USE OF BIOFLOC TECHNOLOGY IN INTENSIVE TILAPIA(Orechromis niloticus) AQUACULTURE

By

HANY SAID ALY SHALABY

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2009

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE
(Animal Breeding)

Department of Animal Production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

APPROVAL SHEET

USE OF BIOFLOC TECHNOLOGY IN INTENSIVE TILAPIA(Orechromis niloticus) AQUACULTURE

By

HANY SAID ALY SHALABY

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University 2009

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:
Prof.Dr.Gaber Desoky Ibrahim Hassanen
Prof.Dr.Mohamed Fathy Osman
Prof.Dr.Amin Abd El-Mouaty El-gamal Prof. of Fish Production, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.
Dr.Mohamed abdel Baky Amer Associate Prof. of Fish Phisiology, Animal Production Department Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Date of examination: / /

USE OF BIOFLOC TECHNOLOGY IN INTENSIVE TILAPIA(Orechromis niloticus) AQUACULTURE

By

HANY SAID ALY SHALABY

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University 2009

Under the supervision of:

Dr .Amin Abd El-Mouaty El-gamal

Prof. Emeritus of Fish Production, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Mohamed abdel Baky Amer

Associate Prof. of Fish Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Tarek Abo El-makareem Aly

Lecturer of Fish Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

Hani Said Ali Shalaby. The Use of Biofloc Technology in Intensive Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Culture. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2015.

Application of bioflocs technology (BFT) in aquaculture offers a solution to water scarcity problems. Where BFT is the development and control of dense heterotrophic microbial flocs in the water column by adding carbon sources to fish culture pond. Hence, the objective of the present study is to evaluate the effects of three different carbon sources: molasses (T1), Vinasse (T2) and cornstarch (T3); on microbial activity, water quality parameters and growth performance of *Orechromis niloticus* in indoor biofloc tanks compared with that reared in a clear water with no carbon sources (T4). The present study was maintained for 112 day; the experimental tanks stoked with 64 fish / m^{-3} with average initial weight of 31.5 \pm 0.431g and carbon sources were added at C/N ratio10: 1 in vinase, while in molasses and corn starch were 20:1.

The results demonstrated that vinasse had no significant differences on the growth parameters. However, vinasse enhanced nitrate concentration only compared to other measured water quality parameters. The addition of molasses and cornstarch had a significant reduction in concentrations of the total ammonium nitrogen, nitrite nitrogen and increased the total heterotrophic bacterial densities (P<0.05), respectively compared to control. The biofloc development in terms of Floc volume index (FVI) and total heterotrophic bacteria count were in favor of molasses tanks than corn starch tanks. parameters of growth performance of tilapia including net yield, specific growth rate (SGR), weight gain percentage, growth rate and feed conversion ratio demonstrated that, carbohydrate addition into

zero-water exchange systems for *O. niloticus* intensive culture can effectively improve water quality, bacterial activities and fish growth. which, eventually lead to a higher growth performance compared to that cultured under traditional systems.

Key Words: Biofloc technology, Zero water exchange, Heterotrophic bacteria, Carbohydrate addition, Total suspended solids, Floc volume index, C/N ratio, *Orechromis niloticus*.

AKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, all praise to Allah; the Magnificent, the merciful, without whose bless and guidance this work would never have been started nor completed.

All thanks and utmost respect and gratitude to my supervisors, **Prof. Dr. Amin Abd El-Moutey El-Gamal Dr. Mohamed Abd elbaky Amer , and Dr. Tarek Aboel-Makarem Aly.** Fish Production Branch, Animal Production department , Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for their guidance, technical and incorporeal support, encouragement, instructive discussion and constructive criticism throughout this study.

I also wish to express my sincere thanks to all my colleagues and co-workers of Fish Production Branch and Animal production Department for the help they offered throughout this study. Special thanks and sincere gratitude to my mother, my wife, my lovely daughter and my brothers, for their patience and enthusiastic support throughout my journey to achieve this work.

CONTENT

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE
2.1.Biofloc Technology
2.2.Mode of action of bio-floc technique
2.3.biofloc technology and minimization of water usage
2.4. The roles of microorganisms in biofloc technology and overall added values in aquacultu
2.4.1. Biofloc and ammonia removal
2.4.2. biofloc as a natural food source and maximization of dietary
benefits
2.4.3. Roles of biofloc on pathogenic diseases and survival
rate
2.5. Factors influencing floc formation and floc structure in bio-floc
technology
2.5.1. Mixing Intensity
2.5.2. Dissolved oxygen.
2.5.3. Organic carbon source and loading rate
2.5.4. Temperature
2.5.5. Carbon /Nitrogen Ratio
2.5.6. Hydrogen Ion Concentration (PH)
2.6. Physical composition of bioflocs
2.7. Proximate chemical analysis of biofloc particles
2.7.1 Fatty acids, amino acids and vitamin profiles in biofloc
2.8. Bio-floc effects on fish performance and feed utilization
2.9. Tilapia fish in Bio-floc systems
2.10. Biofloc systems main disadvantages
III. MATERIAL AND METHODS
3.1. Experimental Design
3.2. Experimental fish
3.3. The feeding procedures
3.3.1. Carbon sources used in the experiment

3.3.2. Commercial diet used in the experiment.
3.4. Water exchange rates.
3.5. Description of rearing system
3.5.1. Tank description.
3.5.2. Aeration and water temperature control
3.6. Experimental methodology.
3.7. Chemical procedures used in the experiment
3.7.1. Total ammonia, nitrite and nitrate
3.7.2. Floc chemical analysis
3.7.3. Fish chemical analysis
3.8. Physical procedures used in the experiment
3.8.1. Total suspended solids and volatile suspended solids
3.8.2. Total bacteria count
3.8.3. Floc volume index
3.8.4. PH
3.9. Statistical analysis
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1.Experiment I
4.1.1. Dissolved inorganic nitrogen during the experimental period
4.1.1.Total ammonium nitrogen
4.1.1.2.Nitrite
4.1.1.3.Nitrate
4.1.2.Total suspended solids and volatile suspended solids
4.1.3. Floc volume index and total bacterial count.
4.1.4. Proximate composition of biofloc and fish.
4.1.5.Body weights and growth performance.
4.2. Experiment II
4.2.1. Dissolved inorganic nitrogen.
4.2.1.1.Total ammonium nitrogen.
4.2.1.2. Nitrite
4.2.1.3.Nitrate
4.2.2.Total suspended solids and volatile suspended solids

4.2.3.Floc volume index.
4.2.4.Body weights and growth performance
4.2.5.Proximate composition of biofloc and fish.
V. SUMMARY
VI. REFERENCE
VII. APPENDICES
ARARIC SUMMARV

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
1	Proximate analysis of biofloc particles in different studies. Fatty acid data in biofloc using different carbon source in	18
2	marine water and fresh water.	20
3	Amino acid profile in biofloc on as fed.	21
4	Vitamin profile in biofloc on as fed.	21
5	The experimental design.	27
	Chemical analysis of the commercial diet used in the	
6	experiment.	30
7	Summary of experimental methodology.	32
	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction	
8	on	37
	total ammonium nitrogen (mg l ⁻¹) in treatment tanks.	
	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction	
9	on	41
	nitrite (μ g L ⁻¹) in treatment tanks.	
10	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction	42
10	on	43
	nitrate (μg L ⁻¹) in treatment tanks.	
11	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on total suspended solids	45
12	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on volatile suspended solids	46
13	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on floc volume index	47
14	Effect of carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on total bacterial count	48
15	Proximate chemical analysis of fish reared under biofloc technology in molasses and corn starch treatment	50
16	Proximate analysis of biofloc particles (on dry matter basis) at the end of experiment	50
17	Growth parameters of Nile tilapia reared under biofloc treatments with different carbon sources.	52
18	Effect of vinasse as a carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on total ammonium nitrogen (mg L-1) treatment tanks.	54

19 20	Effect of vinasse as a carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on nitrite concentration (µg L ⁻¹) in treatment tanks. Effect of vinasse as a carbon source and time intervals and their interaction on nitrate	55 56
	concentration (µg L-1) in treatment tanks.	20
21	Effect of vinasse as CHO source and time intervals and their interaction on	58
41	total suspended solids mg L-1	36
22	Effect of vinasse as CHO source and time intervals and their interaction on	59
	volatile suspended solids mg L-1 Effect of vinasse as CHO source and time intervals and their	
23	interaction on floc volume index(FVI) mg L-1	61
	Growth parameters of Nile Tilapia reared under biofloc	
24	treatment with vinasse as a carbon source	62
25	Proximate analysis of biofloc particles (on dry matter basis) at the end of experiment	63

LIST OF FIGUERS

No.		Page
1	Nitrogen cycle in biofloc system.	6
2	Flow diagram illustrating design of biofloc experiment	26
	Concentration of Total Ammonium Nitrogen (TAN) in Nile Tilapia tanks under different carbon sources (molasses and corn starch with C: N ratio 20:1 and a control treatment without a carbon	37
3	source. Concentration of nitrite in Nile tilapia tanks under different carbon sources (molasses and corn starch with a C: N ratio 20:1 and a control treatment	40
4	without a carbon source. Concentration of Nitrate in Nile tilapia tanks under different carbon sources (molasses and corn starch with a C: N ratio 20:1	42
5	and a control treatment without a carbon source	
6	Total Suspended solids (TSS) in Nile tilapia tanks under different carbon sources (molasses and corn starch with C: N ratio 20:1 and the control groups without a carbon source during the experimental period.	44
7	Volatile suspended solids (VSS) in Nile tilapia tanks raised under different carbon sources (molasses and cornstarch (with C: N ratio 20:1 and the control group without a carbon source during experimental period.	45
8	Floc volume index (FVI) in Nile tilapia tanks under different carbon sources (molasses and corn starch with a C: N ratio 20:1	47
9	Total bacteria count CFU/ml in tilapia tanks under different carbon sources throughout the experiment.	48
10	Concentration of Total Ammonium nitrogen (TAN) in Nile Tilapia tanks under vinasse as a carbon source with C: N ratio 10:1 and a control treatment without a carbon source.	53
11	Concentration of Nitrite in Nile Tilapia tanks under vinasse as a carbon source with C: N ratio 10:1 and control treatment without a carbon source.	55
12	Concentration of Nitrate in Nile Tilapia tanks under vinasse as a carbon source with C: N ratio 10:1 and a control treatment without a carbon sources.	57
13	Total Suspended solids (TSS) in Nile tilapia reared under vinasse as a CHO source with C: N ratio 10:1 and control groups without a carbon source.	58

14	Volatile Suspended solids (TSS) in Nile tilapia tanks under vinasse with C: N ratio 10:1 and acontrol groups without a carbon source.	59
15	Floc volume index (FVI) in Nile tilapia reared under vinasse as CHO source with C: N ratio 10:1 and a control group without a carbon source.	60

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol Meaning

BFT Biofloc technology

ZWEH Zero water exchange system
AST activated suspension technique
AMR aerated microbial reuse systems

FVI Floc volume index
TSS Total suspended solids
VSS Volatile suspended solids
THB Total hetertrophic bacteria

RAS Recirculating Aquaculture System IHP International Hydrological Program

SGR Specific growth rate FCR Feed conversion ratio

 $\begin{array}{cc} mg & & Milligram \\ \mu g & & Microgram \end{array}$

NH3 Unionized ammonia
 NH4 Ionized ammonia
 NO2 Nitritre - Nitrogen
 NO3 Nitrate - Nitrogen

PHB poly-β-hydroxybutyrate

DO Dissolved oxygen

DegreeCCelsiusggram

HP Horse power

PH Hydrogen ion concentration

/ Per -1 per

TAN Total ammonium nitrogen

Kg Kilogram

IU International unit

h Hour

mm Milimicron ml Mililiter

CFU colony forming units

L Liter

PUFA Poly unsaturatied fatty acid HUFA Highly unsaturatied fatty acid

PER Protein Efficiency Ratio
NPUa Net Protein Utilization
TWG Total Weight Gain

CHO Carbohydrate

SVI Sludge volume indexdge