

DERMATOMAL SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIALS IN EVALUATION OF LUMBOSACRAL SPINAL CANAL STENOSIS

Thesis

**Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements of the MD
Degree in
Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation**

BY

Eman Ahmed Tawfik

M.B.B.Ch., M.Sc. Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and
Rehabilitation

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Professor Dr. Nadia Abd El-Salam El-Kadrey

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Professor Dr. Mona Mahmoud Arafa

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Professor Dr. Hossam Mohamed El-Hussiney

Professor of Neurosurgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Heba Fawzy EL Shishtawy

Assistant Professor in Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and
Rehabilitation

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

2009

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, thanks to God, the most beneficent and most merciful.

*I wish to express my sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr., Nadia Abd El-Salam** Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her continuous supervision, constructive encouragement, illuminating guidance as well as her support throughout this work.*

*I am deeply grateful to **Prof. Dr. Mona Arafa**, Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, who devoted her time, effort and experience most generously throughout this work and for her help and valuable observations which made it possible to complete this work.*

*I am greatly honored to express my endless gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hossam EL-Hossiney**, Professor of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for the time he spent and the effort he paid during this work and for his support and cooperation. Also, my great appreciation to the residents of neurosurgery department for their cooperation.*

*My special gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Heba El Sheshtawy**, Assistant professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great effort in this work and for the time she spent as well as her beneficial observations.*

Last, but not least, my thanks to all my family, colleagues and friends for without their continuous support & encouragement, this work would not have been possible.

Eman A. Tawfik

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
AIM OF THE WORK.....	4
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	5
LUMBAR SPINAL CANAL STENOSIS.....	5
ELECTRODIAGNOSIS IN RADICULOPHTY AND LUMBOS- ACRAL SPINALCANALSTENOSIS.....	33
DERMATOMAL SOMATOSENSORY AND SOMATOSENSORY EVOKED POTENTIALS.....	50
TREATMENT.....	71
SUBJECTS AND METHODS.....	80
RESULTS.....	115
DISCUSSION.....	187
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	215
RECOMMENDATIONS.....	219
REFERENCES.....	220
APPENDIX.....	239
HISTROY.....	239
PHYSICAL EXAMIANCTION.....	242
ROLLAND MORRIS QUESTIONNAIRE.....	244
SWISS SPINAL STENOSIS SCORE.....	246
PROTOCOL(ENGLISH & ARABIC).....	250
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADL	Activity of daily living
A-P	Antero-posterior
CMAP	Compound muscle action potential
CNS	Central nervous system
CSF	Cerberospinal fluid
CT	Computed tomography
DM	Diabetes Milletus
DRG	Dorsal root ganglia
DSEPs	Dermatomal somatosensory evoked potentials
EDX	Electrodiagnostic testing
EEG	Electroencephalography
EMG	Electromyogram
EPs	Evoked potentials
ESI	Epidural steroid injection
FPSW	Fibrillation potentials & positive sharp waves
HS	Highly significant
Hz	Hertz
Lat.	Lateral
LSSS	Lumbosacral spinal stenosis
mA	Milliampere
mm	Millimeter
MR	Magnetic resonance
MRD	Multiple root disease
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
msec	Millisecond
mV	Millivolt
NS	Non-significant
NSAIDs	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
P	Probability

PM	Paraspinal muscles
r	Pearson correlation coefficient
ROM	Range of motion
S	Significant
SD	Standard of deviation
SEPs	Sensory evoked potentials
Sig.	Significance
SLR	Straight leg raising
SNAP	Sensory nerve action potential
SNR	Signal-noise ratio
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SRD	Single root disease
SSEP	Somatosensory evoked potentials
SSS	Swiss Spinal stenosis Score
t	Student t-test
uV	Microvolt
V	Volt

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Normal and stenotic lumbar spinal canal dimensions	5
2	Clinical differentiation between neurogenic and vascular claudication	25
3	Clinical features of lumbosacral radiculopathies	26
4	Rolland Morris Low Back Pain and Disability Questionnaire	94
5	Swiss Spinal stenosis Score	97
6	Sites of dermatomal stimulation	104
7	Latencies of scalp responses to dermatome and routine SEPs	107
8	Demographic and clinical data of the patients group	121
9	Canal diameter in the patients group	124
10	Latencies of DSEPs and SEPs in the control group	130
11	Amplitudes of DSEPs and SEPs in the control group	131
12	Latencies of DSEPs and SEPs in the patients group	132
13	Amplitudes of DSEPs and SEPs in the patients group	133
14	Functional scores in the patients group	150
15	Comparison of DSEPs latencies between the patients and control groups	151
16	Comparison of SEPs latencies between the patients and control groups	151

No.	Title	Page
17	Comparison of DSEPs amplitudes between the patients and control groups	153
18	Comparison of SEPs amplitudes between the patients and control groups	153
19	Comparison between Functional Scores done preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively	155
20	Comparison between DSEPs and SEPs latencies done preoperatively and 1 month postoperatively	156
21	Comparison between DSEPs and SEPs amplitudes done preoperatively and 1 month postoperatively	157
22	Comparison between DSEPs and SEPs latencies done preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively	159
23	Comparison between DSEPs and SEPs amplitudes done preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively	160
24	Comparison between DSEPs and SEPs latencies done 1 month and 3 months postoperatively	161
25	Comparison between DSEPs and SEPs amplitudes done 1 month and 3 months postoperatively	162
26	Correlation between DSEPs, SEPs latencies and each of height and age among the control group	169
27	Correlation between DSEPs, SEPs amplitudes and each of height and age among the control group	176
28	Correlation between DSEPs latencies done preoperatively and different variables in the patients group	177

29	Correlation between SEPs latencies done preoperatively and different variables in the patients group	179
30	Correlation between DSEPs, SEPs latencies and functional scores (3 months postoperative)	181
31	Correlation between DSEPs amplitudes and functional scores (3 months postoperative)	184
32	Correlation between SEPs amplitudes and functional scores (3 months postoperative)	185
33	Correlation between canal diameter at L2-L3, L3-L4 levels and different variables in the patients group	186
34	Correlation between canal diameter at L4-L5, L5-S1 levels and different variables in the patients group	186

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1	Spinal canal zones	7
2	Spinal canal and intervertebral foramen	8
3	The lumbar arteries and their branches	11
4	Tributaries of the lumbar veins	12
5	The three zones of the lateral spinal canal	14
6	Entrance zone stenosis secondary to superior articular process hypertrophy	15
7	Pedicular kinking	16
8	Motor nerve conduction in nerve root lesion	34
9	Sensory potentials in lesions distal and proximal to Dorsal Root Ganglia	39
10	Near field potentials	53
11	Far field potentials	54
12	Pathway for Somatosensory Evoked Potentials	57
13	The different parameters of SSEPs waveform	64
14	Normal Dermatome Somatosensory Evoked Potentials	65
15	Surgical decompression of lumbar stenosis	77
16	Numerical Pain Scale	85
17	Sustained Lumbar Provocation Test	87
18	Interpedicular diameter	90
19	Sagittal diameter	91
20	A-P diameter and lateral recess height in CT scan of the lumbar spine	92

No.	Title	Page
21	Toennies Neuroscreen Plus apparatus	98
22	Active and reference electrodes	100
23	Stimulator electrode	100
24	Ground electrode	101
25	International 10-20 system	102
26	Application of electrodes	102
27	Sites of dermatomal stimulation	105
28	Number and percentage of patients by each decade	116
29	Number and percentage of patients by height	117
30	Number and percentage of patients by disease duration	118
31	Number and percentage of patients by pain scale	119
32	Number and percentage of patients by extension ROM	121
33	Mean canal diameter at different levels	124
34	MRI of a patient showing bilateral L5 root compression	125
35	MRI of a patient showing ligamentum flavum hypertrophy and facet joint arthropathy	126
36	Number and percentage of patients by type of stenosis	127
37	MRI of a patient showing central and lateral canal stenosis	128
38	Agreement of MRI with the clinical and operative findings	129
39	Different responses of SEPs and DSEPs	135
40(A-H)	Samples of SEPs and DSEPs waveforms	136-143

No.	Title	Page
21	Toennies Neuroscreen Plus apparatus	98
No.	Title	Page
41	Agreement of DSEPs with clinical, radiological and operative findings	145
42	L5 root after decompression in one of the patients	147
43	Comparison between cases and control as regard latencies of DSEPs and SEPs	152
44	Comparison between patients and control as regard amplitudes of DSEPs and SEPs	154
45	Comparison between preoperative and 3 months postoperative functional scores	155

LIST OF GRAPHS

No.	Title	Page
1	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit latencies of L3	163
2	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit latencies of L4	163
3	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit latencies of L5	164
4	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit latencies of S1	164
5	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit latencies of tibial nerve	165
6	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit latencies of peroneal nerve	165
7	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit amplitudes of L3	166
8	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit amplitudes of L4	166
9	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit amplitudes of L5	167
10	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit amplitudes of S1	167
11	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit amplitudes of tibial nerve	168
12	Comparison between 1st, 2nd and 3rd visit amplitudes of peroneal nerve	168
13	Correlation between Height and Right L3 latency	170
14	Correlation between Height and Left L3 latency	170

No.	Title	Page
15	Correlation between Height and Right L4 latency	171
16	Correlation between Height and Left L4 latency	171
17	Correlation between Height and Right L5 latency	172
18	Correlation between Height and Left L5 latency	172
19	Correlation between Height and Right S1 latency	173
20	Correlation between Height and Left S1 latency	173
21	Correlation between Height and Right Tibial latency	174
22	Correlation between Height and Left Tibial latency	174
23	Correlation between Height and Right Peroneal latency	175
24	Correlation between Height and Left Peroneal latency	175
25	Correlation between L3 latency and extension ROM	178
26	Correlation between right L5 latency and Rolland Morris Score	182
27	Correlation between left L3 latency and Symptom Severity Scale	182
28	Correlation between left L3 latency and Physical Function Scale	183
29	Correlation between left L3 latency and Satisfaction Scale	183

