# Closure versus Non Closure of Subcutaneous Tissue in Cesarean Section in Diabetic Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial

#### Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree In Obstetrics and Gynecology

#### By

#### Khaled Mostafa Mohammed Rozeik

M.B.B.Ch - Mansoura University - 2009 Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology Dekernes General Hospital

#### **Under Supervision of**

#### Prof. Dr. Gamal Farag Mustafa

Professor of obstetrics and gynecology Faculty of Medicine –Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Rehab Mohamed Abd El Rahman

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine –Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University Faculty of Medicine 2017

# Acknowledgements

First, and foremost, my deepest gratitude and thanks should be offered to "ALLAH", the Most Kind and Most Merciful, for giving me the strength to complete this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr./ Gamal Farag Mustafa,** Professor of Obstetrics and Gyaencology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for his continuous support and guidance for me to present this work. It really has been an honor to work under his generous supervision.

I acknowledge with much gratitude to Dr ./ Rehab Mohamed Abd El Rahman, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gyaencology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great supervision, efforts and unlimited help to provide all facilities in the whole work.

Last but not least, thanks to my Parents and my Family for helping me to finish this work.

> khaled mostafa Mohammed

## List of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Page No
Protocol	I
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	4
Review of Literature:	
- Cesarean Section (CS)	5
- Diabetes Mellitus	29
- Surgical Site Infection	53
- Surgical Sutures and Surgical Site Infection	82
Patients and method	91
Results	103
Discussion	131
Summary	145
Conclusion	149
References	150
Arabic summary	

### List of Abbreviations

**AADE** American Association of Diabetes Educators

**ACOG** American College of obstetrics and

Gynecology

**ADA** American Diabetes Association

**ASA** American Society of Anesthesiologist

**BMI** Body mass index

**CABG** Coronary artery bypass graft

**CDC** Centers for Disease control and prevention

**CHG** Chlorhexidine gluconate

**CS** Cesarean section

**CTG** Cardiotocography

**DNA** Desoxy ribonucleic acid

**FHR** Fetal heart rate

**GA** Gestational age

**GDM**, Gestational diabetes mellitus

**GLUT3** Glucose transporter 3

GTT Glucose tolerance test

**HCAI** Health Claims for Auto Insurance

**HELLP** Hemolysis elevated liver enzymes, low

platelet count

**HELLP** Hemolysis elevated liver enzymes, low

**syndrome** platelet syndrome

**HIV** Human immune deficiency virus

#### Albreviations

**IADPSG** International Association of Diabetes and

pregnancy study Groups

**IDDM** Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus

**IDMs** Infants of diabetic mothers

NDDG National Diabetes Data Group

**NHS** National Health Service

**NICE** National Institute for Health and Care

Excellence

**NIDDM** Noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus

NIH National Institute of Health
NIH, National Institute of Health

**NIHCE** National Institute for Health and Clinical

Excellence

**NNIS** National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance

**NPSA** National Patient Safety Agency

**OGTT** Oral glucose tolerance test

**PCOS** Polycystic ovarian syndrome

**PVP-I** Povidone-iodine

**RCTs** Randomized control trials

**RDS** Respiratory distress syndrome

**SCIP** (Surgical Care Improvement project)

**SSI** Surgical site infection

**T2DM** Type 2 diabetes

TC Treatment Centre

WHO World health Organization

**POSAS** Patient and Observer Scar Assessment Scale

## — 🕮 List of tables ——

## List of Tables

<u>Table No</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No</u>
<u>Table (1) :</u>	The common indication of caesard elective or emergency	
<i>Table</i> (2):	Etiological classification of Mellitus	
<u>Table (3):</u>	Current screening and diagnostic s GDM	•
<u>Table (4):</u>	Patient and operation characteristic influence the risk of developing a sinfection.	surgical site
<u>Table (5):</u>	The Stony Brook Scar Scale	
<u>Table (6):</u>	Descriptive data of women.	
<u>Table (7):</u>	Blood sugar, closure time and BMI	descriptive
	data of the	study
	group	106

## ——— 🕮 List of tables ——

Table No	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No</u>
<u>Table (8):</u>	Pre &postoperative blood sugar and BMI descriptive data group.	of the study
<u>Table (9):</u>	difference between both grown descriptive data	
<u>Table (10):</u>	Difference between both groups and postoperative blo	ood sugar
<u>Table (11):</u>	Difference between both gro-	
<u>Table (12):</u>	Difference between both groups and type ofdiabetes	
<u>Table (13):</u>	Difference between both gro- postoperative pain	((VAS
	Difference between both growund collection	ups regarding

# List of tables Page No

<i>Table (15):</i>	Difference be	tween bo	th grou	ps regard	ling wound
	inflammation	n(redness,	,	tenderne	ess of
	edema)				124
<i>Table (16):</i> D	Difference bet	ween bot	h group	s regard	ing wound
	dehiscence				125
<i>Table (17):</i> D	ifference bet	ween both	group	s regardi	ng Positive
	wound cultu	re			126
<i>Table (18):</i>	Difference	between	both gr	oups reg	arding scar
	appearance	accordin	ng to	visual	analogue
	scale	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		127
<i>Table</i> (19):	Difference	between	both	groups	regarding
	cosmetic		patien	t	view
	(entiefaction				120

<u>Title</u>

Table No

### List of Figures

## List of Figures

<u>Figure No</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No</u>
<u>Figure(1):</u> (A	A)Vertical midline, (B) Maylard, (C) Properties (C)	fannenstiel
	incisions (Hached line indicate	possible
	extension)	15
<u>Figure (2):</u>	Pfannenstiel & Joel Cohen Incision	16
<u>Figure (3):</u>	Various uterine incisions; (I) Lowe	er segment
	transverse incision (Kerr), (II) Lowe	er segment
	vertical incision (De Lee Cornell), (I	II)Vertical
	midline uterine incision	(Classical
	incision)	17
<u>Figure (4):</u>	Delivery of the deeply engaged head.	19
<u>Figure (5)</u> :	Exteriorization with suturing of the	uterus by
	an interrupted or a continuou	is locked
	suture	20
<i>Figure (6):</i>	First layer closure	21
<u>Figure (7):</u>	Parity distribution of the	study
	group	105

## List of Figures —

<u>Figure No</u>	<u>Title</u>	_	<u>Page No</u>
<u>Figure (8):</u>	C.S distribution	of the study gr	oup105
<u>Figure (9):</u>	•	etween Both C	Groups regarding109
<u>Figure(10):</u>	_	between Both	Groups regarding
<u>Figure (11):</u>			Groups regarding111
<u>Figure (12):</u>	type of		Groups regarding section
<u>Figure (13):</u>	_		Groups regarding
<u>Figure (14):</u>			Both Groups
<u>Figure(15):</u>	_		Both Groups118

	Q £	ist of Figures ====
<u>Figure No</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No</u>
<u>Figure (16):</u>	Comparison between I post-operative pain (afte	
<u>Figure(17):</u>	Comparison between I post operative pain(after	
<u>Figure (18):</u>	Comparison between E post- operative pain (	
<u>Figure (19):</u>	Difference between Bescar appearance as rega	1 0 0
	scale	128
<i>Figure (20):</i>	Difference between B	oth Groups regarding

cosmetic patient view(satisfaction...... 130



## protocol

