# PRODUCTION OF MILK ENRICHED IN OMEGA 3 AND OMEGA 6 CONTENT BY SUPPLEMENTING RATIONS OF LACTATING ANIMALS WITH RUMEN-PROTECTED VEGETABLE OILS

By

#### RAMADAN MOHAMED AHMED ABD EL GAWAD

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2002M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutrition), Ain Shams University, 2008

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agricultural Science (Animal Nutrition)

Department of Animal Production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

### **Approval Sheet**

# PRODUCTION OF MILK ENRICHED IN OMEGA 3 AND OMEGA 6 CONTENT BY SUPPLEMENTING RATIONS OF LACTATING ANIMALS WITH RUMEN-PROTECTED VEGETABLE OILS

By

#### RAMADAN MOHAMED AHMED ABD EL GAWAD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2002 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutrition), Ain Shams University, 2008

## This thesis for Ph. D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Mounir Mahmoud Ibrahim El Adawi
Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria
University
Dr. Soliman Mohamed Abd El Mawla
Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University
Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Ahmed El Sayed
Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University
Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Mohamed Khattab
Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University

**Date of Examination:** 15/9/2013

# PRODUCTION OF MILK ENRICHED IN OMEGA 3 AND OMEGA 6 CONTENT BY SUPPLEMENTING RATIONS OF LACTATING ANIMALS WITH RUMEN-PROTECTED VEGETABLE OILS

By

#### RAMADAN MOHAMED AHMED ABD EL GAWAD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2002M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutrition), Ain Shams University, 2008

#### Under the supervision of:

### Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Mohamed Khattab

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

## Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Ahmed Elsayed

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Salah El Edin Hassan Abo El Nor

Researcher Prof. of Milk Production, Department of Dairy Science, National Research Centre

# Acknowledgement

Firstly of prayerful thanks to Allah who gives me every thing I have.

The author would like to express his deepest thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hamdi khattab**, professor of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shams University for his courageous supervision, discussion and correcting the manuscript, valuable guidance and complete co-operation.

Many thanks and gratitude is also extended to **Prof. Dr. Salah Abo El-Nor**, Professor of milk production, National Research Centre for his encouragement, suggestion the problem, discussion and correcting the manuscript, continuous valuable suggestion and guidance in preparing this study.

I am grateful to **Prof. Dr. Hamdi M. El-Sayed**, Prof. of Animal nutrition, Dep. of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his sincere help and supervision during the accomplishment of this manuscript.

I am greatly indebted to **Prof. Dr. Magdy El-Nashar**, Assistant Prof. of Biopolymers and Nano-biotechnology, polymers Department, National Research Centre, for his valuable supervision, providing experience, chemicals, equipments, the lab to do work and his cooperation throughout this work.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Sobhy Mahmoud kholif,** Prof. of milk production, National Research Center, for his supervision during this study.

Thanks are also due to Mr. Yossef Hamed, the manager of Al Fayrose Company for agriculture and Animal production, Al-Nobaria city - Al-Bohira governorate and all staff in the farm for their endless help, during the field work of the experiment.

I would like to express my very special thanks for whom supported me all my life and still giving with out limits for my mother and my father.

Also, I feel actually indebted to my brothers (Ahmed, Mahmmoud, Mohamed, Mostafa and Taha) and my sisters (Mona, Ayat Allah).

All thinks to my wife (Asmaa Naser) and my sons Abd El- Rahman, Mohamed Al-Fateh and Mohab for encouragement and sincere devotion all the time.

# **CONTENTS**

Contents	Page
LIST OF TABLES	$\mathbf{v}$
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Lipids, structure and nomenclature of fatty acids	4
2.2. Function and health concerns of fatty acids	5
2.3. Lipids and dairy animal nutrition	7
2.4. Lipid digestion in the rumen	8
2.5. Fatty acids modified milk	10
2.5.1. Modifying milk fatty acids profile using feed technology	10
2.5.1.1. Different forms for fat supplementation to lactating animal's	10
diets	12
2.5.1.1.1. Whole and treated oilseeds	12
2.5.1.1.2. Formaldehyde treatment	13
2.5.1.1.3. Fat supplementation in amide form	14
2.5.1.1.4. Fat supplementation in calcium salts form	15
2.5.1.1.5. Whey protein emulsion gel complex	16
2.5.1.1.6. Microencapsulation process	17
2.5.1.1.6.1. Sodium alginate and k-carragenan as natural and safe polymers used in microencapsulation process	19
2.5.1.1.6.1.1. Sodium Alginate	19
2.5.1.1.6.1.2. κ-Carrageenan	20
2.6. Impact of protected and unprotected fat supplementation to	21
lactating animals ration on the productive performance	21
2.6.1. Feed intake	21
2.6.2. Effect on Feed digestibility	23
2.6.3. Effect on rumen fermentation parameters	24
2.6.4. Effect on blood parameters	28
2.6.5. Effect on milk yield and composition	31

2.6.6. Effect on milk fatty acids profile	36
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS	42
3.1. Materials.	43
3.2. Methods	43
3.2.1. Preparing linseed oil beads	43
3.2.1.1. Encapsulation efficiency measurements	44
3.2.1.1.1. Hydrolysis and extraction of samples	45
3.2.1.1.2. Derivatization procedure	45
3.2.1.1.3. Gas chromatographic procedure	45
3.2.2. Testing the product (linseed oil beads comparing to linseed	46
oil) using a serious of in-vitro studies	40
3.2.2.1. Effect of linseed oil and linseed oil beads on rumen	
fermentation and microbial parameters using gas production	46
system (GPS)	
3.2.2.1.1. Incubation and sampling procedures	46
3.2.2.1.2. Determination of basic rumen fermentation parameters and	47
methane production	47
3.2.2.1.3. Determination of microbial population	48
3.2.2.1 .Using batch culture system to evaluate the biohydrogenation	49
process of linseed oil and linseed oil beads	49
3.2.2.2.1. Incubation and sampling procedures	49
3.2.2.2.2. Fatty acids fractions after 24 and 48h of incubation	50
3.2.2.3. Rustic system as a long term of incubation	50
3.2.2.3.1. Rumen inoculum and artificial saliva	51
3.2.2.3.2. Rustic fermenter set-up	52
3.2.2.3.3. Sampling	53
3.2.2.3.4. Analytical methods	53
3.2.3. Lactation trial	54
3.2.3.1. Experimental animals	54

3.2.3.2. Experimental rations	54
3.2.3.3. Feeding and Management	55
3.2.3.4. Digestibility trail	55
3.2.3.5. Rumen liquor sampling	56
3.2.3.6. Blood sampling	56
3.2.3.7. Milk sampling	57
3.2.3.8. Methods of analysis	57
3.2.3.8.1. Feedstuffs and fecal analysis	57
3.2.3.8.2. Rumen liquor analysis	57
3.2.3.8.3. Blood analysis	57
3.2.3.8.4. Milk analysis	58
3.2.4. Statistical analysis	58
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIAN	60
4.1. Making linseed oil beads	60
4.2. Encapsulation efficiency	61
4.3. In-vitro studies	63
4.3.1. The effect of different levels from linseed oil and linseed oil	
beads on rumen fermentation and microbial parameters using	63
Gas production system (GPS)	
4.3.1.1. pH.	63
4.3.1.2. Ammonia	65
4.3.1.3. Short chain fatty acids (SCFA)	67
4.3.1.4. Total gas production (TGP)	69
4.3.1.5. Methane concentration.	71
4.3.1.6. Microbial population	72
4.3.2. Batch culture system	76
4.3.2.1. Rumen liquor fatty acids fraction	76
4.3.3. Rustic system	81
4.3.3.1. Rumen fermentation parameters	81
4.3.3.2. Total and fractions of volatile fatty acids	86
4.3.4. Impact of linseed oil and linseed oil beads on the productive	89

4.3.4.3. Blood serum parameters 4.3.4.3.1. Blood serum total protein. 4.3.4.3.2. Blood serum albumin. 4.3.4.3.3. Blood serum globulin. 4.3.4.3.4. Blood serum glucose. 4.3.4.3.5. The serum enzymatic activity. 4.3.4.3.6. Blood serum urea 4.3.4.3.7. Blood serum calcium content. 4.3.4.3.8. Blood serum total triglycerides. 4.3.4.3.9. Blood serum total cholesterol. 4.3.4.3.10. Blood serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) content. 4.3.4.3.11. Blood serum low density lipoprotein content (LDL). 4.3.4.3.4. Milk yield and composition of lactating goats.
4.3.4.3.1. Blood serum total protein
4.3.4.3.1. Blood serum total protein
4.3.4.3.2. Blood serum globulin 4.3.4.3.3. Blood serum glucose 4.3.4.3.5. The serum enzymatic activity 4.3.4.3.6. Blood serum urea 4.3.4.3.7. Blood serum calcium content 4.3.4.3.8. Blood serum total triglycerides 4.3.4.3.9. Blood serum total cholesterol 4.3.4.3.10. Blood serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) content 4.3.4.3.11. Blood serum low density lipoprotein content (LDL) 4.3.4.4. Milk yield and composition of lactating goats
4.3.4.3.3. Blood serum globulin
4.3.4.3.4. Blood serum glucose
4.3.4.3.5. The serum enzymatic activity
4.3.4.3.6. Blood serum urea 4.3.4.3.7. Blood serum calcium content. 4.3.4.3.8. Blood serum total triglycerides. 4.3.4.3.9. Blood serum total cholesterol. 4.3.4.3.10. Blood serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) content. 4.3.4.3.11. Blood serum low density lipoprotein content (LDL). 4.3.4.4. Milk yield and composition of lactating goats.
4.3.4.3.7. Blood serum calcium content
4.3.4.3.9. Blood serum total cholesterol
4.3.4.3.9. Blood serum total cholesterol
4.3.4.3.10. Blood serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) content 4.3.4.3.11. Blood serum low density lipoprotein content (LDL) 4.3.4.4. Milk yield and composition of lactating goats
4.3.4.3.11. Blood serum low density lipoprotein content (LDL) 4.3.4.4. Milk yield and composition of lactating goats
4.3.4.4. Milk yield and composition of lactating goats
4.3.4.4.1. Milk yield
4.3.4.4.2. Milk total solids content
4.3.4.4.3. Milk fat content
4.3.4.4.4. Milk total protein content
4.3.4.4.5. Milk lactose content
4.3.4.4.6. Milk solids non fat
4.3.4.4.7. Milk ash content
4.3.4.4.8. Milk fatty acids profile affected by lactating animal's diets
supplemented with protected and non-protected fat
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
VI. REFERENCES

# **List of Figures**

Figures	Pages
1. Schematic structure of Alginate	20
2. Schematic structure of carrageenans	21
3. Encapsulator for making uniform gel beads	44
4. Linseed oil beads	60

# List of tables

Tables	Pages
1. Chemical composition of concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and Berseem clover (% on dry matter basis).	55
2. Encapsulation efficiency as a quantity (E.E) and quality (fatty acids fraction) for linseed oil beads and linseed oil before and after encapsulation process.	62
3. The effect of different levels from linseed oil on different rumen fermentation and microbial parameters using GPS.	64
4. The effect of different levels from linseed oil beads 1 (15% oil) on different rumen fermentation and microbial parameters using GPS.	66
5. The effect of different levels from linseed oil beads 2 (20% oil) on different rumen fermentation and microbial parameters using GPS.	68
6. Rumen liquor fatty acid fraction affected by linseed oil and linseed oil beads supplementation after 24h of incubation using batch culture system	77
7. Rumen liquor fatty acid fraction affected by linseed oil and linseed oil beads supplementation after 48h of incubation using batch culture system.	79
8. Effect of treatments on rumen fermentation and microbial parameters using Rustic system	82
9. Effect of treatments on TVFA's and VFA fraction.	87
10. Effect of treatments on rumen liquor parameters of lactating goats	90

11. Effect of treatments on rumen liquor VFA's of lactating goats	91
12. Effect of treatments on nutrients digestibility of lactating goats.	95
13. Effect of treatments on blood serum total protein	98
14. Effect of treatments on blood serum albumin.	98
15. Effect of treatments on blood serum globulin	100
16. Effect of treatments on blood serum glucose.	100
17. Effect of treatments on blood serum (ALT).	102
18. Effect of treatments on blood serum (AST).	102
19. Effect of treatments on blood serum urea	105
20. Effect of treatments on blood serum calcium.	105
21. Effect of treatments on blood serum total triglyceride	107
22. Effect of treatments on blood serum total cholesterol	107
23. Effect of treatments on blood serum HDL cholesterol	109
24. Effect of treatments on blood serum LDL cholesterol	109
25. Effect treatments on average daily milk yield (g/head/day)	111
26. Effect of treatments on average daily milk total solid content (%)	112
27. Effect of treatments on average on average daily milk fat content	112
(%)	
28. Effect of treatments on average daily milk protein content (%)	115
29. Effect of treatments on average daily milk lactose content (%)	116
30. Effect of treatments on average daily milk solid non fat content (%)	117
31. Effect of treatments on average daily milk ash content (%)	117
32. Effect of treatments on milk fat profile (%)	119

#### **ABSTRACT**

Ramadan Mohamed Ahmed Abd El Gawad: Production of Milk Enriched in Omega 3 and Omega 6 Content by Supplementing Rations of Lactating Animals with Rumen-Protected Vegetable Oils. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2013.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of new encapsulation method to protect vegetable oils rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids from rumen biohydrogenation. Alginate/carragenan calcium beads were used to encapsulate linseed oil as a source of omega fatty acids. Two concentrations from linseed oil beads (beads 1; 15% and beads 2; 20% oil) were prepared. Encapsulation efficiency was evaluated by measuring the quantity of saved oil by beads and changes on linseed oil fatty acids profile after encapsulation process. In vitro evaluation of linseed oil beads using rumen fluid collected from ruminally fistulated Polish Holstein-Friesian cows within gas production system (GPS), batch culture system (BCS) and rumen simulation technique system (Rustic system) was done. Within GPS, Linseed beads 1 (15% oil), linseed beads 2 (20% oil) and unprotected linseed oil were supplemented to the substrate at four levels (0, 2, 4, and 6%) from substrate as DM basis. The closest level to the control case was the 4% level of linseed oil and linseed oil beads which was supplemented to basal substrates and compared with the unsupplemented basal substrates for in-vitro evaluation using BCS and rustic system. In-vivo evaluation was conducted using fifteen lactating Damascus goats one week after parturition which were equally divided between three treatments (five each). The treatments were, Control (without supplement), P.O (control diet+ 2% protected linseed oil beads containing 15% oil) and O treatment (control diet+ 2% unprotected linseed oil (as dry matter basis)). The results indicated that the saved oil by beads was 86% and 87% for beads 1 and beads 2, respectively. The encapsulation process didn't have a significant negative effect on the fatty acid profile. The overall results from the gas production system trial concluded that beads 1, beads 2 and unprotected linseed oil at different levels didn't have a negative effect on fermentation pattern in most cases. The obtained results indicated that the only clear negative effect for linseed oil treatment was on protozoa count which was clear from its values. The closest level for the control case from these three treatments was the 4% which was used in the next experiments. Within batch culture system to evaluate the biohydrogenation process, the results showed that short chain fatty acids wasn't affected by treatments after both 24 and 48h of incubation whereas medium chain fatty acids were decreased significantly (P < 0.01) by treatments than control after 24 and 48 of incubation. Linseed oil treatments, as protected and unprotected, significantly (P < 0.01) decreased saturated fatty acids after 24 and 48h of incubation than control. Monounsaturated fatty acids were significantly (P < 0.01) increased by linseed oil treatment than other treatments and control after 24 and 48h. Monounsaturated fatty acids in cis form were increased by treatments than control after 24 and 48h of incubation. Monounsaturated fatty acids in trans form were decreased significantly (P < 0.01) by both beads form than control and linseed oil treatments. Polyunsaturated fatty acids, omega 3 and omega 6 fatty acids, were increased significantly (P < 0.01) by beads 1 and beads 2 than control but not significant than linseed oil treatment after 24 and 48h of incubation. Omega 6/omega 3 ratio was significantly decreased (P < 0.01) by all treatments than control after 24 and 48h of incubation. Within long term of incubation using Rustic system, the results indicated that means of pH, oxireductase, over flow, total bacteria count, Entodinimorpha protozoa, total gas production, methane production, IVDMD and total and fraction volatile fatty acids were not affected by treatments. However, ammonia concentration and Holotritcha protozoa were decreased significantly by linseed oil treatments. Within lactation trial, rumen fermentation parameters were not significantly affected by treatments at different times, except, butyric acid at zero time and acetic acid at 3h after feeding where decreased significantly by linseed oil (O) treatment than control. Dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and nitrogen free extract (NFE) digestibilites were not significantly affected by treatments; however, crude fiber (CF) digestibility was significantly decreased by linseed oil treatment than protected linseed oil. For Blood parameters, The overall means of albumin, serum total protein, globulin, glucose, aminotransfereas (ALT), aspertate aminotransfereas (AST), calcium, total triglyceride and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) content were not significantly (P > 0.05) affected by treatments. However, blood serum urea content was significantly increased (P < 0.05) by linseed oil than protected than control. Also, blood serum total cholesterol content was significantly decreased (P < 0.05) by protected linseed oil (P.O) than linseed oil (O) but not than control. Blood serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) content was significantly increased (P < 0.05) by linseed oil (O) than control but not than protected linseed oil (P.O). Milk yield was significantly increased (P < 0.05) by 50.5% and 32.2% by linseed oil and protected linseed oil than control, respectively. Milk total solids, total protein, lactose, solids non fat and ash content were not significantly affected by treatments. However, milk fat content was significantly decreased by linseed oil than other treatments. The results of milk fat fatty acids fraction indicated that linoliec acid (C18:2 n6), increased (P > 0.05) numerically than control and O treatments. Moreover, linolenic acid (C18:3 n3) was increased by protected linseed oil (P.O) by 14.4 % and 49.4 % than control and linseed oil (O), respectively. The sum of linoliec and linolenic fatty acids (as main polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk fat) was increased significantly (P < 0.05) by protected linseed oil than linseed oil treatments but it was insignificant (P > 0.05) than control. However, sum of saturated fatty acids (measured within this trial) didn't have a significant difference between treatments, although it decreased numerically by protected linseed oil than linseed oil and control treatments. Finally, omega 6/omega 3 ratio were not significantly (P > 0.05) affected by treatments. Generally, the fraction of milk fat fatty acids was modified by treatments towards increasing polyunsaturated fatty acids and decreasing saturated fatty acids, even it was insignificant, by protecting linseed oil. In conclusion, using alginate/carragenan calcium beads succeeded to avoid negative effects of linseed oil on rumen environment and, relatively, protect polyunsaturated fatty acids from