



Alexandria University
Fac. Vet. Med.
Microbiology Dept.

ROLE OF RATS IN SPREADING OF BRUCELLA INFECTION IN CATTLE .

**A Thesis
Presented to Graduate School
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine , Alexandria University
In Partial fulfillment of the
Requirement for The Degree
Of
Master of Veterinary Sciences
In
MICROBIOLOGY**

**By
Caroline Fayez Fahmy Nawar
(B . V . SC , 2003 , Zagazig Univ)**

2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to
Prof . Dr . Helmy Ahmed Torky
professor of microbiology . faculty of veterinary medicine .
Alexandria university. for his kind supervision , continuous
encouragement valuable advice and criticism

I am greatly indebted to
Prof. Dr .Ibrahim Gad Abdella Ibrahim
head of reproductive research disease department in animal
reproductive research institute for his kind supervision , close follow up ,
continuous advice during the technical work .

LIST OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	٣
Historical over view and distribution of Brucella	٣
Diagnosis of brucellosis	٤
Brucella in rats	٨
3. MATERIALS ANDMETHODS	١٣
3.1 Material	١٣
3.1.1 Rats	١٣
3.1.2 Sampling	١٣
3.1.3 Chemicals and reagents	١٣
3.1.3.1 Chemicals and reagents for Rose Bengal test (RBT)	١٣
3.1.3.2 Chemicals and reagents for Standard Tube Agglutination test (SAT)	١٤
3.1.3.3 Chemicals and reagents for Rivanol test (RT)	١٤
3.1.3.4 Chemicals and reagents for EDTA modified SAT	١٥
3.1.3.5 Positive and negative sera	١٥
3.1.3.6 Mono specific anti sera	١٥
3.1.3.7 Basal media	١٥
3.1.3.8 Dyes for sensitivity test media	١٦
3.1.3.9 Stains	١٦
3.1.3.10 Lead acetate	١٦
3.1.3.11 Brucella strains	١٦
3.2. Methods	١٧
3.2.1. Collection of samples	١٧
3.2.2. Diagnosis	١٧
3.2.2.1 Serological diagnosis	١٧
3.2.2.1.1 Rose Bengal Test(RBT)	١٨
3.2.2.1.2 Standard Tube Agglutination Test (SAT)	١٨
3.2.2.1.3 Rivanol test	١٩
3.2.2.1.4 EDTA modified SAT	١٩
3.2.3. Bacteriological examination	٢٠
a) Isolation of brucella organisms	٢٠
b) Identification of brucella isolates	٢٠
. CO2 Requirment	٢١
. H2S Production	٢١
. Urease activity	٢١
. Growth in the presence of dyes	٢٢
. Agglutination with mono specific antisera	٢٢
4. RESULTS	٢٣
4.1 Results of serological test	٢٣
4.2. Results of bacteriological examination	٣٠
5. DISCUSSION	٣٣
6. ENGLISH SUMMARY	٣٧
7. REFERENCES	٣٩
8. ARABIC SUMMARY	٥٣

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1) : Setting the final concentration of inhibitors and dye sensitivity media preparation	22
Table (2) : Conversion of brucella abortus serum agglutination test to international units (IU/ML)	18
Table (3) :Results of Rose Bengal test (RBT) in naturally infected rats	23
Table (4) :Results of Tube Agglutination test (SAT) in Naturally infected rats	23
Table (5) :Results of EDTA modified SAT in naturally infected rats	24
Table (6) :Results of Rivanol test (RT) in naturally infected rats	24
Table (7) :Results of Rose Bengal test in experimental Infected GroupI	24
Table (8) :Results of Tube Agglutination test in experimental infected GroupI	25
Table (9) :Results of EDTA modified SAT in experimental infected GroupI	25
Table (10) :Results of Rivanol test in experimental Infected GroupI	26
Table (11) :Results of Rose Bengal test in experimental Infected GroupII	26
Table (12) :Results of Tube Agglutination test in experimental infected GroupII	26
Table (13) :Results of EDTA modified SAT in experimental infected GroupII	27
Table (14) :Results of Rivanol test in experimental Infected GroupII	27
Table (15) :Results of Rose Bengal test in experimental Infected GroupIII	28
Table (16) :Results of Tube Agglutination test in experimental infected GroupIII	28

Table (17) :Results of EDTA modified SAT in experimental infected GroupIII	28
Table (18) :Results of Rivanol test in experimental Infected GroupIII	29
Table (19) :Results of Rose Bengal test in experimental Infected GroupIV	29
Table (20) :Results of Tube Agglutination test in experimental infected GroupIV	29
Table (21) :Results of EDTA modified SAT in experimental infected GroupIV	30
Table (22) :Results of Rivanol test in experimental Infected GroupIV	30
Table (23) :Results of bacteriological examination in naturally infected rats	30
Table (24) :Results of bacteriological examination in naturally infected rats GroupI	31
Table (25) :Results of bacteriological examination in naturally infected rats GroupII	31
Table (26) :Results of bacteriological examination in naturally infected rats GroupIII	31
Table (27) :Results of bacteriological examination in naturally infected rats GroupIV	32

Introduction

Review of Literature

Material And Methods

Results

Discussion

Summary

References

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

الملخص العربي

1- INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is a major zoonotic disease of domestic and wild animals which is facultative intracellular pathogens that have the ability to survive and multiply in professional and non professional phagocytes and cause undulant fever in human. Manifested itself as a systemic febrile and illness, most human disease is caused by *Brucella melitensis* but *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella suis* are also highly pathogenic. The disease exists worldwide especially in the Mediterranean Basin, the Arabian peninsula, the Indian subcontinent, parts of Mexico and central and south America (Young 1999). This infection is considered to be a problem because *Brucella abortus* vaccines don't protect effectively against *Brucella melitensis* infection emerges as an increasingly serious public health problem in some countries (Corbel 1997).

The disease is recognized in more than 100 countries, with an estimated one million new cases per year, most cases occur as a result of occupational exposure to animal or ingestion of non pasteurized products. Laboratory workers exposed to the agent are also at high risk of infection. Brucellosis can be acquired through ingestion and through breaks in the skin and aerosol transmission also occur (Buchanan et al., 1974).

Brucella is a coccobacillus, gram negative, non sporing and non motile aerobic bacterium whose hosts are mostly animals (Alton et al., 1988).

The bacterium possesses an unconventional non endotoxic lipopolysaccharide that confers resistance to antimicrobial attacks and modulates the host immune response.

Brucellosis affects both human and animals such as cattle, sheep, goats, swine and dogs (Xiang et al., 2006) wild life including rats are also susceptible and may play a role in transmission to domestic animals and humans (Moore et al., 1981), (Monir and Deniss 2001), currently. There are six recognized species of *Brucella* based on phenotypic characteristics, antigenic variation and prevalence of infection in different animal hosts, *Brucella abortus* (cattle), *Brucella canis* (dogs), *Brucella melitensis* (goat & sheep), *Brucella neotomae* (desert wood rat), *Brucella ovis* (sheep), and *Brucella suis* (pigs, reindeer and hares) (Corbel, 1997; Moreno et al., 2002). Recently, two *Brucella* strains from marine mammals have been reported (Bricker et al., 2000; Cloeckaert et al., 2000). And the names *Brucella pinnipediae* (Seal / otter) and *Brucella cetaceae* (Porpoise / whale) have been proposed (Cloeckaert et al., 2003).

In Egypt, brucellosis has been reported and recorded as early as 1939, however, attention was directed to the disease only during the 1960s. The incidence of brucellosis in cattle on some farms became very high, the disease was also reported in buffaloes, sheep, goat, swine, camels, horses, donkeys, dogs, rats which cause major problem due to the economic losses. These losses are due to abortion, drop in milk yield, infertility as well as disturbance in breeding system beside its zoonotic importance. (Refai, 1988)

Brucella abortus has been isolated from rats and the rat infections occurs in areas with large numbers of infected cattle which suggests that the cattle are important source of infection for rats (Moore and Schnurrenberger, 1981).