



Biodegradation of some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons polluted soils contaminated with petroleum oil

Thesis

**Submitted for the partial fulfillment for the requirements
of the degree of Master of Science in Microbiology**

By

**Basant Nader Ahmed Rashad
B.Sc. Microbiology, Ain Shams University, 2011**

Supervisors

Prof.

Nagwa Ahmed Abdallah
Professor of Microbiology
Faculty of Science

Ain Shams University

Prof.

Mervat Aly Mohamed Abo-State
Professor of Microbiology
National Center for Radiation
Research and Technology
Atomic Energy Authority

Microbiology Department

**Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
2017**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

﴿ قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا الا ما علمتنا

﴿ إنك انت العليم الحكيم

صدق الله العظيم
الآيه (32) سورة البقره

Acknowledgement

Thanks to God for the completion of this work.

Only due to his blessing I could finish my thesis.

I would like to express my appreciation and sincere gratitude to Professor Nagwa Abd Allah for her kind supervision and advice.

My sincere thanks and deep gratitude to professor Mervat Abo State for her support, valuable guidance and motivation to complete this work. Without her help it would be impossible to finish this work.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my family for their help and encouragement.

I would like to say that scientific degrees are not the most important things in life, but beloved family, good friends, good times and happiness are.

With warm regards

Basant Nader Ahmed Rashad

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this work to my parents that seeded my curiosity and desire for knowledge and thanking them for their unlimited effort, patience and invocation that is unquestionable honored.

Contents

Abstract.....	1
Aim of the work.....	1
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Review of literature.....	4
2.1. Spreading of PAHs in nature and their impact.....	4
2.2. Chemical structure of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).....	6
2.3. Contamination of soil with petroleum oil.....	8
2.4. High molecular weight PAHs degrading microorganism in treating soils.....	12
2.5. High molecular weight PAHs degrading bacteria....	15
2.6. High molecular weight PAHs pathways.....	43
2.6.1. Benz[a]anthracene degradation pathway.....	44
2.6.2. Pyrene degradation pathway.....	48
2.6.3. Benzo[a]Pyrene degradation pathway.....	57
3. Materials and Methods.....	61
3.1. Materials.....	61
3.1.1. Sampling site.....	61
3.1.2. Sample collection.....	61
3.1.3. Media.....	62
3.1.3.1. Basal salt medium (BSM).....	62
3.1.3.2. Luria Bertani (LB) broth medium.....	63
3.1.3.3. Luria Bertani (LB) agar medium.....	64
3.1.4. Chemicals.....	64
3.1.5. Protein determination.....	64
3.1.6. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).....	65
3.1.7. Gas chromatography/Mass spectrometry (GC/MS).....	66
3.2. Methods.....	67
3.2.1. Adaptation of samples.....	67

3.2.2.	Enrichment technique for selection of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degrading bacteria (PAHsDB).....	67
3.2.3.	Isolation of the most tolerant HMW-PAHs bacterial isolates.....	68
3.2.4.	Screening for the most potent bacterial strains capable of degrading HMW-PAHs.....	69
3.2.5.	Determination of the ability of the most promising HMW-PAHs degrading bacteria (PAHDB) to degrade PAHs.....	69
3.2.6.	Determination of the ability of most promising degrading bacteria (PAHsDB) to degrade mixtures of HMW-PAHs.....	70
3.2.7.	Identification of the most potent bacterial strain by 16S-rRNA.....	71
3.2.7.1.	Phenotypic characterization of degrading bacterial strain.....	71
3.2.7.2.	DNA extraction.....	71
3.2.7.3.	PCR amplification of bacterial 16S-rRNA.....	71
3.2.7.4.	Cloning and sequencing.....	72
3.2.7.5.	Phylogenetic analysis.....	72
3.2.7.6.	Nucleotide sequence accession number.....	73
4.	Results.....	74
4.1	Isolation and screening for the most tolerant bacterial isolates on HMW-PAHs.....	74
4.2.	Growth and degradation of benz[a]anthracene by the most potent bacterial isolates.....	77
4.3.	Growth and degradation of Pyrene by the most potent bacterial isolates.....	99
4.4.	Growth of isolated strains on mixtures of Pyrene and benzo[a]Pyrene at different concentrations.....	122
4.5.	Growth of eight bacterial isolates on triple mixtures of Pyrene, benz[a]anthracene and benzo[a]Pyrene (HMW-PAHs) have been investigated.....	142
4.6.	Pathway of MAM-P8 for degradation of HMW-PAH.....	165

4.7. Identification of the most potent PAHs degrading bacterial strains.....	175
5. Discussion.....	178
6. Summary and Conclusion.....	189
7. References.....	192
Arabic Summary.....	

List of abbreviations

Abbrev.	Full term
Anth	Anthracene.
ANOVA	Analysis of variance.
ARHD	Aromatic Ring-hydroxylatingdioxygenase.
ARISA	Automated rRNA intergenic spacer analysis.
B[a]A	Benz[a]anthracene.
B[a]P	Benzo[a]pyrene.
bp	Base pair
Blastn	Somewhat similar sequences.
BSA	Bovine serum albumin.
BSM	Basal salt medium.
CFU	Colony Forming Unit.
Conc	Concentration.
CTAB	Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide.
DOM	Dissolved organic matter.
EPA	Enviromental protection agency.
FAME	Fatty acid methyl ester.
GC/MS	Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry.
GN	Gram negative bacteria.
GP	Gram positive bacteria.
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography.

HMW-PAHs	High molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
LB	Luria Bertani.
LC50	Lethal concentration at which 50% reduction in growth.
LMW-PAHs	Low molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
M	Mucoid.
MM	Minimal medium.
MSM	Minimal salt medium.
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information.
NCRRT	National Center for Radiation Research and technology.
NDO	Naphthalene dioxygenase.
NM	Non mucoid.
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance.
OSPW	Oil sands process-affected water.
OUT	Operational taxonomic units.
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
PAHsDB	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons degrading bacteria.
PAH-RHD	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon ring-hydroxylatingdioxygenase.
PAH-RHD[GN]	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon ring-hydroxylatingdioxygenase of gram negative bacteria.
Phe	Phenanthrene.
Pyr	Pyrene.
QRT-PCR	Quantitative real time – Polymerase Chain Reaction
RNA	Redundancy analysis.

RHD	Ring-hydroxylatingdioxygenase.
RHO	Ring-hydroxylating oxygenase.
RT	Retention time.
TCA	Tricarboxylic acid.
TPHs	Total petroleum hydrocarbons.
T-RFLP	Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism.
µgL⁻¹	Microgram per liter.

List of figures

Serial	Title	Page
Figure (1)	Proposed pathway for microbial catabolism of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.	45
Figure (2)	Pathways proposed for the biotransformation of Benz[a]anthracene by <i>Sphingobium</i> KK22.	48
Figure (3)	Schematic pathway proposed for the degradation of Pyrene by <i>Mycobacterium</i> sp. Strain AP1.	50
Figure (4)	Proposed pathways for the degradation of Pyrene by <i>M. Vanbaalanii</i> PYR-1.	51
Figure (5)	Proposed Pyrene degradation pathway of <i>Mycobacterium</i> KMS.	52
Figure (6)	Proposed degradation pathways of Pyrene by <i>Mycobacterium</i> A1-PYR.	53
Figure (7)	Elucidation of Pyrene degradation pathway in <i>pseudomonas</i> sp. BP10.	54
Figure (8)	Pathways of pyrene degradation by exogenous microorganisms and alfalfa.	56
Figure (9)	A feasible pathway of dihydroxylation reaction catalyzed by R-NDO in the strain ustb-1.	57
Figure (10)	Proposed pathway for the degradation of benzo[a]pyrene by <i>M. vanbaalenii</i> PYR-1.	59
Figure (11)	Proposed scheme for the O-methylation mediated pathway involve in successive transformation of benzo[a]pyrene by laccase and <i>Mycobacteria</i> .	61
Figure (12)	Map showing the sampling sites.	62
Figure (13)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	88

Figure (14)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	88
Figure (15)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	89
Figure (16)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	89
Figure (17)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	90
Figure (18)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	90
Figure (19)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	91
Figure (20)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	91
Figure (21)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	92
Figure (22)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	92
Figure (23)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	93
Figure (24)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	93
Figure (25)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	94
Figure (26)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	94
Figure (27)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	95
Figure (28)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene.	95
Figure (29)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on benz[a]anthracene after 21 days by HPLC.	99
Figure (30)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of pyrene.	111
Figure (31)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of pyrene.	111
Figure (32)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of pyrene.	112

Figure (33)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of pyrene.	112
Figure (34)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of pyrene.	113
Figure (35)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of Pyrene.	113
Figure (36)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of pyrene.	114
Figure (37)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of Pyrene.	114
Figure (38)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of pyrene.	115
Figure (39)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of pyrene.	115
Figure (40)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of pyrene.	116
Figure (41)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of pyrene.	116
Figure (42)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of pyrene.	117
Figure (43)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of pyrene.	117
Figure (44)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of pyrene.	118
Figure (45)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of Pyrene.	118
Figure (46)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on pyrene after 21 days by HPLC.	122
Figure (47)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	133
Figure (48)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	133
Figure (49)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	134
Figure (50)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	134
Figure (51)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	135

Figure (52)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	135
Figure (53)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	136
Figure (54)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	136
Figure (55)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	137
Figure (56)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	137
Figure (57)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	138
Figure (58)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	138
Figure (59)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	139
Figure (60)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	139
Figure (61)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	140
Figure (62)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	140
Figure (63)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on mixture (II) after 21 days by HPLC.	142
Figure (64)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on mixture (IV) after 21 days by HPLC.	142
Figure (65)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	154
Figure (66)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P1 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	154
Figure (67)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	155
Figure (68)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P8 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	155
Figure (69)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	156
Figure (70)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P13 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	156
Figure	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different	157

(71)	concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	
Figure (72)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P14 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	157
Figure (73)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	158
Figure (74)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P22 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	158
Figure (75)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	159
Figure (76)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P25 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	159
Figure (77)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	160
Figure (78)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P26 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	160
Figure (79)	Growth of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	161
Figure (80)	Extracellular protein of bacterial isolate MAM-P39 on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	161
Figure (81)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on mixture (II) after 21 days by HPLC.	165
Figure (82)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on mixture (IV) after 21 days by HPLC.	165
Figure (83)	Proposed pathway of benz[a]anthracene degradation by MAM-P8.	169
Figure (84)	Proposed pathway of pyrene degradation by MAM-P8.	172
Figure (85)	Agarose gel of DNA of isolated strains MAM-P8 high molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degrading bacteria.	177
Figure (86)	DNA sequencing of isolate MAM-P8.	177
Figure (87)	Phylogenetic tree constructed to isolated strain MAM-P8.	178

List of tables

Serial	Title	Page
Table (1)	Screening for the most potent bacterial strains capable of degrading HMW-PAHs isolated from soils of El Zytea-port, Suez Canal, Egypt.	76
Table (2)	Screening for the most potent bacterial strains capable of degrading HMW-PAHs isolated from soils of Cairo Oil Refinery Company, Mostrod, Qalyubia, Egypt.	77
Table (3)	Growth and extracellular protein of most potent bacterial isolates on different concentrations of B[a]A.	80
Table (4)	Count of the most tolerant HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on different concentrations of benz[a]anthracene after 21 days.	97
Table (5)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on benz[a]anthracene after 21 days by HPLC.	98
Table (6)	Growth and Extracellular protein of most potent bacterial isolates on different concentrations of Pyr.	103
Table (7)	Count of the most tolerant HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on different concentrations of pyrene after 21 days	120
Table (8)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on pyrene after 21 days by HPLC.	121
Table (9)	Growth and Extracellular protein of most potent bacterial isolates on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr and B[a]P).	125
Table (10)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on Pyrene and benzo[a]pyrene after 21 days by HPLC.	141
Table (11)	Growth and Extracellular protein of most potent bacterial isolates on different concentrations of mixture (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P).	146
Table (12)	Count of the most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on different concentrations of HMW-PAHs mixtures after 21 days.	163
Table (13)	Degradation percentage of most potent HMW-PAHs bacterial strains on mixtures (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P) after 21 days by HPLC.	164
Table (14)	Intermediates determined by GC-MS analysis of benz[a]anthracene degradation by MAM-P8 after 21 days of incubation.	166
Table (15)	Intermediates determined by GC-MS analysis of pyrene degradation by MAM-P8 after 21 days of incubation.	170
Table (16)	Intermediates determined by GC-MS analysis of double (Pyr and B[a]A) and triple (Pyr, B[a]A and B[a]P) mixtures degradation by	173

Abstract

Basant Nader Ahmed Rashad, Biodegradation of some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons polluted soils contaminated with petroleum oil, (M.Sc.), Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons especially high molecular weight (HMW) which represents the first priority must be disposed as recorded by US Environmental protection agency. Eight bacterial strains designed as MAM-P1, MAM-P8, MAM-P13 and MAM-P14 (group A) were isolated from soil contaminated with crude petroleum oil from El Zytea-port, Suez Canal, Egypt. While bacterial strains MAM-P22, MAM-P25, MAM-P26 and MAM-P39 (group B) were isolated from soil contaminated with crude petroleum oil from Cairo Oil Refinery Company, Mostrod, Qalyubia, Egypt. These isolates were evaluated for their potential to grow on different concentrations of HMW-PAHs (Benz[a]anthracene, Benzo[a]Pyrene and Pyrene). All isolated bacterial strains were able to grow on different concentrations of HMW-PAHs mixtures which included [(benzo[a]Pyrene+Pyrene) and benz[a]anthracene+benzo[a]Pyrene+Pyrene)]. Strain