Anterior Instrumentation and Fusion in **Pediatric Spinal Deformities**

Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment for the M.D. Degree in Orthopedic Surgery

Investigator

Nader Mohamed Diab

M.B.B.Ch., M. Sc. (Cairo University)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Naguib Basha

Prof. of Orthopedic Surgery, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Alaa Mohy

Prof. of Orthopedic Surgery, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Hazem El Sebaie

Assistant Prof. of Orthopedic Surgery, Cairo University

> Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2009

mawortywionaedfahiklzxcvb klzxcvbn

'From inability to leave well alone;

From too much zeal for what is new and contempt for what is old;

From putting knowledge before wisdom, science before art, cleverness before common sense;

From treating patients as humans not cases;

And From making the cure of a disease more grievous than its endurance,

Good Lord, Deliver Us'

Sir Robert Hutchison

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to my professors Dr. Naguib Basha and Dr. Alaa Mohy, all of whom ensured that the highest standard of accuracy was met in this work.

The invaluable Assistance of my professor Dr. Hazem El Sebaie, in making this work a reality is so gratefully acknowledged.

I dedicate this work to my late father, who instilled a love of scholarship, the joy of working, and the value of being organized.

Abstract

Management of spinal deformity caused by a hemivertebra is controversial. Early diagnosis and early aggressive surgical treatment are mandatory in progressive cases. Several techniques have been reported for the surgical treatment of young children with congenital spinal deformities. There have been concerns regarding epidural bleeding, neurological complications, pedicle screw placement, implant failure and prominence of posterior constructs in young age group for posterior instrumented patients. A single stage partial corpectomy of the hemivertebra with anterior instrumentation and simultaneous posterior non-instrumented fusion can offer a new alternative which can avoid these concerns. Anterior instrumentation is safe and effective technique capable of transmitting a high amount of convex compression, and allowing short segment fusion which is of great importance in the growing spine, meanwhile allows control of segmental kyphosis.

Key Words: Hemivertebra, congenital scoliosis, anterior instrumentation.

I. Review of Literature

Figure	Label	Reference	Page
1	Sclerotome growth	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Stahelie (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	4
2	Development of somite	Langman's medical embryology. Sadler T (ed.), 10 th edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	4
3	Vertebral development	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Staheli (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	5
4	Vertebral intersegmental development	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Staheli (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	5
5	Defects of vertebral formation	Lowell & Winter's pediatric orthopedics. Morrissy, Raymond T, Weinstein, Staurt (eds.), 6 th edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott William & Wilkins, 2006.	7
6	Defects of vertebral segmentation	Lowell & Winter's pediatric orthopedics. Morrissy, Raymond T, Weinstein, Staurt (eds.), 6 th edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott William & Wilkins, 2006.	7
7	Natural history of congenital scoliosis	McMaster M, Ohtsuka K: The natural history of congenital scoliosis: A study of two hundred and fifty one patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am 64: 1128-1147, 1982.	9
8	Clinical assessment of coronal balance	Lowell & Winter's pediatric orthopedics. Morrissy, Raymond T, Weinstein, Staurt (eds.), 6 th edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott William & Wilkins, 2006.	14
9	Risser sign	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Staheli (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	14
10	Cobb angle of scoliosis assessment	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Staheli (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	15
11	Three dimensional CT of fully segmented hemivertebrae	Daniel J, Hedequist: Surgical treatment of congenital scoliosis. Orthop Clin N Am 8:497-509, 2007.	16
12	Special imaging in congenital scoliosis	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Staheli (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	17
13	Different kinds of braces used for conservative management of scoliosis	Staheli's practice of pediatric orthopedics. Lynn T. Staheli (ed.), 2 nd edition, Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2006.	19
14	Growing rod in congenital scoliosis	Daniel J, Hedequist: Surgical treatment of congenital scoliosis. Orthop Clin N Am 8:497-509, 2007.	26
15	Expansion thoracoplasty in congenital scoliosis	Daniel J, Hedequist: Surgical treatment of congenital scoliosis. Orthop Clin N Am 8:497-509, 2007.	27
16	Partial corpectomy and anterior instrumentation in congenital scoliosis		28
17	Sagittal vertical axis	Benjamin K, Potter, Lawrence G et al: prevention and management of iatrogenic flatback deformity. Current Concepts Review. J bone Joint Surg Am 86: 1793-1808, 2004.	31
18	Pathoanatomical classification of congenital kyphosis	McMaster MJ, Singh H: Natural history of congenital kyphosis and kyphoscoliosis. A Study of one hundred and twelve patients. J Bone joint Surg Vol 81-A, 1999.	33
19	Spine model anteriorly instrumented	Spiegl DA, Flynn JM, Drummond DS: Anterior instrumentation in treatment of scoliosis. U.P.O.J. 11: 19-26, 1998.	55

II. Patients & methods

Figure	Label	Page
20	Down-sized monoaxial top loading screw used in anterior instrumentation in young patients.	62
21	Intraoperative photograph showing a hemivertebra after full exposure of the disc and vertebral bodies above and below.	63
22	Intraoperative photograph of partial anterior corpectomy of hemivertebra without exposure of the dura.	63
23	Intraoperative photographs showing insertion of the body screws at the vertebrae above and below hemivertebra (left photograph), and insertion of the rod into a lordotic position(right photograph).	64
24	intraoperative photograph showing autologous strut rib graft was packed in the void space left after the partial corpectomy.	65
25	Diagram of the rationale of the surgical technique.	66

III. Results

Figure	Label	Page
26	Sex distribution in current study.	67
27	Distribution of type of hemivertebrae in current study.	68
28	Direction of curve distribution in current study.	69
29	Minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation of Cobb angle of scoliosis, and mean segmental kyphosis angle before & after surgery in current study.	72
30	Degree of change in Cobb angle of scoliosis and segmental kyphosis angle before & after surgery.	72
31	Minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation of Scoliosis correction percent and kyphosis correction percent in current study.	73
32	Complications in current study.	73
33	Comparison between patients who developed adding on and patients who did not develop adding on in current study.	74
34	Correlation between scoliosis and kyphosis correction percents in current study	74
35	Correlation between age and scoliosis correction percent in current study	75
36	Correlation between age and kyphosis correction percent in current study	76
37	Scoliosis correction percents and kyphosis correction percents according to type of hemivertebra in current study.	78
38	Percent of change in Cobb angle of scoliosis and segmental kyphosis angle among sex distribution of current study.	79
39	Scoliosis correction percent among females and males in current study.	79
40	Comparison between single and double level partial corpectomies in current study	81
41	No. of patients, mean scoliosis correction percents, mean kyphosis correction percents according to gender, direction of the scoliotic curve, type and level of the hemivertebrae in current study.	81

IV. Discussion

Figure	Label	Page
42	Operative correction of coronal and sagittal deformities in current study.	94
43	Comparison between Current study, anterior & posterior Complete hemivertebra excision with posterior instrumentation (Lazar et al, 1999), and Posterior Complete hemivertebra excision with posterior instrumentation (Harms et al, 2003) in terms of; no. of patients, mean age, mean % of Cobb angle correction, and mean % of Kyphosis angle correction.	98
44	Comparison between current study, anterior/posterior complete hemivertebra excision with post instrumentation (Lazar et al, 1999), and Posterior complete hemivertebra excision with posterior instrumentation (Harms et al, 2003) in terms of; Blood loss, and operative time.	98
45	Comparison between current study, anterior/posterior complete hemivertebra excision with post instrumentation (Lazar et al, 1999), and Posterior complete hemivertebra excision with posterior instrumentation (Harms et al, 2003) in terms of; % of scoliosis correction, % of kyphosis correction, no. of deep infection, no. of implant failure, no. of neurological complications.	99
46	Comparison between current study and anterior partial hemivertebra excision with anterior instrumentation (Garrido et al, 2009) in terms of; no. of patients, mean age mean percent of scoliosis correction, and mean percent of kyphosis correction.	99

Table	Label	Page
1	Sex Distribution among current study.	67
2	Percent and number of patients according to type of hemivertebra in current study.	68
3	Direction of the main curve and the number of cases in current study.	69
4	Range, mean, and standard deviation of Cobb angle of scoliosis, segmental kyphosis angle preoperatively and postoperatively in the current study.	71
5	Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test for scoliosis and segmental kyphosis correction.	71
6	The P-value of degree of correction of Cobb angle of scoliosis, and segmental kyphosis angle after surgery.	71
7	Range, mean, and standard deviation of Cobb angle correction percent, and local kyphosis angle correction percent in current study.	72
8	Statistical Correlation for Cobb angle correction percent and kyphosis angle correction percent	75
9	correlation between level of hemivertebra and percent of scoliosis and segmental kyphosis correction	76
10	correlation between direction of the main curve and percent of scoliosis and segmental kyphosis correction	77
11	Correlation between type of hemivertebra and percent of scoliosis and segmental kyphosis correction.	77
12	correlation between patient gender and percent of scoliosis and segmental kyphosis correction	78
13	Comparison between single and double level partial corpectomy in current study	80
14	Summary of current study results	82
15	Comparison between current study and other relevant studies in terms of number of patients, mean age, percent of scoliosis of correction, and percent of kyphosis correction.	96
16	Comparison between current study, Lazar et al study, and Harms et al study in terms of number of patients, average age, and blood loss and operative time.	97
17	Comparison between current study, Lazar et al study (1999), and Harms et al study (2003) in terms of percent of scoliosis correction, percent of kyphosis correction, and numbers of complications.	97
18	Master sheet of current study.	123

List of Abbreviations

List of Abbreviations

3-D CT: Three dimensional computed tomography

C.C.: Cubic centimeterCD: Cotrel DuboussetCSA: Cobb scoliotic angle

CSVL: Central sacral vertical line **CT:** Computed tomography

Ed.: Edition
Eds.: Editors
F: Female

FS: Fully segmented

FU: Follow up **HV:** Hemivertebra

ICR: Instant center of rotation

Kyph: Kyphosis L: Lumbar Lat.: Lateral Lt.: Left M: Male

MPa.: Mega Pascal

ML: Milliliter

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging

N: number

PA: Posteroanterior

PEEK: Polyethylethylketone

Post: Postoperative **Pre:** Preoperative

Rt.: Right S: Sacral

SKA: Segmental kyphosis angle

SS: Semi-segmented **STD:** Standard deviation **SVA:** Sagittal vertical axis

T: Thoracic

TL: Thoracolumbar

TLSO: Thoracolumbosacral orthotic

TSRH: Texas Scottish Rite Hospital system

VATER: The initials in VATER Syndrome refer to five different areas in which a child may have

abnormalities: Vertebrae, Anus, Trachea, Esophagus, and Renal (kidneys).

VPTR: Vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib



Contents	Page
-Introduction & Aim of Study	1
-Review of Literature	
Chapter I: Congenital Scoliosis	3
Chapter II: Congenital kyphosis & kyphoscoliosis	30
Chapter III: Anterior Instrumentation	39
-Patients & Methods	59
-Results	67
-Discussion	83
- Cases Presentation	101
- Summary	118
- Conclusion	121
- Recommendations	122
-Appendices	123
-References	125
-Summary in Arabic	



Introduction

And

Aim of Study

Introduction

The anterior approach to scoliosis was first reported by *Dwyer* in 1969 and although techniques for instrumentation have expanded, the benefits of this strategy continue to be observed. Anterior spinal instrumentation is not recommended in idiopathic scoliosis in young children since it involves long segment fusion and hence impairs normal spinal growth and lung development which is vital in that age group. Anterior instrumentation for correction of congenital spinal deformities due to failure of formation is a novel technique. Posterior instrumentation has been well described in literature. Because of the age at which partial corpectomy is performed in congenital scoliosis patients, instrumentation is difficult.

The natural history of congenital scoliosis is usually progressive and surgery is required in most of cases. Hemivertebrae are the most frequent causes of congenital scoliosis. The management of spinal deformity caused by a hemivertebra is controversial. However, there is a consensus in the literature that early diagnosis and early and aggressive surgical treatment are mandatory in progressive cases for a successful treatment and to prevent the progression of the deformity and the development of secondary compensatory deformities.

Several techniques have been reported for the surgical treatment of young children with congenital spinal deformities. Previously described surgical procedures include insitu posterior or anterior-posterior fusion with or without instrumentation, combined anterior and posterior convex hemiepiphysiodesis, hemivertebra excision with fusion, and more recently posterior hemivertebra resection with transpedicular instrumentation. There have been concerns regarding epidural bleeding, neurological complications, pedicle screw placement, implant failure and prominence of posterior constructs in young age group. A single partial corpectomy of the hemivertebra with

anterior instrumentation and simultaneous posterior non-instrumented fusion can offer a new alternative which can avoid these concerns.

Anterior instrumentation is safe and effective technique capable of transmitting a high amount of convex compression, and allowing short segment fusion which is of great importance in the growing spine, meanwhile allows control of kyphosis which is commonly coincident with congenital scoliosis in that type of patients. Partial corpectomy and anterior instrumentation of congenital spinal deformities due to failure of formation offers the following advantages; excision, correction and instrumentation are at the site of pathology, anterior concave strut graft can be put under vision, more radical discectomy and end plate preparation, preservation of the concave side growth plates, and direct compression of the graft by anterior instrumentation

However; there have been concerns about; the hold of screws in small cartilaginous vertebral bodies, the amount of correction while leaving the posterior convex intact, compression of nerve roots on the convex side, ability to correct sagittal plane deformity meanwhile exerts compression on the graft site, the problems of adding on and junctional kyphosis. A short fusion increases the risk of newly developing deformity and the need for reoperation; however this should be accepted in order to minimize the compromise of spinal growth.

Aim of the current study is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a single stage partial corpectomy with anterior instrumentation and simultaneous posterior non-instrumented fusion in the management of progressive congenital spinal deformities due to failure of formation in young age.

Congenital Scoliosis

Review of literature

Chapter I

Congenital scoliosis

Definition

Congenital scoliosis is a progressive three-dimensional deformity of the spine due to congenital anomalies of the vertebrae that result in an imbalance of the longitudinal growth of the spine. These anomalies are numerous and varied. Thus to understand their natural history and their treatment, it is important to understand the embryologic development of the vertebrae. ¹

Embryologic Development of the Vertebrae

Mesoderm on either side of the notochord condenses to form somites, which will form vertebrae. During the fourth week of development, cells from the sclerotome region of the somite on each side of the body migrate ventrally and surround the notochord and the neural tube [Figure 1]. Each vertebra is formed by sclerotome cells from two somite levels. The cranial and caudal parts of adjacent sclerotomes, which are not ossified yet, fuse with each other ² [Figure 2].

The ventral part of each vertebra forms the body around the notochord, and the dorsal part forms costal processes laterally and the vertebrae arch dorsally. Ossification begins during the sixth week from three primary ossification centers: one in the body or centrum (formed by the early fusion of two centers), and on one each half of the vertebral arch. This is called *the fusion stage*. ³