



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
@ ASUNET



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of  
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل

2010  
Cp

617,643

**THE EFFECT OF EXTRACTION OF FOUR PREMOLARS  
ON INTERMAXILLARY TOOTH-SIZE DISCREPANCY IN  
DIFFERENT ANGLE'S CLASSES OF MALOCCLUSION  
(A LABORATORY STUDY)**

**THESIS**

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry  
Alexandria University in partial fulfillment of  
The Requirements for

**MASTER DEGREE**

**In**

**ORTHODONTICS**

**BY**

**EIMAN SALAH AHMED MARZOUK  
B.D.S, 2000**

**FACULTY OF DENTISTRY  
ALEXANDRIA UNIVERSITY  
2005**

## **SUPERVISORS**

**Prof. Dr. Myra Abdel-Salam Fahmy**

Professor of Orthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Alexandria University

**Prof. Dr. Walid Ali El-Kenany**

Professor of Orthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Alexandria University

*To my family: father, mother, brother and husband the  
empowering people in my life who taught me the value  
of learning and who always support me.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deepest gratitude, appreciation and cordial thanks to **Prof. Dr. Myra A. Fahmy**, Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for her sincere help, generous support, patience and continuous guidance through out this study.

I am sincerely thankful to **Prof. Dr. Walid A. El-Kinany** Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his valuable supervision, encouragement and advice.

I would like also to thank **Dr. Maha Tantawy** Lecturer of Pediatric Dentistry and Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for her valuable help and informative contribution to the statistical analysis of the results of the study.

My deepest gratitude to **Dr. Nadia EL-Harouny** Assistant Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for giving her time, effort and showing great interest in my work.

My gratitude and appreciation to all the **staff members** of the Department of Orthodontics, Alexandria University for their constructive suggestions and advice.

Additionally, I would like to extend my everlasting thanks to my **colleagues** in the Department of Orthodontics, for their support and cooperation, it was a pleasure working and studying with them.

## CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER	PAGE
I- INTRODUCTION .....	1
II- AIM OF THE WORK .....	21
III- MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	22
IV- RESULTS .....	30
V- DISCUSSION .....	67
VI- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	88
VII- REFERENCES .....	91
PROTOCOL	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

## List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Measuring the mesiodistal width of a tooth using the divider.	28
2.	Punching two holes along a drawn line on a piece of cardboard representing the mesiodistal width of the tooth using the divider.	28
3.	The Boley gauge.	29
4.	Measuring the total dental material of the upper arch using the Boley gauge.	29
5.	The distribution of the non-discrepant and discrepant cases among the whole sample.	31
6.	The distribution of the non-discrepant and discrepant cases within each Class.	33
7.	The distribution of the non-discrepant and discrepant cases within each Class.	34
8.	The mean overall Bolton's ratio of each Class in the sample.	35
9.	The mean overall ratio of each Class compared to Bolton's ideal overall mean.	36
10.	The mean ratios of non-discrepant cases within each Class compared to Bolton's ideal ratio.	37

11.	Comparison between the mean overall ratios of discrepant cases within each Class and Bolton's ratio.	39
12.	Comparison between the mean ratios after extraction of different combinations of premolars and the control's extraction mean.	41
13.	The effect of various premolars extraction combinations on the mean ratios of each Class compared to the control's mean.	48
14.	The difference in the mean ratios before and after different combinations of premolars extraction in the whole sample.	58
15.	The difference in the mean ratios before and after extraction of different premolars combinations in non-discrepant and discrepant cases among the whole sample.	60

## List of Tables

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
I.	The percentage of discrepancy within the whole sample.	31
II.	The percentage of discrepancy in each Class.	32
III.	The percentage of mandibular and maxillary excess in the discrepant cases within each Class.	33
IV.	The mean overall ratio of each Class compared with Bolton's ideal overall ratio ( $91.3\% \pm 1.91$ ).	35
V.	The mean overall ratios in non discrepant cases within each Class compared with Bolton's ideal overall mean ( $91.3\% \pm 1.91$ ).	37
VI.	The mean overall ratios in discrepant cases within each Class compared to Bolton's ideal overall mean ( $91.3\% \pm 1.91$ ).	38
VII.	Descriptive statistics of the control group.	40
VIII.	The mean ratios of the whole sample after different combinations of hypothetical premolars extraction compared with the control's extraction mean.	40
IX.	Comparison between the mean ratios after different combinations of premolars extraction among the non-discrepant cases and the control's mean.	42

X.	Comparison between the mean ratios after different combinations of premolars extraction among the non-discrepant cases and the control's mean.	43
XI.	Class I mean ratios after different combinations of hypothetical premolars extraction compared to the control's mean.	44
XII.	Class II div.1 mean ratios after different combinations of hypothetical premolars extraction compared to control's mean.	45
XIII.	Class II div. 2 mean ratios after different combinations of hypothetical premolars extraction compared to the control's mean.	46
XIV.	Class III mean ratios after different combinations of hypothetical premolars extractions compared to the control's mean.	47
XV.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class I non-discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's extraction mean.	49
XVI.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class II div.1 non-discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's extraction mean.	50
XVII.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class II div.2 non-discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's extraction mean.	51

XVIII.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class III non-discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's mean.	52
XIX.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class I discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's extraction mean.	53
XX.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class II div.1 discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's mean.	54
XXI.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class II div.2 discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's extraction mean.	55
XXII.	Comparison between the mean ratios of Class III discrepant cases after different combinations of premolars extraction and the control's extraction mean.	56
XXIII.	Ratios before and after extraction of different combinations of premolars in the whole sample.	57
XXIV.	Ratios before and after extraction of different combinations of premolars in non-discrepant cases among the whole sample.	59
XXV.	Ratios before and after extraction of different combinations of premolars in discrepant cases among the whole sample.	59
XXVI.	Ratios before and after extraction of different premolars combinations in non-discrepant Class I cases.	61