet

POSTHARVEST PHYSIOLOGY OF SOME VEGETABLE CROPS

By

MONA IBRAHIM ABD EL-REHIM IBRAHIM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2003 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Vegetable Crops), Ain Shams Univ., 2008

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by: Dr. Samir Kamel El-Seifi Prof. Emeritus of Production, Handling and Storage of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University Dr. Ibrahim Ibrahim Eloksh Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University Dr. Ahmed Abou El-Yazied Abd El-Hafiez Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University Dr. Mamdouh Mohamed Fawzy Abdallah Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University Date of Examination: 12 / 11 / 2015

Mona I. Abd El-Rehim, Ph.D., 2015

POSTHARVEST PHYSIOLOGY OF SOME VEGETABLE CROPS

By

MONA IBRAHIM ABD EL-REHIM IBRAHIM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2003 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Vegetable Crops), Ain Shams Univ., 2008

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mamdouh Mohamed Fawzy Abdallah

Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Ahmed Abou El-Yazied Abd El-Hafiez

Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Rawia El-Bassiouny Ibrahim

Head of Research Emeritus of Vegetable Handling, Vegetable handling Research Dept., Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center

ABSTRACT

Mona Ibrahim Abd El-Rehim: Postharvest Physiology of some Vegetable Crops. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2015.

Baby pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) shoots and baby spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) leaves as a new ready to eat baby leaf vegetable sprouts has a little information available about it, and since it is a fresh herb it have very short storage period after harvest. In this context we determined the effects of different passive modified atmosphere packaging applications for prolonging the shelf life of baby - pea shoots and baby spinach leaves. For baby pea shoot experiment, the performances of modified atmosphere packaging based on low density polyethylene (LDPE) film with different perforations (zero, 4 and 8 perforations/package) and different package sizes (size 1 25 × 20 cm and size 2 30 × 20 cm) was examined at different storage temperatures (0°C and 5°C) with 90-95% RH for 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 days during storage.

As for baby spinach leaf experiment, the performances of modified atmosphere packaging based on low density polyethylene (LDPE) film or smart film in different package sizes (size 1.25×20 cm and size 2.30×20 cm) was examined at different storage temperatures (0-1°C and 4-5°C) with 90-95% RH for 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 days during storage.

Some quality parameters, such as visual quality (graded to scale), decay, and off-odor, were evaluated. Vitamin C, carotenoid content, chlorophyll reading, dry matter, protein and fiber were also analyzed. In addition, the atmosphere composition inside the packages was measured at each observation.

Our findings suggest that baby pea shoots packaged in LDPE film bags stored at 0°C in both package sizes (size 1 and 2) without perforations or with 4 and 8 perforations maintained good quality and shelf life throughout 12 days. Baby pea shoots stored at 5°C in both package sizes (size 1 "25 cm length \times 20 cm width" and size 2 "30 cm length \times 20 cm width") without perforations or with 4 and 8 perforations maintained good quality and shelf life throughout 8 days.

Baby spinach leaves could be stored for 16 days with good quality at 0°C and 95% RH in bags prepared from LDPE film and for 12 days in smart film in both package sizes (size 1 and size 2). Also, it could be stored for 8 days at 5°C in the same package materials (LDPE film and smart film) and sizes.

Keywords:

Baby pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) shoots, baby spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) leaves, modified atmosphere packaging (MAP), storage temperature, package, low density polyethylene (LDPE), perforations, smart film, gas composition, quality parameters, chlorophyll reading, vitamin C, carotenoid, dry matter, protein and fiber.

ACNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, great thanks to almighty **ALLAH** for the great support and precious gift, **Khadega**, my wonderful daughter.

I desire to express my deepest thanks and sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Mohamed fawzy Abdallah**, Professor of Vegetable, Horticulture Dept., Ain Shams University, for his wise supervision, valuable advices and unfailing help during the course of investigation. This manuscript benefited greatly from his efforts. I would gratefully acknowledge him.

Great thanks expressed to **Prof. Dr. Rawia El-Bassiouny**, Prof. of Handling of vegetable Crops, Vegetable Handling Research dept, Horticulture Research Institute, ARC, for her supervision, kindly support and motherly guidance. All the time, her efforts will always be remembered.

I would like to afford my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abou El-Yazied Abd El-Hafiez**, Prof. of Vegetable, Horticulture Dept., Ain Shams University, for his supervision, valuable assistance and encouragement through the study.

Special thanks expressed to the staff of Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for their co-operation and friendly atmosphere.

Special thanks to my colleagues in the Vegetable Handing Research Department, Horticulture Research Institute, ARC, for their cooperation and friendly atmosphere.

I am deeply indebted to my family for their love, support and encouragement throughout my life.

Particular thanks to my husband **Essam** for his significant support and encouragement.

My sincere thanks to every one helped me and supplied me with the facilities during this work.

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES VII APPREVIATIONS 1. INTRODUCTION 1. INTRODUCTION 2. REVIWE OF LITERATURE 4. 2.1. Edible pea shoots. 4. 2.2. Baby spinach leaves. 5. 2.3. Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP). 7
APPREVIATIONS VIII 1. INTRODUCTION 1 2. REVIWE OF LITERATURE 4 2.1. Edible pea shoots. 4 2.2. Baby spinach leaves. 5
1. INTRODUCTION12. REVIWE OF LITERATURE42.1. Edible pea shoots.42.2. Baby spinach leaves.5
2. REVIWE OF LITERATURE 4 2.1. Edible pea shoots. 4 2.2. Baby spinach leaves. 5
2.1. Edible pea shoots.42.2. Baby spinach leaves.5
2.2. Baby spinach leaves. 5
2.3. Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP). 7
2.4. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) on O ₂ and CO ₂ 9 composition.
2.5. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging on leafy vegetable quality and storability.
2.5.1. Effect on quality and storability. 12
2.5.2. Effect on ascorbic acid and carotenoid contents. 17
2.6. Effect of storage temperature and storage period on leafy vegetable quality and storability
2.6.1. Effect on quality and storability 18
2.6.2. Effect on ascorbic acid and carotenoid contents
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS 20
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 27
4.1. Baby pea shoots experiment 27

4.1.1.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes and	27
	passive MAP treatments on gas composition inside packages.	
4.1.2.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes and	33
	passive MAP treatments on general appearance (score) of baby	
	pea shoots.	
4.1.3.	Effect of storage temperatures, package sizes and passive MAP	37
	treatments on decay (score) of baby pea shoots.	
4.1.4.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes and	41
	passive MAP treatments on off-odor (score) of baby pea shoots.	
4.1.5.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes and	45
	passive MAP treatments on chlorophyll reading (SPAD) of	
	baby pea shoots.	
4.1.6.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes and	49
	passive MAP treatments on vitamin C (L. ascorbic acid) of baby	
	pea shoots.	
4.1.7.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes and	53
	passive MAP treatments on dry matter percent of baby pea	
	shoots.	
4.1.8.	Protein and fibers of baby pea shoots before and after storage.	57
4.2. Baby	y spinach leaves experiment.	56
4.2.1.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	59
	on gas composition inside packages.	
4.2.2.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	65
	on general appearance (score) of baby spinach leaves.	
4.2.3.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	68
	on decay (score) of baby spinach leaves.	
4.2.4.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	72
	on off - odor (score) of baby spinach leaves.	
4.2.5.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	75
	on chlorophyll reading (SPAD) of baby spinach leaves.	
4.2.6.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	79

	ARABIC	SUMMEARY	
6.	REFERE	NCES	96
5.	SUMMA	RY AND CONCLUSION	89
		storage.	
	4.2.9.	Protein and fibers of baby spinach leaves before and after	85
		on dry matter percent of baby spinach leaves.	
	4.2.8.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	85
		on carotenoids content of baby spinach leaves.	
	4.2.7.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films and sizes	82
		on vitamin C (L. ascorbic acid) of baby spinach leaves.	

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
1.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	28
	treatments and their interactions on oxygen (%) inside packages of baby	
	pea shoots (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	20
1.	Continued.	29
2.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	30
	treatments and their interactions on carbon dioxide (%) inside packages	
	of baby pea shoots (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
2.	Continued.	31
3.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	35
	treatments and their interactions on general appearance (score) of baby	
	pea shoots (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
3.	Continued.	36
4.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	39
	treatments and their interactions on Decay (score) of baby pea shoots	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
4.	Continued.	40
5.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	43
	treatments and their interactions on off-odor (score) of baby pea shoots	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
5.	Continued.	44
6.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	47
	treatments and their interactions on chlorophyll reading (SPAD) of baby	
	pea shoots (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
	Continued.	48
7.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	51
	treatments and their interactions on vitamin C (L. ascorbic acid) mg/100	
	fresh weight of baby pea shoots (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014	
	seasons).	

7.	Continued.	52
8.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package sizes, passive MAP	55
	treatments and their interactions on dry matter percent of baby pea shoots	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
8.	Continued.	56
9.	Protein and fibers %, on dry weight basis of baby pea shoots before and	58
	after storage.	
10.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	60
	their interactions on oxygen (%) inside packages of baby spinach leaves	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
10.	Continued.	61
11.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	62
	their interactions on carbon dioxide (%) inside packages of baby spinach	
	leaves (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
11.	Continued.	63
12.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	66
	their interactions on general appearance (score) of baby spinach leaves	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
12.	Continued.	67
13.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	70
	their interactions on decay (score) of baby spinach leaves (combined	
	analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
13.	Continued.	71
14.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	73
	their interactions on off - odor (score) of baby spinach leaves (combined	
	analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
14.	Continued.	74
15.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	76
	their interactions on chlorophyll reading (SPAD) of baby spinach leaves	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
15	Continued	77

16.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	80
	their interactions on vitamin C (L. ascorbic acid) mg/100 fresh weight of	
	baby spinach leaves (combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
16.	Continued.	81
17.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	83
	their interactions on carotenoids content of baby spinach leaves	
	(combined analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
17.	Continued.	84
18.	Effect of different storage temperatures, package films, package sizes and	86
	their interactions on dry matter percent of baby spinach leaves (combined	
	analysis of 2013 & 2014 seasons).	
18.	Continued.	87
19.	Protein and fibers % on dry weight basis of baby spinach leaves before	88
	and after storage.	

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Pages
1.	A) Soaking pea seeds in jars before planting; B) plots after planting	21
	seeds; C) pea shoots 2 weeks age (just before harvest).	
2.	A) Plots after preparation; B) plots after 3 weeks of planting spinach	23
	seeds; C) baby spinach plants 3 weeks age (just before harvest).	

APPREVIATIONS

MAP Modified atmosphere packaging

LDPE Low density polyethylene

OTRs Oxygen transmission rates

MA Modified atmosphere

PE Polyethylene

POPP Perforated oriented polypropylene

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the minimally processed food industry has increased due to a consumer trend toward healthier eating. Consumers today demand products that are convenient to purchase, convenient to prepare and convenient to eat. They also seek products that are visually appealing, great tasting, flavorful, fully prepared, varied, nutritious and fresh.

Minimally processed baby leaves are very popular with consumers for nutritional reasons and due to their convenience. Moreover, freshness is the main quality factor desired for leafy greens. Tenderness, cleanliness, and uniformity of green color are also desirable (**Wright**, **2004**). They must be handled carefully to avoid mechanical damage and water loss.

The consumption of green leafy vegetables is recommended due to their high content of vitamins, minerals and antioxidant phytochemicals, as well as low content of fat and carbohydrates (**Rico** et al., 2007). Minimally processed vegetables sold as ready-to-eat salads are a convenient way to include vegetables in the diet. To increase variety and attract even more consumers, the fresh-cut producers seek for new varieties of leafy vegetables to add to ready-to-eat salad mixtures (**Martínez-Sánchez** et al., 2012).

Fresh green sprouts (at cotyledonary stage) are tender baby vegetables high in chlorophyll, may substitute green-leafy vegetables (**Abdallah**, **2008**). Pea-shoots are a new option as ready-to-eat baby-leaf vegetable (**Santos** *et al.*, **2014**). Pea shoots were recently presented as a ready-to-eat vegetable, and are recognized as a popular specialty vegetable in some parts of Asia and Africa that is gaining popularity in the United States and Europe (**Miles and Sonde**, **2003**).

Spinach is known to be a healthy product and contains relatively high concentrations of bioactive compounds (Gil et al., 1999; USDA,