



Faculty of Engineering



Cairo University

MODELING CATHODE CARBON CORROSION IN PEM FUEL CELLS AND STUDY OF FAILURE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES USING NANOTECHNOLOGY

By

Mahmoud Faheem Shar Shar

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Mechanical Power Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

MODELING CATHODE CARBON CORROSION IN PEM FUEL CELLS AND STUDY OF FAILURE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES USING NANOTECHNOLOGY

By

Mahmoud Faheem Shar Shar

A Thesis Submitted to The
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Mechanical Power Engineering

Under the supervision of

Prof. Dr. Karam Ramzi Beshay

Professor of Combustion, Mechanical
Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Magdey Makram Nasrallah

Professor and Founding Chair, Department
of Petroleum and Energy Engineering,
The American University in Cairo

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

MODELING CATHODE CARBON CORROSION IN PEM FUEL CELLS AND STUDY OF FAILURE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES USING NANOTECHNOLOGY

By

Mahmoud Faheem Shar Shar

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Mechanical Power Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Karam Ramzy Beshay, Thesis Main Advisor, Member
Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil, Internal Examiner
Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Adel Abdel Malek El Ahwany, External Examiner
Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

Engineer : Mahmoud Faheem Shar Shar
Mahmoudsharshar01@gmail.com
Cairo – Helwan- 15th May city – Group. 9
– Rest. D – Build.5- Appart.6
Tel : 01061813341 - 01229682411

Date of Birth : 27/1 /1962
Nationality : Egyptian
Registration Date : 1 / 3 / 2010
Awarding Date : / / 2015
Degree : Doctor of Philosophy
Department : Mechanical Power Engineering



Supervisors : Prof. Dr. Karam Ramzi Beshay
Prof. Dr. Magdy Makram Nasralla
(The American University in Cairo)

Examiners : Prof .Dr. Adel Abdel Malek El Ahwany (External examiner)
(Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University)
Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Karam Ramzi Beshay (Thesis main adviser)

Title of Thesis : **MODELING CATHODE CARBON CORROSION IN PEM FUEL CELLS AND STUDY OF FAILURE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES USING NANOTECHNOLOGY**

Key words: PEM FUEL CELLS, cathode carbon corrosion, computational model ,numerical simulation, COMSOL multiphysics

Summary : The study investigates numerically, cathode carbon corrosion in PEM fuel cells. This phenomenon is caused by exhausting of hydrogen in a part of the anode compartment and replaced by air (Oxygen), where the rest of the anode operates normally. This irregular situation sets up the entire cell in the mode of dual operation as generator (power source) drive an electrolyzer (power load) which creates reverse current increases cathode interfacial potential and promotes carbon corrosion. This failure mode of operation is referenced to normal mode of operation. Hence, it is convenient to first investigate normal mode of operation of PEM fuel cells which is completely exhibited in performance, participating physics and characteristic parameters. The performance is expressed in output voltages, the characteristic parameters are expressed in geometrical, kinetic, transport and operating parameters and participating physics are expressed in physical variables. Computational models have been developed in COMSOL multi-physics to study both normal mode and failure mode of operation. Failure mitigation is investigated employing alternative carbon materials using nanotechnology.

Acknowledgments

First of all, I would like to thank and express my gratitude to my academic supervisors Prof. Dr. Karam, Ramzi Beshay and Prof. Dr. Magdi Makram Nasrallah

Prof .Dr. Karam encouraged me to continue studying fuel cell engineering and technology. He is the one who inspired me the idea of investigating cathode carbon corrosion in PEM fuel cells through computational modeling and numerical simulation as the next step after previously studying water management in PEM fuel cell. He guides me to couple renewable energy with nanotechnology as the most sensitive fields at present and in the future. His willingness to teach, motivating comments and continuous guidance were invaluable support.

Prof .Dr Magdi has given this work every potential to become remarkable and different. He is the first to guide me to implement this work in COMSOL multiphysics, the amazing and the strongest computational modeling software at the continuum level. I highly appreciate his guides to that unsinkable foundation of knowledge that makes the scientific research a fantastic journey in modeling and simulation. He led me to deeply explore and accurately investigate some missed key points in this work, and made this work more acceptable and practical through his suggestions, scientific discussions, reviewing, patience and encouragement

I would like to thank the members of my reading and examination committees, I would like also to thank and express my appreciation to the administrations and staff of the Department of Mechanical Power Engineering, faculty of engineering, Cairo University for their fruitful support and help

I would like to thank the members of COMSOL developing team for their active cooperation regarding the quick response to discussion in general issues related to the main solvers and settings of the software package

Several people must be acknowledged for their special contributions to my life. My family, May friends and any one has helped me even with a smile.

Dedication

To all my family

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XI
NOMENCALTURE.....	XVI
ABSTRACT.....	XIX
 CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	 1
1.1 RESEARCH MOTIVATIONS AND OVERVIEW.....	1
1.1.1 What Is Fuel Cells.....	2
1.1.2 History of Fuel Cells.....	2
1.1.3 Types of Fuel Cells.....	3
1.1.4 Structural Components of PEM Fuel Cells.....	3
1.1.4.1 Flow Fields and Bipolar Plates.....	4
1.1.4.2 Gas Diffusion Layers	4
1.1.4.3 Catalyst Layers	4
1.1.4.4 Polymer Electrolyte Membrane	5
1.1.5 Operation Principals of PEM Fuel Cells.....	5
1.1.6 Application Fields of PEM Fuel Cells.....	6
1.2 RESEARCH ISSUES AND PROBLEM STATMENT.....	6
1.2.1 Cathode Carbon Corrosion Modes.....	7
1.2.2 Cathode Carbon Corrosion Mechanisms.....	7
1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	8
1.4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES.....	9
1.5 RESEARCH PLAN AND THESIS ORGANIZATION.....	10
 CHAPTER 2 : LETRATURE REVIEW.....	 13
2.1 PEM FUEL CELLS MODELING AND SIMULATION.....	13
2.1.1 One-Dimensional Models.....	13
2.1.2 Two-Dimensional Models.....	19
2.1.2.1 In-Plane, Along the Channel Models.....	19
2.1.2.2 Through Plane, Across the Channel Models.....	23
2.1.3 Three Dimensional Single Unit Cell Models.....	27
2.1.3.1 Low Temperature Single Cell Models.....	27
2.1.3.2 High Temperature Single Cell Models.....	31
2.2 CATHODE CARBON CORROSION MODELING AND SIMULATION.....	34
2.2.1 Coupled Kinetic and Transport Models.....	35
2.2.2 Kinetic Models.....	42
2.3 MITIGATION OF CATHODE CARBON CORROSION.....	43
2.3.1 Carbon Based Nanostructured Materials.....	43

2.3.2	Non Carbon Nanostructured Materials as Supports.....	44
CHAPTER 3 : COMPUTATIONAL MODELING FRAMEWORK.....		45
3.1.	PHYSICAL BACK GROUND.....	45
3.1.1.	All Physical Phenomena Contributing In PEM Fuel Cell Operation.....	45
3.1.2.	PEM Fuel Cell Geometry and Computational Domain.....	46
3.2.	MATHEMATICAL MODEL FORMULATIONS.....	48
3.2.1.	Model Assumptions.....	48
3.2.1.1.	Global Assumptions.....	48
3.2.1.2.	Local Assumptions.....	48
3.2.2.	Model Equations.....	49
3.2.2.1.	Electrochemical Reaction Kinetics and Charge. Transport.....	49
3.2.2.2.	Bulk Gas Mixture Flow.....	50
3.2.2.3.	Individual Chemical Species Transport.....	51
3.2.2.4.	Membrane Hydration and Dissolved Water Transport.....	51
3.2.2.5.	Thermal Energy Transport and Temperature Distribution.....	52
3.2.2.6.	Water Phase Change and Liquid Water Transport.....	53
3.2.3.	Model Constitutive Relations.....	53
3.2.3.1.	Theoretical Open-Circuit Potential.....	54
3.2.3.2.	Electro-Osmotic Drag Coefficient.....	54
3.2.3.3.	Water Activity.....	54
3.2.3.4.	Water Saturation Pressure.....	54
3.2.3.5.	Partial Pressure of Water Vapor.....	54
3.2.3.6.	Proton Conductivity.....	54
3.2.4.	Model Source Terms.....	54
3.2.4.1.	Complete Geometry with Simplified Physics Model.....	54
3.2.4.2.	Simplified Geometry with Complete Physics Model.....	55
3.3.	MODEL GEOMETRY AND COMPUTATIONAL DOMAINS.....	56
3.3.1.	Complete Geometry with Simplified Physics Model.....	56
3.3.2.	Simplified Geometry with Complete Physics Model.....	58
3.3.3.	Model Parameters and Boundary Conditions.....	59
3.3.3.1.	Model Parameters.....	59
3.3.3.2.	Boundary Conditions.....	61
3.4.	FAILURE MODE OF OPERATION MODELS.....	61
3.4.1.	Model Equations.....	62
3.4.2.	Model Source Terms and Reaction Kinetics.....	62
3.4.3.	Model Geometry and Computational Domains.....	63
3.4.3.1.	Fixed Fuel Starved Area Model.....	64
3.4.3.2.	Moving Fuel Starved Area Model.....	65
3.4.4.	MODEL PARAMETERS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS.....	66
3.4.4.1.	Model Parameters.....	66
3.4.4.2.	Boundary Conditions.....	68

CHAPTER 4 : NUMERICAL SIMULATION AND VALIDATION ISSUES.... 69

4.1 VALIDATION OF COMPUTATIONAL PREDICTIONS AGAINST EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS.....	69
4.1.1 Validation Issues.....	69
4.1.2 Polarization Curve and Cell Performance.....	69
4.1.3 Computational Model Calibration.....	70
4.1.4 Validation of Single PEM Fuel Cell Model.....	72
4.1.4.1 Experimental Data of Ticianelli (1988).....	72
4.1.4.2 Experimental Data Of Um and Wang (2000).....	73
4.1.4.3 Experimental Data of Lin Wang (2003).....	74
4.1.4.4 Experimental Data of Iranzo (2010).....	77
4.1.4.5 Experimental Data of Wang (2013).....	79
4.2 NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF THE DEVELOPED MODELS.....	81
4.2.1 Software and Solution Technique.....	81
4.2.2 Developed Models Implementation.....	81
4.2.3 Mesh Independent Solution and Convergence Test.....	82
4.2.4 Normal Mode of Operations Models.....	82
4.2.4.1 Complete Geometry with Simplified Physics Model.....	82
4.2.4.2 Simplified Geometry with Complete Physics Model.....	84
4.2.5 Failure Mode of Operation Models.....	85

CHAPTER 5 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF NORMAL MODE INVESTIGATIONS..... 87

5.1. STUDY OF COMPLETE GEOMETRY WITH SIMPLIFIED PHYSICS MODEL...	87
5.1.1.Study of Cell Performance at Constant Characteristic Parameters.....	88
5.1.2.Study of Physical Variables at Constant Cell Performance.....	88
5.1.2.1. Hydrogen Concentration Distribution at the Anode.....	89
5.1.2.2. Oxygen Concentrations Distribution at the Cathode.....	90
5.1.2.3. Anode Water Vapor Concentration Distribution.....	91
5.1.2.4. Cathode Water Vapor Concentration Distribution.....	92
5.1.2.5. Solid and electrolyte Potential Distribution.....	93
5.1.2.6. Pressure Distribution and Profile.....	94
5.1.2.7. Velocity Field Distribution.....	95
5.1.3.PARAMETRIC STUDY ON PHYSICAL VARIABLES AT VARYING CELL PERFORMANCE.....	97
5.1.3.1. Oxygen Concentration Distribution.....	97
5.1.3.2. Hydrogen Concentration Distribution.....	98
5.1.3.3. Cathode Water Vapor Concentration Distribution.....	99
5.1.3.4. Anode Water Vapor Concentration Distribution.....	99
5.1.4.PARAMETRIC STUDY ON CELL PERFORMANCE AT VARYING CHARACTERISTIC PARAMETERS.....	100
5.1.4.1. Impact Of Operating Parameters.....	100
5.1.4.2. Impacts of Transport Parameters.....	102

5.1.4.3. Impact of Geometrical Parameters.....	106
5.1.4.4. Impacts of Kinetic Parameters.....	109
5.2. STUDY OF A MODEL WITH SIMPLIFIED GEOMETRY AND COMPLETE PHYSIC.....	112
5.2.1. Electrochemical Reaction and Charge Transport.....	113
5.2.1.1. Solid Potential and Electrolyte Potential.....	114
5.2.2. Bulk Gas Mixture Flow.....	115
5.2.2.1. Pressure Profile and Distribution.....	115
5.2.2.2. Velocity Field Profile and Distribution.....	116
5.2.3. Chemical Species Transport.....	117
5.2.3.1. Reactants Distribution: Fuel and Oxidant.....	117
5.2.3.2. Reactants Distribution: Anode and Cathode Water Vapor.....	119
5.2.4. Membrane Hydration with Dissolved Water.....	120
5.2.4.1. Membrane Water Content Distribution.....	120
5.2.5. Thermal Behavior and Temperature Distribution.....	121
5.2.6. Water Phase Change and Liquid Water Transport.....	122
 CHAPTER 6 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF FAILURE MODE INVESTIGATIONS.....	 123
6.1. ANALYSIS OF GENERATOR ONLY.....	123
6.1.1. Cell Performance and Model Validation.....	123
6.1.2. Physical Variables Distributions at Fixed Cell Voltage.....	124
6.1.2.1. Electrolyte Potential Distribution.....	124
6.1.2.2. Chemical Reactants Profile and Distributions.....	124
6.1.3. Physical Variables Behaviors at Varied Cell Voltage.....	126
6.1.3.1. Anode Reactants.....	126
6.1.3.2. Cathode Reactants.....	127
6.2. ANALYSIS OF GENERATOR ELECTROLYZER INTERFACE.....	127
6.2.1. Phase Potentials of Moving Starved Area Mode.....	127
6.2.1.1. Electrolyte Potential Distribution.....	127
6.2.1.2. Solid Potential Distribution.....	128
6.2.1.3. Interfacial Potential Distribution.....	129
6.2.1.4. Interfacial Potential Path.....	130
6.2.2. Phase Potentials of Fixed Starved Area Model.....	130
6.2.2.1. Electrolyte Potential Distribution.....	131
6.2.2.2. Solid Potential Distribution.....	132
6.2.2.3. Interfacial Potential Distribution.....	132
6.2.2.4. Interfacial Potential Path.....	133
6.3. ANALYSIS OF ELECTROLYZER ONLY.....	134
6.3.1. Fuel Starved Area Filled With Oxygen Only.....	135
6.3.1.1. Carbon Corrosion at the Cathode.....	135
6.3.1.2. Electrolyte Potential.....	136
6.3.1.3. Oxygen Concentration Distribution.....	137
6.3.2. Fuel Starved Area Filled With Oxygen and Diluted Hydrogen.....	138
6.3.2.1. Impacts Of Operating Cell Voltage On Electrolyte Potential.....	138

6.3.2.2. Electrolyte Potential.....	139
6.3.2.3. Oxygen Concentration Distribution.....	139
6.3.2.4. Maximum Cathode Carbon Corrosion.....	140
CHAPTER 7: FAILURE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES USING NANOTECHNOLOGY.....	141
7.1 UNDERSTANDING NANOSCALE PHYSICAL PHENOMENA.....	141
7.1.1 Why Is Nano-Sizing So Special?	141
7.1.2 Why Are Nanomaterials so special?	142
7.2 NANOTECHNOLOGY IN FUEL CELLS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY.....	143
7.2.1 PEM Fuel Cell Electro catalyst Nanotechnology.....	143
7.3 MITIGATION OF FAILURE CAUSED BY CATHODE CARBON CORROSION.....	145
7.3.1 System Mitigation Technique.....	145
7.3.2 Material Mitigation Technique.....	145
7.3.2.1 Mitigation Using Alternative Metal catalyst.....	145
7.3.2.2 Mitigation Using Alternative Carbon Support.....	145
7.3.3 Modified Carbon Nanotubes Supports.....	145
7.3.3.1 Functionalization of Carbon Nanotubes.....	146
7.3.3.2 Partially Graphitization of Carbon Nanotube.....	146
7.3.3.3 Unique Electro catalytic Properties of (Pt/CNT, s). Electrode for (ORR)	146
7.3.3.4 Ability of Depositing Platinum Nanowires Onto. Carbon Nanotube.....	146
7.4 ELECTROCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES. (VOLTAMMETRY).....	147
7.4.1 PEM Fuel Cells Electrocatalyst Testing Systems.....	147
7.4.1.1 Three Electrode Liquid Cell System.....	147
7.4.2 Measurement Of PEM Fuel Cells Electrocatalyst. Activity.....	148
7.4.2.1 Cyclic Voltammetry.....	148
7.4.2.2 Active Surface Area Of Carbon Supported. .Electrocatalysts.....	149
7.5 MODELING PEM FUEL CELLS ELECTROCATALYSTS AT. NANOSCALE...	150
7.5.1 Modeling Electrochemical Behavior of Nano. Electro catalyst.....	150
7.5.2 Modeling Electrochemical Deposition of Nano Electro catalyst.....	154
7.6 COMPARING CARBON NANOTUBE ELECTRODES WITH PLATINUM ELECTRODES USING CYCLIC VOLTAMMETERY FOR OXYGEN REDUCTION REACTION.....	160
7.6.1 Modeling Oxygen Reduction Reaction on Platinum Electrodes.....	161
7.6.2 Modeling Oxygen Reduction Reaction on Carbon Nanotubes Electrodes...	164
CHAPTER 8 : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMNDATIONS.....	167
8.1. NORMAL MODE OF OPERATION.....	167
8.1.1.Complete Geometry with Simplified Physics Model.....	167
8.1.2.Simplified Geometry with Complete Physics Model.....,.....	168
8.1.3.Key Conclusion.....	168
8.2. FAILURE MODE OF OPERATION.....	169
8.2.1.Duel Cell Mode Of Operation.....	169

8.2.2.Fixed Area Hydrogen Fuel Starvation.....	169
8.2.3.Moving Area Hydrogen Fuel Starvation.....	169
8.2.4.Key Conclusions.....	169
8.3. FAILURE MITIGATION TECHNIQUES USING NANOTECHNOLOGY.....	170
8.3.1.Modeling Testing Of Activity and Stability for New Catalyzed Electrode.....	170
8.3.2.Modeling Electrode Synthesis By Depositing Metals On Carbon Support.....	170
8.3.3.Key Conclusions.....	170
8.4. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS.....	171
8.4.1.Normal Mode of Operation.....	171
8.4.2.Failure Mode of Operation.....	171
8.4.3.Failure Mitigation Techniques Using Nanotechnology.....	171
8.5. LIMITATIONS RELATED TO THE CURRENT THESIS	172
REFERENCES.....	173
APPENDIX (A) : QUESTIONS ON COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS SOLVER BEHAVIOR.....	185

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Characteristic Features of Fuel Cells.....	3
Table 2.1	Characteristic features of Springer et al. model.....	13
Table 2.2	Characteristic features of Hermann et al model.....	14
Table 2.3	Characteristic features of Adroher et al model.....	15
Table 2.4	Characteristic features of. Iora et al. model.....	16
Table 2.5	Characteristic features of Dujc et al model.....	17
Table 2.6	Characteristic features of Bladimir Ramos et al model.....	18
Table 2.7	Characteristic features of Shuguo Qu et al model.....	19
Table 2.8	Characteristic features of Shamardina et al model.....	20
Table 2.9	Characteristic features of Zerouala et al model.....	21
Table 2.10	Characteristic features of Sina Azami et al model.....	22
Table 2.11	Characteristic features of Afshari et al. model.....	23
Table 2.12	Characteristic features of Pierre Mina et al. model.....	24
Table 2.13	Characteristic features of Ameri et al model.....	25
Table 2.14	Characteristic features of Bladimir Ramos et al model.....	26
Table 2.15	Characteristic features of Dutta et al. Model.....	27
Table 2.16	Characteristic features of Anh Dinh Le et al. model.....	28
Table 2.17	Characteristic features of Li Chen et al. model.....	29
Table 2.18	Characteristic features of Tao et al. model.....	30
Table 2.19	Characteristic features of HU Guilin et al. model.....	31
Table 2.20	Characteristic features of Ubangi et al. model.....	32
Table 2.21	Characteristic features of Ionescu et al. model.....	33
Table 2.22	Characteristic features of Nima et al. model.....	34
Table 2.23	Characteristic features of Mayers et al. model.....	36
Table 2.24	Characteristic features of Jingwei et al. model.....	37
Table 2.25	Characteristic features of Wenbin Gu et al. model.....	38
Table 2.26	Characteristic features of Ohs et al. model.....	39
Table 2.27	Characteristic features of Yang et al. model.....	40
Table 3.1.	Source terms of different governing equations for complete Geometry with simplified physics mode.....	55
Table 3.2.	Source terms of different governing equations for simplified Geometry with complete physics model.....	56
Table 3.3.	Solution domain for each physical variable.....	57
Table 3.4.	Representation of the solution Doman for each physical variable of Simplified geometry with complete physics model.....	59
Table 3.5.	Model characteristic parameters for normal mode.....	60
Table 3.6.	Governing equations for each phenomenon according to the model Assumptions.....	62
Table 3.7.	The solution domain for each physical variable with source term...	66
Table 3.8.	Model characteristic parameters for failure mode	67
Table 4.1	Influencing tunable parameters for parametric sensitivity analysis And model calibration and parameterization.....	71
Table 4.2	Experimental data of Ticianelli.....	72

Table 4.3	Computational data of Umm and Wang.....	73
Table 4.4	Specifications of PEM fuel cell of Lin Wang experiments.....	74
Table 4.5	Operating parameters of Lin Wang experiments.....	75
Table 4.6	Measured current density of Lin Wang experiments.....	76
Table 4.7	Specifications of tested PEM fuel cell of Iranzo experiments.....	77
Table 4.8	Operating conditions of Iranzo (2010) experiment.....	77
Table 4.9	Measured Average cell voltage for all operating conditions.....	78
Table 4.10	Hardware and materials of single cell Wang (2013).....	79
Table 4.11	Measured Average cell voltage of Wang (2013).....	80
Table 7.1	Surface Area per Unit of Volume for a Cubic Particle.....	141
Table 7.2	Representation of Atoms concentrations for different Nano sized particles of gold.....	142
Table 7.3	Specifications of cyclic voltammetry required data.....	149
Table 7.4	Specifications of testing 3-electrodes electrochemical liquid cell.....	149
Table 7.5	Illustration of experimental specifications of template electrochemical deposition of platinum nanowire onto single walled nanotubes to generate Nano scale electrode.....	156
Table 7.6	Illustrates Computational modeling characteristic parameters Used to simulate electro deposition process.....	156
Table 7.7	Data for the electrocatalysis of oxygen reduction reaction on platinum electrode in acidic media.....	162
Table 7.8	Data for the electrocatalysis of oxygen reduction reaction on modified carbon nanotubes electrode in acidic media.....	164

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Important fuel cell families considered today.....	2
Figure 1.2	Structural components of Proton Exchange Membrane fuel cells.....	4
Figure 1.3	Paths of oxidation and reduction reactions through the cell component	5
Figure 1.4	Different modes of fuel starvation which are associated with cathode carbon corrosion in PEM fuel cells.....	7
Figure 1.5	The dual cell operation mode arising from local fuel starvation in a part of anode.....	8
Figure 2.1.	Through plan- across the channel- perpendicular to the flow, (complete 7 layers) section (left) and Reduced 5 layers through plan-across the channel- perpendicular to the flow section (right) (prepared in COMSOL Multi-physics version .4.3a - release 2012).....	24
Figure 2.2.	Schematic diagram illustrating the “reverse current” mechanism.....	35
Figure 3.1	Schematic of all physical phenomena contributing in PEM fuel cell operation and how they are interacted and mathematically coupled...	46
Figure 3.2	Schematic of three dimensional (3-D) PEM fuel cell stack with single flow channels and the available complete and reduced cell geometry.....	47
Figure 3.3	Schematic representation of 3- D single channel with 7- layers PEMFC (prepared in COMSOL Multi-physics version .4.3a - release 2012).....	57
Figure 3.4	Schematic representation of 2- D simplified geometry model with 5-layers of PEMFC (prepared in COMSOL Multi-physics version .4.3a - release 2012).....	58
Figure 3.5	Schematic representation of the geometry and computational domain of cell with fixed spot block in the catalyst layer acts as electrolyzer (power sink).....	64
Figure 3.6	Schematic representation of the geometry and computational domain of cell with moving H ₂ /air front push anode starved area with Air/Air at Anode/Cathode to act as electrolyzer.	65
Figure 4.1	Schematic representation of the performance polarization curve with the different losses and theoretical voltage.....	70
Figure 4.2	Schematic representation of the experimental data of Ticianelli at different operating parameters.....	73
Figure 4.3	Schematic representation of the computational data of Um and Wang.....	74
Figure 4.4	Schematic representation of the experimental data of Lin Wang at different operating Temperatures.....	76
Figure 4.5	Schematic representation of the experimental data of Iranzo 2010 at different operating stoichiometric ratios and humidity Ratios (Anode % /Cathode %) with different reactants.	78
Figure 4.6	Schematic representation of the experimental data of Wang 2013 at different operating temperatures and humidity Ratios (Anode % /Cathode %).....	80