

**DETERMINATION OF NUTRITIONAL
REQUIREMENTS OF SOME ESSENTIAL AMINO
ACIDS FOR TILAPIA FISH AND ITS IMPACT ON ITS
ENVIRONMENT**

By

Khaled Mohamed Wasly

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 1996

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Master Degree

In

Environmental Sciences

Department of Agricultural Science

Institute of Environmental Studies and Research

Ain Shams University

2007

**DETERMINATION OF NUTRITIONAL
REQUIREMENTS OF SOME ESSENTIAL AMINO
ACIDS FOR TILAPIA FISH AND ITS IMPACT ON ITS
ENVIRONMENT**

By

Khaled Mohamed Wasly

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 1996

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Master Degree

In

Environmental Sciences

Department of Agricultural Sciences

Under The Supervision of:

1. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fathy Osman

Prof. of fish nutrition – Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University

2. Dr. Mohamed Abdel Baky Amer

Assistant prof. of fish physiology – Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University

3. Dr. Sanaa Abdel Hamid Mahfouz

Researcher in the Central Laboratory for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center.

2007

تحديد الاحتياجات الغذائية من بعض الأحماض الأمينية الأساسية لأسماك البطي و تأثيرها علي بيئتها

رسالة مقدمة من

خالد محمد واصلي

بكالوريوس في العلوم الزراعية (إنتاج حيواني) جامعة عين شمس 1996

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول على درجة الماجستير
في العلوم البيئية

قسم العلوم الزراعية
معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية
جامعة عين شمس

2007

تحديد الاحتياجات الغذائية من بعض الأحماض الأمينية الأساسية لأسماك البلطي و تأثيرها علي بيئتها

رسالة مقدمة من

خالد محمد واصلي

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول على درجة الماجستير فى العلوم البيئية

قسم العلوم الزراعية

تحت إشراف :

1 - أ.د /محمد فتحي محمد عثمان

أستاذ تغذية الأسماك - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس

2- د/محمد عبد الباقي عامر

أستاذ مساعد فسيولوجيا الأسماك - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس

3- د/ سناء عبد الحميد محفوظ

باحث بالمعمل المركزي للأغذية والأعلاف - مركز البحوث الزراعية

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out in the fish laboratory of the Central Laboratory for Food and Feeds - Agriculture Research Center and was designed to determine the optimal level of methionine and lysine in tilapia fingerling diets; especially when using plant protein as the main protein source. Six experimental groups and a control treatment were assigned to one of the methionine or lysine level. The control group contained methionine and lysine levels which were recommended by **Santiago and Lovell (1988)**. All experimental diets were isonitrogenous (30% crude protein) and isocaloric (430 kcal / 100 g diet). Four dietary treatments with ascending levels of methionine (2.02, 2.72 (control), 3.45 and 4.11 g / 100 g crude protein), and varying levels of lysine (3.93, 5.12 (control), 6.2 and 7.87 g / 100 g crude protein) were formulated. The seven tested diets were fed to 7 tilapia fingerling groups with initial weight of 1.25 g / fish. Each experimental group consisted of 3 aquaria. The experiment (1) duration was 14 weeks and the fish were fed at a rate of 3.5% of fish body weight daily. The daily allowances were fed at 5 meals. Results in terms of the highest final body weight, weight gain, specific growth rate and best feed conversion and protein efficiency and feed utilization showed that tilapia fingerlings require 2.72 g methionine and 5.12 g lysine / 100 g crude protein. Quadratic regression analysis of weight gain (%) against dietary methionine and lysine levels indicated that the optimal dietary methionine and lysine levels required for maximum growth was determined to be 3.05 % and 5.69 % of the dietary protein diet in the presence of 1.25 % cystine of the dietary protein diet, respectively. The experiment (2) was done in order to study the effect of different treatments on *Oreochromis niloticus* water environment, by using the same experimental diets used in experiment (1). The ammonia, nitrite and nitrate concentration (mg/l) of water, for the fish given diets containing different levels of both methionine and lysine, were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) for fish fed the control diet compared with the fish fed diets contained higher methionine and lysine levels, while were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) with the fish fed diets contained lower methionine and lysine levels. The pH value among the experimental fish groups were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$). The results of the present study showed that the dietary requirements of methionine and lysine for tilapia fingerlings were 3.05% and 5.69% of the dietary crude protein, respectively.

Key words: Nile tilapia, nutritional requirements, methionine, lysine, water quality.

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	2
2.1. Tilapia as a main fish in Egyptian aquaculture.....	2
2.1.1. Historical.....	2
2.1.2. Statistics.....	3
2.2. Nutritional Requirements of tilapia.....	4
2.2.1. Protein.....	4
2.2.1.1 Protein Requirements.....	4
2.2.2. Energy requirement.....	8
2.2.3. Protein: Energy ratio.....	9
2.2.4. Carbohydrate requirement.....	10
2.2.5. Lipid requirements.....	11
2.2.6. Vitamin requirements.....	13
2.2.7. Minerals requirements.....	15
2.2.8. Amino acid requirements.....	17
2.3. Utilization of amino acids, by tilapia fish.....	20
2.4. Effect of balanced amino acid profile on the growth performance, feed utilization and body composition:.....	22
2.5. The effect of feed as an environmental pollutant	25
2.6. The optimum water quality required.....	26
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	29
3.1. Experiment1.....	29
3.1.1. Culture conditions.....	29
3.1.2. Diets and feeding regime	30
3.1.3. Chemical analysis.....	31
3.1.4. Determination of amino acids.....	31
3.1.5. Measurements of growth performance, and feed utilization parameters.....	36
3.1.6. Water characteristics.....	37
3.2. Experiment 2.....	37
3.2.1. Effect of different levels of methionine and lysine on the Nile tilapia environment.....	37

3.2.1.1. Determination of ammonia	37
3.2.1.2. Determination of nitrite.....	38
3.2.1.3. Determination of nitrate.....	38
3.3. Statistical Analysis.....	39
4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....	40
4.1. Experimental (1)	40
4.1.1. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine and lysine levels on growth performance.....	40
4.1.1.1. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine levels on growth performance	41
4.1.1.2. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different lysine levels on growth Performance	45
4.1.2. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine and lysine levels on feed efficiency and nutrient utilization.....	49
4.1.2.1. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine level on feed efficiency and nutrient utilization.....	49
4.1.2.2. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different lysine level on feed efficiency and nutrient utilization.....	52
4.1.3. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine and lysine level on body chemical composition.....	55
4.1.3.1. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine levels on body chemical composition.....	55
4.1.3.2. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different lysine levels on body chemical composition.....	59

4.2. Experimental (2)	66
4.2.1. Effect of feeding <i>O.niloticus</i> fingerling different methionine and lysine levels on water quality.....	66
4.2.1.1. Ammonia.....	67
4.2.1.2. Nitrite.....	69
4.2.1.3. Nitrate.....	71
4.2.1.4. pH.....	72
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	76
6. REFFRNCES.....	80
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Protein requirements of tilapia cultured in fresh water.....	5
Table 2: The dietary lysine requirements for various aquatic organisms.....	20
Table 3: Proximate chemical analysis of experimental dietary ingredients as fed basis (%).....	31
Table 4: Amino acid composition of experimental dietary ingredients used as fed basis (%).....	32
Table 5: Composition of Vitamins and Minerals Premix* used in the experimental diets (Composition per 3kg premixes)	33
Table 6: Composition of the experimental diets used in the feeding trial....	34
Table 7: Proximate chemical analysis and calculated energy content (Kcal DE/100g DM) of the experimental diets used in the feeding trial.....	35
Table 8: Essential amino acid analysis of the experimental diets (g/ 100 g crude protein)	35
Table 9: Growth performance of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> fish fed the experimental diets.....	40
Table10: Feed utilization parameters of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> fish fed the experimental diets	49
Table 11: chemical composition of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> fish fed the experimental diets.....	55
Table 12: Water parameters of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> fish fed the experimental diets.....	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.	Page
Fig. 1: Production of tilapia in Egypt from 1998 to 2003.....	3
Fig. 2: Weight gain (%) changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different methionine levels.....	44
Fig. 3: SGR changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets, containing different methionine levels.....	44
Fig. 4: Weight gain (%) changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different lysine levels	48
Fig. 5: SGR changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different lysine levels.....	48
Fig. 6: FCR changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets Containing different methiomime levels	51
Fig. 7: PER changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets Containing different methionine levels.	51
Fig. 8: FCR changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets Containing different lysine levels	54
Fig. 9: PER changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets Containing different lysine levels.....	54
Fig. 10: Moisture % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different methionine levels.	57
Fig. 11: Crude protein % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different methionine levels.....	57
Fig. 12: Ether extract % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different methionine levels.....	58
Fig. 13: Ash % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different methionine levels.....	58
Fig. 14: Moisture % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different lysine levels.....	61
Fig. 15: Crude protein % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different lysine levels.....	61

Fig. 16: Ether extract % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different lysine levels.....	62
Fig. 17: Ash % changes of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish given diets containing different lysine levels.....	62
Fig. 18: Relationship between WG % and dietary methionine levels for <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> fingerlings based on broken-line regression analysis, where X axis represents the optimal dietary methionine levels for the maximum WG% of grouper.....	64
Fig. 19: Relationship between WG % and dietary lysine levels for <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> fingerlings based on broken-line regression analysis, where represents the optimal dietary lysine levels for the maximum WG% of grouper.....	65
Fig. 20: Fish given diets containing different levels of methionine and lysine, represented as a relationship between water quality parameters (Ammonia concentration mg/l) of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> and time represented in days	69
Fig. 21: Fish fed different diets of methionine and lysine represented as a relationship between water quality parameters (nitrite concentration mg/l) of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> and time in days	71
Fig. 22: Fish given diets containing different levels methionine and lysine Represented as a relationship between water quality parameters (nitrate concentration mg/l) of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> and time in days	72
Fig. 23: Fish given diets containing different levels methionine and lysine represented as a relationship between water quality parameters (pH value) of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> and time in days	75

SYMBOLS LIST OF SCIENTIFIC TERMS AND ABBREVIATION

ADG	Average Daily Gain
BW	Body weight
Ca	Calcium
CP	Crude protein
d	Day
DC	Digestible carbohydrate
DM	Dry matter
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
E	Energy
EE	Ether Extract
FCE	Feed conversion efficiency
FCR	Feed Conversion Ratio
Fig.	Figure
g	gram
GE	Gross energy
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
I.U.	International unit
K	Potassium
Kcal	kilo calorie
kg	kilogram

l	liter
Ln	Natural Logarithm
ME	Metabolizable energy
mg	milligram
MSO	Methionine Sulphoxide
N	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
NFE	Nitrogen Free Extract
NH₃	Ammonia
NO₂	Nitrite
NO₃	Nitrate
<i>O.</i>	<i>Oreochromis</i>
P	Phosphorus
PER	Protein efficiency ratio
P:E	protein energy ratio
pH	Hydrogen ions concentration
PPV %	Protein Productive Value
SGR	Specific growth rate (%/day)
<i>T.</i>	Tilapia
TAN	Total Ammonia Nitrogen
Vit. & Min.	Vitamins and Minerals Premix

W	weight
W0	Initial weight (g/fish)
W1	Final weight (g/fish)

ACNOWLEDGMENT

First, I am deeply thankful to **Allah** to the grass of whom the present work was realized.

I wish to express my deepest sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fathy Osman**, Prof. of fish nutrition – Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, valuable assistance, useful advice, gratitude and his unlimited helps in writing.

I am also greatly indebted to **Dr. Mohamed Abdel Baky Amer**, Assistant prof of fish physiology – Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, help and advice in writing the thesis and encouragement.

Deep gratitude is also extended to **Dr. Sanaa Abdel Hamid Mahfouz**, Researcher in the Central Laboratory for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, for her supervision and kind support throughout this work.

Special thanks to **Dr. Ashraf Hashem Gomaa**, Researcher in the Central Laboratory for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, for his supervision, help in the analysis part of study and encouragement.

Last but not least, my thanks are dedicated to all staff members of Agriculture Science department at Environmental Studies and Research and Central Laboratory for Food and Feed for their help.