

Predictors and Outcome of Patients Readmitted to Intensive Care Unit

Submitted for the partial fulfillment of MD in General Intensive Care

By

Ashima'a Ibrahem Zaky

M.Sc. (General Intensive Care)

Under supervision of

Dr. Gamal Eldin Mohammad Ahmad Elewa

Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Noha Mohammad El-sharnouby

Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Amna Ahmad Metwaly

Assistant Professor of Intensive Care Theodor Bilharz Research Institute

Dr. Milad Ragaey Zekry

Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Dalia Mahmoud Elfawy

Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2013



First, thanks are all to Allah for Blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help in our life.

I feel greatly indebted and thankful to **Dr. Gamal Eldin Mohammad Elewa** and **Dr. Noha Mohammad El-sharnouby,** Professors of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Ain Shams University, for their cooperation, helping, continuous guidance and encouragement during this work.

I feel deeply thankful to **Dr. Amna Ahmad Metwaly**, Assistant Professor of Intensive Care Medicine, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, for her unlimited help, patience and supervision.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and profound appreciation to **Dr. Milad Ragaey Zekry**, Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Ain Shams University, for his cooperation, helping, and encouragement.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and profound appreciation to **Dr. Dalia Mahmoud Elfawy**, Lecturer of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Ain Shams University, for her cooperation and sacrificing time in revising this work.

My gratitude towards **Dr. Khaled Abd Elghany Baraka**, Professors of Cardiology, El-Minya University, who helped me greatly to finish this work, and to my mother, soul of my father, family and friends for their support.

-

Ashima'a Jbrahem Zahy

Contents

	Page
Acknowledgement	i
List of Abbreviations	ii-vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii-ix
Introduction	1-2
Aim of the work	3
Review of literature:	
I-Criteria for Intensive Care Unit admission	4-13
II-Criteria for Discharge from Intensive Care Unit	14-17
III-Predictive Scoring System in Intensive Care Unit	18-43
IV-Readmission to Intensive Care Unit	44-54
Patients and Methods	55-57
Results	58-78
Discussion	79-112
Summary	113-116
References	117-134
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

A-aDO₂: Alveolar-arterial Oxygen Difference

AIDS : Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome

ALF : Acute liver failure

APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation

ARDS : Acute respiratory distress syndrome

ARF : Acute renal failure

ASU : Ambulatory surgical unit

AUC : Area Under Curve

BC : Before Christ

BD : Base Deficits

BSA : Body surface area

BP : Blood Pressure

BUN: Blood Urea Nitrogen

°C : Celsius

CABG: Coronary Artery Bypass Graft

CHF : Congestive Heart Failure

CI : Confidence Interval

CPAP : Continuous Positive Airway Pressure

Cr : Creatinine

CRF : Chronic Renal Failure

CRP : C - reactive protein

CVO₂: Central venous O₂ saturation

CVP : Central Venous Pressure

DCL: Disturbed conscious level

DIC: Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation

DKA : Diabetic ketoacidosis

DM : Diabetes mellitus

DU: Duodenal ulcer

DVT: Deep venous thrombosis

ER : Emergency room

ES : Emergency surgery

EWS : Early Warning Score

FiO₂: Fractional concentration of inspired oxygen

FRICE: Foundation for Research on Intensive Care in

Europe

g : Gram

GCS : Glasgow Coma Scale

GIT : Gastrointestinal tract

Group N: Group of Non- readmitted patients

Group R: Group of Readmitted patients

HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma

HCO₃ : Bicarbonate

Hct : Hematocrite

HD: Hemodialysis

HF: Heart Failure

HR : Heart rate

HRS: Hepatorenal syndrome

HTN: Hypertension

ICU: Intensive Care Unit

iMELD: integrated Model of End-stage Liver Disease

IO : Intestinal obstruction

IQR : Interquartile ratio

ISTH :International Society of Thrombosis and

Haemostasis

K⁺ : Potassium

L : Liter

LD : Liver Disease

LODS : Logistic Organ Dysfunction System

Log : Logarithm

LOS : Length of stay

MBP : Mean Blood PressureMD : Metabolic disturbance

MELD : Model of End-stage Liver Disease

MELD-Na⁺: Model of End-stage Liver Disease-sodium

MESO: Model of End-stage Liver Disease to sodium index

mEq/L : Milliequivalent /liter

mg/dl : Milligram/deciliter

μg/h : Microgram/hour

MI : Myocardial Infarction

MIR : Minimizing ICU Readmission Rate

MM : Multiple Myeloma

mmHg : Millimeter mercury

mMol/L : Millimol/litre

MODS : Multiple Organ Dysfunction Score

MPM: Mortality Probability Model

MR : Mitral Regurge

: Mechanical Ventilation MV

: Number n Na^{+} : Sodium

NEMS :Nine Equivalents of Nursing Manpower Use Score NICE

:National Institute for Health and Clinical

Excellence

NIV : Non invasive ventilation

NMELD: New Model for End-Stage Liver Disease

NSTEMI: Non ST segment elevation myocardial infarction

NYHA : New York Heart Association

OR : Odd ratio

PaCO₂ : Partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood

PACU : Post anesthesia care unit

: Partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood PaO₂

PAR : Pressure adjusted heart rate

PE : Pulmonary embolism

: Positive End Expiratory Pressure **PEEP**

PO : Post operative

PT : Prothrombin time

PVD : Peripheral vascular disease

R1 : First ICU admission of readmitted patients

R2 : The readmission period

RD : Renal Disease

RF : Respiratory failure

RI : Rothman index

ROC curve: Receiver operator characteristic curve

RR : Respiratory Rate

SaO₂: Arterial oxygen saturation

SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score

SBP : Systolic blood pressure

SD : Standard deviation

SICU : Surgical intensive care unit

SOFA : Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

SPSS : Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

SS : Scheduled surgery

STEMI: ST segment elevation myocardial infarction

SVT : Supraventricular tackycardia

SWIFT: Stability and Workload Index for Transfer

T and T: Track and Trigger

T.bil. : Total bilirubin

Temp: Temperature

TIA : Transient ischemic attack

TIPS : Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt

TISS: Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System

UOP : Urine Output

VAP : Ventilator-associated pneumonia

WBCs: White Blood Cells

WHD-94: Weighted Hospital Days scale-94

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page
1	Mean age of studied population	60
2	Sex of studied population	60
3	Causes of ICU admission of the studied groups	62
4	Previous health status of the studied groups	62
5	1 st day APACHE III score of studied groups	63
6	Daily SOFA score of Readmitted and Non-readmitted groups	63
7	Length of stay of Readmitted and Non-readmitted groups	64
8	Percentage of mechanically ventilated patients from	64
	Readmitted and Non-readmitted groups	
9	Mean days of mechanical ventilation of Readmitted and	64
	Non-readmitted groups	
10	Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score of both	65
	groups	
11	ROC curve showing sensitivity and specificity of	66
	different cutoffs and AUC of Stability and Workload	
	Index for Transfer score	
12	Percentage of patients in different cutoff of SWIFT score	68
13	Fate of readmitted patients	71
14	Causes of death of readmitted patients	71
15	Readmission causes	72
16	Classification of categories of readmission	72
17	1 st day APACHE III of readmitted group in both	77
	admissions	
18	Daily SOFA scores of readmitted group in both	77
	admissions	
19	Length of stay of readmitted group in both admissions	78
20	Percentage of mechanically ventilated patients of	78
	readmitted group in both admissions	
21	Mean days of mechanical ventilation of readmitted group	78
	in both admissions	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page	
Tables of Review			
1	Modified Aldrete Scoring System	17	
2	Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II	21	
3	Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation III	23	
4	Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation IV	24	
	calculator		
5	Simplified Acute Physiology Score	25	
6	Simplified Acute Physiology Score II	26	
7	Simplified Acute Physiology Score III	28	
8	Mortality Prediction Model II	30	
9	Logistic Organ Dysfunction System (LODS)	33	
10	The Multiple Organ Dysfunction Score (MODS)	34	
11	Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score	35	
12	Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System -28 items	37	
13	Nine Equivalents of Nursing Manpower Use Score	38	
14	The Model for End-stage Liver Disease equations	40	
15	The New Model for End-stage Liver Disease	41	
16	Scoring for overt Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation	43	
17	Scoring for non-overt Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation	43	
18	Early Warning Score	48	
19	Scoring for Modified Early Warning Score	50	
20	Stability and Workload Index for Transfer Score	52	
21	Rothman index components	54	
Tables of Results			
22	Age and sex of studied population	59	
23	Source and causes of admission of studied groups	61	
24	Co-morbidities of studied population	61	
25	1st day APACHE III, daily SOFA, Length of Stay,	63	
	percentage of patients needed mechanical ventilation and		
	mean days of mechanical ventilation in studied groups		

List of Tables(Cont.)

Title	Page
Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score of	65
both groups	
Sensitivity and specificity of different cutoffs in	67
Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score	
Logistic regression of SWIFT score	67
Percentage of patients in different cutoff of SWIFT	67
Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score	68
components	
Fate of readmitted patients and causes of their	70
•	
	72
	73-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	75
·	
·	76
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Communication 1 st and 2nd alministration of	77
	//
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
days of mechanical ventilation	
	Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score of both groups Sensitivity and specificity of different cutoffs in Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score Logistic regression of SWIFT score Percentage of patients in different cutoff of SWIFT Stability and Workload Index for Transfer score components Fate of readmitted patients and causes of their death, 1ry cause of admission, causes of readmission and length of stay Causes of readmission Readmission categories, causes of readmission and early readmission (their 1ry cause of admission, period between discharge and readmission, length of stay, mechanical ventilation days) Early readmission categories, causes of readmission and early readmission, period between discharge and readmission, length of stay, mechanical ventilation days) Comparison between 1st and 2nd admissions of Readmitted group regarding 1st day APACHE III, daily SOFA, Length of Stay and percentage of patients needed mechanical ventilation and mean

Introduction

Prolonged duration of stay in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) is costly, stressful for patients and families, reduces the number of beds available for other patients and can increase risk for iatrogenic and nosocomial complications (*Capuzzo et al.*, 2010).

Early discharge from the ICU is not without risk. If patients requiring high intensity care are discharged before they can be safely cared for in a lower acuity care environment, they are at risk for both complications and delayed recognition of clinical deterioration. The former can result in the need for unplanned ICU readmission; the latter can result in patients' death (*Kramer et al.*, 2012).

Delaying discharge in ICU patients can be a waste of resources and deny the chance to other patients of an ICU bed who may be in awful need of critical care (*Siddiqui*, 2013). In that context, the ICU readmission rates and unexpected deaths following discharge, during the same hospital stay, have been used as indicators of healthcare quality (*Araujo et al.*, 2013).

Determining who is ready for ICU discharge is a daily challenge for ICU leaders, especially in units with high occupancy rates. Traditionally, these decisions are made by

Introduction

attending physicians, in collaboration with other members of the ICU care team (*Heidegger et al.*, 2005). Due to the highly subjective nature of these decisions, there is considerable variability in determining discharge readiness (*Skowronski*, 2001).

One of the more frequently cited ICU quality measures is readmissions to ICU during the same hospitalization. These events are a significant concern because they carry greater risk for adverse outcomes than other types of ICU admissions (*Schorr*, 2012). Furthermore as they are considered a marker of ICU and hospital care quality, ICU readmissions may be used for resource allocation or to compare performance between ICUs (*Halpern*, 2011).

Aim of the Work

The aim of this study was to know the outcome of patients readmitted to intensive care unit and to pick up reasons of readmission.

Also, we aimed to get the sensitivity and specificity of supposed predictors as criteria for discharge from ICU, so that strategies for quality of care and criteria for discharge from intensive care unit might be modified.

Review of Literature

I-Criteria for Intensive Care Unit admission:

There is a worldwide shortage of the specialized intensive care beds needed to meet the demand of eligible patients and this is one of the principal factors limiting ICU admissions. The fact that so much is spent on these high-technology resources means that care should be taken to ensure that these beds are occupied by patients with a real likelihood of recovery (Simchen et al., 2004).

The obvious criteria for ICU admission are that very sick and unstable patients should be treated in the ICU, while stable patients do not require ICU care. However, determining the most unstable patients is a complex task that is subject to high variability depending on the training and experience of the particular physician incharge (*Boumendil et al.*, 2012).

Intensive care unit admission criteria should select patients, who are likely to benefit from ICU care so; **Griner** (1973) identified two conditions in which ICU care was of no greater benefit than conventional care. These conditions can be referred to as □ too well to benefit □ and □ too sick to benefit □ from critical care services. As defining the □ too well to benefit □ and □ too sick to benefit □ population may

Predictors and Outcome of Patients Readmitted to ICU.