

# **SINGLE STEP RENAL ACCESS DILATATION IN PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROLITHOTOMY**

**THESIS**

**SUBMITTED FOR PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF  
MASTER DEGREE OF UROLOGY**

**Presented By**

**AHMED SAYED AHMED ALY AL GHARABAWY**

**(M.B.B.ch)**

**UNDER SUPERVISION OF**

**PROF.DR Mohamed Shokry Shoaeb**

**Professor Of Urology**

**Faculty Of Medicine – Ain Shams University**

**DR.Mohamed Mohamed Yassin**

**Lecturer Of Urology**

**Faculty Of Medicine – Ain Shams University**

**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY**

**2014**



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا

إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ


صدق الله العظيم  
سورة البقرة الآية (32)





## **DEDICATION**

**I am dedicating this work with great honour to my dear parents  
Dr.Sayed Al-Gharabawy & Dr.Mona temerek  
for whom I hope god blessing all time ever**



## Acknowledgment

**First and foremost, I feel always deeply indebted to "ALLAH", the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful.**

**I would like to express my deepest gratitude and cardinal appreciation to *Prof. Dr. Mohamed Shokry Shoaeb* , Professor of urology, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, who honored me by his supervision, and motivated the performance of this work. I'm also grateful for his kind guidance and constant encouragement throughout this work.**

**I am so much obligated to *Dr. Mohamed Mohamed yassin* Lecturer of urology, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous guidance and valuable advice throughout this work. I am much grateful for his great help and support throughout this work.**

**I am very grateful for all my staff members and my colleagues in the department of urology, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University & Nasser Institute Hospital for their help and support throughout the course of the work.**

**I am most grateful for my family for their patience and everlasting support. Finally, I want to dedicate this work to my dear parents for their great support.**

*Ahmed Sayed Ahmed Aly Al Gharabawy*

# Abstract

This is a prospective study conducted on patients for whom percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) was done for the management of kidney stone disease. The study was conducted at The Urology Department, AL Demerdash Hospitals, Ain Shams University & Nasser Institute Hospital during the period between April 2014 and May 2015. All cases undergoing PCNL during this period and fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included in our study.

This will be a prospective randomised study, our patients will be classified into (2) groups, each group will be (twenty patients) according to the type of renal access dilatation:

1. Group ( A ) = AMPLATZ = Single Amplatz 30 Fr dilator over Central Alken.
2. = Group (B) = Balloon = Balloon Access dilatation

Regarding stone characteristics, Stones were unilateral in 35 patients (87.5 %), bilateral in 5 patients (12.5 %), In 7 patients (17.5 %) stones were located in pelvi-calyceal, in 11 patients (27.5%) stones were located in the renal pelvis, and 22 patients (55 %) were calyceal stones, The stones were multiple in 16 patients (40 %) and single in 24 patients (60 %), The stones were radiopaque in 35 patients (87.5%) and radiolucent in 5 patients (12.5%). All patients were performed under general anesthesia, were placed in the prone position. The most used puncture access the most used access was lower calyceal access which was done in 33 patients (82.5%). In 7 patient (17.5 %) middle access was used. The mean operative time in our study was **106.88 minutes  $\pm$  13.14**

## Key words:

(Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PNL), complications, outcome, risk factors)

## *List of Abbreviations*

<b>AUA</b>	<b>American Urological Association</b>
<b>CBC</b>	<b>Complete blood count</b>
<b>CI</b>	<b>Confidence interval</b>
<b>C.T</b>	<b>Computed Tomography</b>
<b>C/S</b>	<b>Culture and sensitivity</b>
<b>DJ</b>	<b>Double-J catheter</b>
<b>SWL</b>	<b>Shockwave Lithotripsy</b>
<b>EHL</b>	<b>Electrohydraulic lithotripsy</b>
<b>Fr</b>	<b>French</b>
<b>Hb</b>	<b>Hemoglobin</b>
<b>I.V</b>	<b>Intravenous</b>
<b>IVP</b>	<b>Intravenous Pyelography</b>
<b>K</b>	<b>Potassium</b>
<b>KUB</b>	<b>Kidney, Ureter and bladder</b>
<b>Na</b>	<b>Sodium</b>
<b>NCSCCT</b>	<b>Non-Contrast Spiral Computed Tomography</b>
<b>NIH</b>	<b>National institute of health</b>
<b>OR</b>	<b>Odds ratio</b>
<b>PCN</b>	<b>Percutaneous nephrostomy</b>
<b>PNL</b>	<b>Percutaneous nephrolithotomy</b>
<b>PTFE</b>	<b>Polytetrafluoroethylene</b>
<b>PUT</b>	<b>Plain urinary tract</b>
<b>P Value</b>	<b>Proppaplity value</b>
<b>SGW</b>	<b>Straight Guide wire</b>
<b>U/S</b>	<b>Ultrasonography</b>
<b>UK</b>	<b>United kingdom</b>

<b>UPJO</b>	<b>Ureteropelvic junction obstruction</b>
<b>URS</b>	<b>Ureterorenoscopy</b>
<b>USA</b>	<b>United State America</b>
<b>UTI</b>	<b>Urinary tract infection</b>
<b>UUT</b>	<b>Upper Urinary Tract</b>
<b>UPJ</b>	<b>Ureteropelvic Junction</b>
<b>UVJ</b>	<b>Ureterovesical junction</b>
<b>YAG</b>	<b>Yttrium-aluminum garnet</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup></b>	<b>First</b>
<b>2<sup>nd</sup></b>	<b>Second</b>

## *List of Figures*

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1	Internal structure of the kidney	5
2	Location of kidneys in the retro peritoneum	6
3	Lateral view of longitudinal section through the retro peritoneum	8
4	Superior view of a transverse section of the kidneys	9
5	Anterior view of the renal fascia (Gerotas fascia) and the kidney	9
6	Lateral view of the kidney and its relationships with diaphragm, ribs, pleura and lung	11
7	Viscera lateral, anterior, and medial to the kidneys	12
8	Upper urinary tract collecting system	14
9	Calyceal orientation of the polar and middle calyces	15
10	Left kidney viewed from above	17
11	Arterial supply to the kidney	19
12	The patient is positioned prone	45
13	Subcostal and supracostal percutaneous access to an upper pole calyx	48
14	Uretroscopic guided percutaneous renal access	49
15	Introduction of the dilator and sheath just into desired calyx	53
16	Puncture of renal pelvis or through caliceal infundibulum leads to an increased risk of vascular injury	58
17	Arterial bleeding after PNL from lower pole artery (A) and super selective coiling (B)	58
18	Colonic injury after failed renal puncture for PNL	64
19	Plain x-ray showing Right Mid calyceal renal stone for 40 years old female patient	72
20	Non contrast spiral CTUT showing Right Mid calyceal renal stone for 40 years old female patient.	73
21	Lithotomy position during insertion of ureteric catheter	74
22	Curvedguide wire	75



<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
23	Injection of contrast to demonstrate collecting system on fluoroscopy	76
24	Percutaneous puncture of the posterior lower pole calyx	77
25	balloon dilator	78
26	30-F single Amplatz dilator	79
27	Grasping forceps , nephroscope , balloon dilator & the extracted stones	80
28	Nephroscope	80
29	Grasping forceps	81
30	Stone fragmentation and extraction	81
31	Lithotripter model Calculusplit	82
32	Swiss Lithoclast probe	82
33	Ballistic disintegrator Pneumatic lithotripsy	83
34	Data sheet	84
35	Comparison of the Stone-free rate after PCNL of group A and B.	95
36	The Sex Distribution of the Studied Cases.	95
37	Comparison of the Sex Distribution of Group A and Group B.	96
38	Comparison of The medical history of group A and group B.	97
39	The Blood Transfusion Rate of the Studied Cases	99
40	Comparison of the Blood Transfusion Rate of group A and group B	100

## *List of Table*

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1	Events in the evolution of percutaneous renal surgery	22
2	Indication for percutaneous management of renal calculi	24
3	Stone Composition and Relative Occurrence	33
4	The Mean Age of the Studied Cases	86
5	Comparison between the Age of the Studied Cases of Group A and Group B:	87
6	Presenting symptoms	87
7	Site of the stone	89
8	Showing the size of stones	90
9	The state of the upper urinary tract (UUT)	90
10	Shows access puncture used	91
11	Mean Operative Time of the Studied Cases:	92
12	Comparison of the Operative Time of group A and group B:	92
13	Peri operative Reported Complications of PCNL in the Studied Cases	93
14	Comparison of the Early Postoperative Complications and Complication Rate after PCNL of group A and group B:	94
15	Comparison of the Stone-free rate after PCNL of group A and group B	95
16	Comparison between the Sex Distribution of Group A and Group B	96
17	Comparison of The medical history of group A and group B:	97
18	The Duration of Hospital Stay of the Studied Cases:	98
19	Comparison of the Duration of Hospital Stay of group A and group B:	98
20	The Blood Transfusion of the Studied Cases:	98
21	Comparison of the Blood Transfusion Rate of group A and group B	99
22	Complications according to nephrostomy removal/day	104

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>23</b>	<b>Colonic perforation risk factors during PNL</b>	<b>104</b>

# *List of Contents*

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the study	3
Review of literature	4
• Chapter (1): Renal Anatomy	4
• Chapter (2): historical background	21
• Chapter (3): Indications and contraindication of percutaneous nephrolithotomy	23
• chapter (4): Stone removal: surgical techniques and technology	31
• chapter (5): Techniques of percutaneous nephrolithotomy	43
• Chapter (6): Complications Of Percutaneous Renal Surgery	57
Patients and methods	70
Results	86
Discussion	101
Summary	107
Conclusion and Recommendation	111
References	
Arabic summary	



## **INTRODUCTION**

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is an effective treatment for renal stones which are larger than or equal (2 cm). This technique is associated with high success rates, decreased morbidity and fewer complications than conventional renal stones surgery [\[1\]](#)

An important and integral step in PCNL is renal puncture and Tract dilatation with insertion of the access sheath, but during these steps bleeding can occur, although it usually responds to the tamponading effect provided by the access sheath [\[2\]](#)

There are currently three standard methods for renal dilatation (RD), i.e., metal telescoping dilators (Alken), sequential fascial dilators (Amplatz), and the one-step balloon dilatation. Metal telescopic dilators are reusable and thus more economical, and they maintain a tamponading effect throughout the dilatation. Previous reports suggest no difference between metallic and sequential fascial dilatation in the terms of safety and efficiency [\[3\]](#)

Fascial dilators are disposable and it has been suggested that during sequential dilator exchanges, the tamponading effect on the renal parenchymal tract is lost, which can lead to more blood loss during the exchange process [\[4\]](#).

Both metallic and sequential fascial dilators are time-consuming to use, with an increased incidence of kinking of the guidewire during tract development, thus hindering adequate



RD. In an attempt to reduce the blood loss from the repetitive passage of progressively larger dilators, the one-step balloon dilatation was developed. The reduced blood loss is thought to be due to the constant pressure applied on the renal parenchyma during dilatation before the sheath is advanced. The disadvantages of this method are its cost and that it is not reusable [\[5\]](#)



## **AIM OF THE STUDY**

Our aim is To perform a single step renal access dilatation (RD) during percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) by using directly A 30-F Amplatz dilator over a central Alken dilator ,Comparing It to Balloon renal access dilatation in a trial to reduce the operative duration , financial costs , and blood loss during renal dilatation.