



# **ATLANTO-AXIAL (C1-C2) POSTERIOR CERVICAL FUSION USING POSTERIOR CLAMPS**

**Thesis**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the M.D. Degree in  
**NEUROSURGERY**

By

**MOHAMED ADEL EL MALLAWANY**  
(M.B.; B.Ch., M.Sc., Cairo University)

Under supervision of

**PROF. DR. AMR MAHMOUD SAFWAT**  
*Professor of Neurosurgery,  
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University*

**PROF. DR. IBRAHIUM MOHAMED IBRAHIUM**  
*Professor of Neurosurgery,  
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University*

**PROF. DR. SAMEH AHMED SAKR**  
*Professor of Neurosurgery,  
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University*

**DR. MOHAMED IBRAHIUM REEFAT**  
*Lecturer of Neurosurgery  
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University*

**FACULTY OF MEDICINE,  
CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
2014**

---

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*Above all, my deepest thanks go to God, for giving me the patience, power, and health to finish this work*

*I am deeply thankful to **PROF. DR. AMR SAFWAT**, Professor of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. I am greatly honored to learn from his experience and wise counsel, and thankful for him for giving me some of his precious time.*

*I am greatly honored to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and respect to my mentors **PROF. DR. IBRAHIUM MOHAMED IBRAHIUM**, and **PROF. DR. SAMEH SAKR**, Professors of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for their guidance, supervision, and continuous advice, not only during this work but ever since I started my residency. I would also love to express my appreciation for all the psychological support they always gives me and for their continuous guidance to me.*

*My heartfelt thanks go to **DR. MOHAMED REEFAT**, Lecturer of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for helping me out through the study, guiding me to finish this work, simplifying and clarifying things for me through his valuable comments.*

## **CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
▪ <b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
▪ <b>AIM OF THE WORK</b> .....	5
▪ <b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	6
○ Epidemiology .....	6
○ Classification .....	8
○ Anatomy .....	12
○ Pathophysiology .....	44
○ Diagnosis .....	54
○ Treatment .....	66
▪ <b>PATIENTS AND METHODS</b> .....	108
▪ <b>RESULTS</b> .....	122
▪ <b>CASE PRESENTATION</b> .....	129
▪ <b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	149
▪ <b>CONCLUSION</b> .....	160
▪ <b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	161
▪ <b>SUMMARY</b> .....	162
▪ <b>REFERENCES</b> .....	165
▪ <b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b> .....	175

## LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
<b>1</b>	Classification of some CSIs by level, trauma mechanism, and morphology, and resultant clinical stability	9
<b>2</b>	Sagittal view of the occiput, atlas, and axis	12
<b>3</b>	Anatomy of cervical vertebrae	15
<b>4</b>	Anatomy of Atlas vertebrae	15
<b>5</b>	Anterior view of Axis vertebrae	16
<b>6</b>	Posterior view of Axis vertebrae	17
<b>7</b>	Superior and anterior view of the atlas & Axis vertebrae	18
<b>8</b>	Articulation between the atlas and the axis showing sulcus for vertebral artery	20
<b>9</b>	Articulation between the atlas & axis showing the atlantoaxial joints	20
<b>10</b>	Cervical spine ligaments	21
<b>11</b>	Upper cervical spine ligaments anterior view	22
<b>12</b>	Upper cervical spine ligaments posterior view	22
<b>13</b>	Artist's drawing of the posterior CCJ illustrating its numerous specialized ligamentous structures. The tectorial membrane is reflected up and down in this drawing	24
<b>14</b>	Cadaveric dissection illustrating the view of note the transverse ligament (T), alar ligament (A), accessory atlantooccipital membrane (AAA), and the atlas (C1) and axis (C2).	25
<b>15</b>	Cadaveric dissection noting the transverse occipital ligament (TOL)	26
<b>16</b>	Anterior drawing noting the jugular foramen (a) and its relationship to the lateral atlantooccipital ligament (b) note the anterior longitudinal ligament (c) and the rectus capitis lateralis (d)	27
<b>17</b>	Cadaveric dissection noting the right lateral atlantooccipital (LAO) ligament. Note the jugular foramen (JF) and atlas (C1)	28
<b>18</b>	Cadaveric dissection noting the Barkow ligament (arrow). For	29

---

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
	reference, note the right occipital condyle (OC) and dens (D)	
<b>19</b>	Posterior view of the CCJ illustrating the relationship between the tectorial membrane (shadowed) and the more anterior-lying ligaments	31
<b>20</b>	Sagittal drawing of the neck and cranial base depicting the various specialized ligaments of the CCJ region	33
<b>21</b>	Cadaveric dissection of the anterior CCJ noting the anterior atlantooccipital (AAO) membrane	34
<b>22</b>	Anatomy of vertebral artery on 3D CTA	35
<b>23</b>	Anterior view of cervical spine and foramen transversarium	37
<b>24</b>	Cervical spine vasculature	37
<b>25</b>	A atlas and axis articulation superior view  B What can happen to the ‘usual’ anatomy, when a force is applied to the skull on one side	42
<b>26</b>	The Effects of the Atlas Subluxation	43
<b>27</b>	Forward displacement of the trunk and compression and upward rotation of the cervical spine and head	46
<b>28</b>	Extension teardrop fracture	48
<b>29</b>	Types of odontoid fractures	50
<b>30</b>	Type II odontoid fracture	50
<b>31</b>	Type III odontoid fracture	50
<b>32</b>	Fractures of the 1st cervical vertebra (atlas) type I,II &III	51
<b>33</b>	Fractures of the 1st cervical vertebra (atlas) type IV &V	52
<b>34</b>	Hangman’s fracture	53
<b>35</b>	Diagrammatic interpretation of lateral X ray cervical	59
<b>36</b>	Radiographic interpretation of lateral X ray cervical	61
<b>37</b>	‘Open mouth’ view of the odontoid	61
<b>38</b>	Halo vest	81
<b>39</b>	Gallies fusion	83

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>40</b>	Modified Gallies fusion	84
<b>41</b>	Gallie Technique	84
<b>42</b>	(a, b): Brooks-Jenkins fusion	85,86
<b>43</b>	(a, b): Artist's illustration of Sonntag wire fusion. Additional autograft may be placed to promote fusion (arrow)	87
<b>44</b>	Application of laminar clamps	88
<b>45</b>	A odontoid fracture and atlantoaxial kyphosis (arrow) 3 months after injury	90
<b>46</b>	Lateral X-ray of posterior cervical clamp fixation from C1–3	91
<b>47</b>	Anterior odontoid screw	92
<b>48</b>	a: Axial CT scan of C2 revealing aberrant vertebral artery in the intended path of a right C1–2 transarticular screw Figure b showing course of vertebral artery	94
<b>49</b>	Magerl and Seemann performed trans articular screw fixation	95
<b>50</b>	(A) Trajectory for a C1–2 transarticular screw if the patient is positioned without capital flexion of the head on the neck (B) Trajectory for a C1–2 transarticular screw for a patient positioned with capital flexion of the head on the neck (military tuck position)	95
<b>51</b>	Lateral X-ray of a C1–2 transarticular screw placed over a K-wire	96
<b>52</b>	Course of VA and trans articular screw fixation	96
<b>53</b>	a, x ray pre and postoperative of transarticular screw fixation b, course of VA	96
<b>54</b>	Artist's illustration of a completed C1 lateral mass with C2 pedicle screw (Goel's technique) construct	98
<b>55</b>	Photograph of a spine model demonstrating the entry point for a C1 lateral mass screw	99
<b>56</b>	Drilling of pedicle screw	99
<b>57</b>	Artist's illustration of the creation of a defect in the posterior lamina of C1 prior to placement of a C1 lateral mass screw. This defect accommodates the screw shaft and head	100
<b>58</b>	Artist's illustration of the placement of a C1 lateral mass screw	100

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>59</b>	Artist's illustration of a completed C1 lateral mass with C2 pars screw construct	101
<b>60</b>	C2 pedicle screw placement with image guidance	101
<b>61</b>	Lateral mass c2 pedicle post op screw placement	102
<b>62</b>	Laminar screws	103
<b>63</b>	Showing the exposure of the upper cervical & cranio-cervical junction	111
<b>64</b>	Artist drawing of application of hook	112
<b>65</b>	Intraoperative photo of hooks	112
<b>66</b>	Intraoperative image was taken to ensure proper alignment	113
<b>67</b>	The Apofix clamp	113
<b>68</b>	Intraoperative Clamp applied in situ after implantation of graft	114
<b>69</b>	Visual analogue scale	117
<b>70</b>	Age distribution	122
<b>71</b>	Cause of instability (pie chart)	123
<b>72</b>	Patients' distribution according to ASIA motor score	124
<b>73</b>	ASIA scores reported pre- and postoperatively	125
<b>74</b>	Postoperative VAS pain score and NDI scores compared to preoperative scores	126
<b>75</b>	Mean of FMI scores reported pre- and postoperatively	126
<b>76</b>	CT cervical axial cuts showing odontoid fracture	129
<b>77</b>	CT cervical sagittal and coronal reconstruction showing odontoid fracture	130
<b>78</b>	MRI cervical spine, T2 sagittal cuts	130
<b>79</b>	MRI cervical spine, T2 axial cuts	130
<b>80</b>	Intraoperative x-ray after reduction	131
<b>81</b>	Showing the grooving of upper arch of c1 before applying the hook	131
<b>82</b>	Intraoperative image after fixation showing C1 C2 hooks	132



<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>83</b>	Intraoperative x-ray after fixation	132
<b>84</b>	X ray dynamic views preoperative showing wide range of motion between c1 c2	133
<b>85</b>	Showing absence of movement during flexion and extension dynamic views in follow up	133
<b>86</b>	CT cervical with sagittal reconstruction post operative follow up	134
<b>87</b>	CT axial cuts post op follow up showing the hooks	134
<b>88</b>	X ray cervical spine lateral view showing the odontoid fracture	135
<b>89</b>	CT sagittal reconstruction showing type III odontoid	136
<b>90</b>	CT coronal reconstruction showing type III odontoid fracture	136
<b>91</b>	Intraoperative view after fixation	137
<b>92</b>	Comparison between displaced odontoid preoperative in the above image with the reduced one in the post op CT in the image below	137
<b>93</b>	CT sagittal reconstruction and axial cuts post operative showing proper placements of the hooks and improvement in the canal diameter after the reduction if compared with the preoperative one	138
<b>94</b>	MRI cervical spine sagittal T2 showing compression of spinal cord at c1 c2 with cord signal	139
<b>95</b>	MRI cervical spine axial cuts T2 showing canal compromise	140
<b>96</b>	X ray dynamic views showing wide range of motion between C2 in flexion and extension views	140
<b>97</b>	X ray lateral view in which the odontoid fracture is hidden which reveal the importance of dynamic views to disclose occult instability	141
<b>98</b>	MRI brain T1 axial cuts showing normal size of the ventricles	141
<b>99</b>	CT cervical spinal coronal reconstruction view preoperative showing fracture odontoid process and eccentric position to the left	142
<b>100</b>	X ray lateral neutral view post operative in follow up showing fusion	142

## ***LIST OF FIGURES***

---

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>101</b>	CT postoperative coronal reconstruction	143
<b>102</b>	CT postoperative axial cuts postoperative	143
<b>103</b>	CT postoperative sagittal reconstruction showing proper hook placement and fusion of odontoid process with c1.	144
<b>104</b>	X ray lateral view showing forward displacement and gapping of c1 over c2 on flexion denoting instability	145
<b>105</b>	X ray open mouth view showing the odontoid fracture	145
<b>106</b>	CT cervical spinal axial view showing fracture odontoid process, increased atlanto-dens distance	146
<b>107</b>	MRI sagittal T2 showing the fracture	146
<b>108</b>	Intraoperative view showing compression of the clamps for approximation of c1 c2 laminae	147
<b>109</b>	Intraoperative view showing the clamps of c1 c2 laminae in place	147
<b>110</b>	Post operative CT and follow up X-ray showing the clamp	148

## LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
<b>1</b>	Management of combination fractures	74
<b>2</b>	Indications for posterior cervical fusion	77
<b>3</b>	Odom's criteria for assessment	90
<b>4</b>	Comparison between popular posterior approaches	105
<b>5</b>	ASIA grade E (Normal) indicates no motor and sensory deficit	116
<b>6</b>	Frunkel's classification of neurological functioning	116
<b>7</b>	The functional independence measure scale	119
<b>8</b>	<b>MASTER TABLE</b>	120
<b>9</b>	Postoperative neurological and functional scores recorded at the end of follow-up period compared to preoperative scores	127

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>AAA</b>	accessory atlantoccipital
<b>AAD</b>	Atlanto-axial dislocation
<b>AAO</b>	Anterior atlantooccipital
<b>AARD</b>	Atlanto-axial rotatory dislocation
<b>ADI</b>	Atlanto-dens interval
<b>ALL</b>	Anterior longitudinal ligament
<b>AP</b>	Anteroposterior
<b>AS</b>	Ankylosing spondylitis
<b>ASIA</b>	American spine injury association
<b>C</b>	Cervical
<b>C0</b>	occiput
<b>CCJ</b>	Craniocervical junction
<b>CJVA</b>	Cervical junction vertebral artery
<b>CJVA</b>	Cervical junction vertebral artery
<b>CSI</b>	Cervical spine injury
<b>CT</b>	Computed tomography
<b>CTA</b>	Computed tomography angiography
<b>CVJ</b>	Cranio-vertebral junction
<b>EMS</b>	Emergency medical service system.
<b>FIM</b>	Functional independence measure
<b>FMI</b>	Functional measure of independence
<b>Ft</b>	Feet
<b>GCS</b>	Glasgow Coma Scale
<b>HTV</b>	Halo-thoracic vest
<b>ICD</b>	International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems
<b>JOA</b>	Japanese orthopedic association score
<b>LAO</b>	Lateral atlantooccipital
<b>Mph</b>	Mile per hour
<b>MDCT</b>	Multi-detector computed tomography
<b>MPR</b>	Multiphase reformation
<b>MRI</b>	Magnetic resonance imaging
<b>MVA</b>	Motor vehicle accident
<b>NASCI</b>	National acute spine cord injury
<b>NDI</b>	Neck disability index
<b>NEXUS</b>	National Emergency X-radiography Utilization Study
<b>NG</b>	Nasogastric
<b>PACS</b>	Picture archiving and communication system
<b>PAO</b>	Posterior atlantooccipital
<b>PLL</b>	Posterior longitudinal ligament
<b>RA</b>	Rheumatoid arthritis
<b>ROM</b>	Range of motion

<b>SCI</b>	Spinal cord injury
<b>SCIWORA</b>	Spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality
<b>SEH</b>	Spinal epidural hematoma
<b>STIR</b>	Short time to inversion recovery
<b>T1</b>	Longitudinal relaxation
<b>T2</b>	Transverse relaxation
<b>T2*</b>	Transverse relaxation obtained using gradient echo sequences
<b>TA</b>	Transarticular
<b>TAS</b>	Transarticular screw
<b>TOL</b>	Transverse occipital ligament
<b>VA</b>	Vertebral artery
<b>VBS</b>	Vertebral body sagittal distance

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The present study was designed to determine the surgical yield of cervical C1-C2 fixation by implantation of hooks for the treatment of patients with C1-C2 instability.

**Patients & Methods:** The present study included 20 patients; assigned for C1-C2 fusion for non-neoplastic disease; diagnosis and inclusion was confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging(MRI). The applied technique for C1-C2 hook fixation was conducted according to Holness et al., using either iliac crest or artificial bone graft. Postoperative bracing (firm collar) was applied for 8-12 weeks. Outcome Measures included radiological evaluation of successful bone fusion, neurological evaluation using the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) motor score, neck and arm pain scoring, neck disability index (NDI) and the functional independence measure (FIM) presented as total motor score. Evaluations were conducted preoperatively and at end of follow-up period of at least 6 months.

**Results:** The study included 11 patients with odontoid fracture, 3 transverse atlantal ligament injuries, 4 os odontoideum and 2 had rheumatoid C1-C2 instability. Preoperative neurological evaluation detected 8 patients were ASIA grade B, 7 were ASIA grade C and 5 patients were ASIA grade D. All surgeries were conducted smoothly without intraoperative complications and an autogenous iliac crest graft was applied in 18 patients, while artificial bone grafts were used in 2 patients. Radiological examinations conducted at end of follow-up period at least 6 months showed evidence of fusion, defined as the absence of C1-C2 movement on lateral flexion-extension radiographs and continuity of trabecular bone formation between C1 and C2 across the graft and disappearance of spine instability in 13 patients (65%). Postoperative clinical evaluation revealed significant improvement of neurological ASIA grading and 7 patients (35%) showed complete recovery without motor or sensory deficit . Both pain and neck disability scores was decreased postoperatively compared to preoperative scores. Postoperative total FMI motor power scoring was higher compared to preoperative measures.

**Conclusion:** Posterior C1-C2 fixation using c1 c2 hooks is technically simple to apply and can be done safely without concomitant intra- or postoperative complications. High success rates in obtaining fusion and significant improvement at the end of follow-up with high quality-of-life scores make this method of posterior fixation and fusion an ideal surgical modality for higher cervical spine instability.

### **Keywords**

C1-2 fixation, cervical instability, rigid fixation, hooks

# INTRODUCTION

Trauma is one of the major causes of instability of the high cervical spine. In addition, the C1-2 intervertebral level of the spine roughly corresponds to the cervicomedullary junction; therefore, correction of instability is desirable to avoid the potential risk of serious neurological sequelae (**Kontautas *et al.*, 2005**).

Cervical spine injuries (CSI) occur at an annual incidence of 210 per million, causing annually 8 to 21 spinal cord injuries (SCI) per million. Motor vehicle accidents are the most common trauma mechanism, with 3:1 male predominance. Despite being relatively rare occurring in only 2.4% of blunt trauma admissions, the social and economic impact of cervical spine injuries is extensive, because the majority of cervical spine injuries complicated by spinal cord injury occur in young adults, with median age of only 31 years, often with life-long consequences. (**Koivikko *et al.*, 2004**).

The evaluation and management of cervical spine injuries is a core component of the practice of emergency medicine. The incidence of serious cervical spine injuries is low but associated rates of death and disability are high; therefore, the emergency physician must have a strong knowledge base to identify these injuries as well as clinical skills that will protect the patient's spine during assessment. Cervical spine injury causes an estimated 6000 deaths and 5000 new cases of quadriplegia in the United States each year. Males are affected 3 times as frequently as females. Two to three percent of blunt trauma patients who undergo cervical spine imaging are diagnosed with a fracture. The second vertebra is most commonly injured, accounting for 24% of fractures; the sixth and seventh vertebrae together account for another 39% of fractures. From a clinical perspective, it is crucial for the emergency physician to diagnose a fracture. In the NEXUS (National Emergency X-radiography Utilization Study) trial, 56.7% of cervical spine fractures were unstable and another 13.9% were otherwise classified as clinically significant. Older age is an important risk factor for cervical spine injury: