



**Question Formation in Iraqi Arabic:
A Generative Approach
A Dissertation**

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH
WOMEN'S COLLEGE FOR ARTS, SCIENCE AND EDUCATION
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

By:
Rudayna Mohammed Badie

IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN LINGUISTICS

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Wafaa Abd Al-Faheem Batran

Professor of Linguistics

English Department

Faculty of Women

Ain Shams University

Dr. Reda Said Khalil

Lecturer of Linguistics

English Department

Faculty of Alsun

Aswan University

2018

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to investigate the construction of question formation mainly in Iraqi Arabic (IA) dialect with reference to English adopting the Minimalist Program (MP). This study falls into two parts. The first part investigates the wh- movements in English and IA in the light of Chomsky's Minimalist Program. The second part of the study analyzes the strategies of wh-questions in IA which are the wh-in-situ, the intermediate Comp, and the wh-movement strategy with respect to Bounding Theory, the Split vP analysis, and Phase movement.

A new set of data concerning the behavior of wh-operators in Iraqi Arabic is represented. This data study that the Logical Form movement in IA must observe locality constraints. The wh-operator must move to the closest specifier (Spec) position of a [+WH] complementizer (Comp).

The study diagnoses a unique property of the wh-operator in IA. Unlike English where the wh-operator may occur in the Spec of the matrix Comp, or in-situ as in Chinese, in IA wh-operator may occur in any Spec of an intermediate Comp between the matrix Comp and the in-situ position. Whether the hosting Comp is [+WH] or [-WH], the wh-operator in IA exercises wide scope over the entire question having a main question reading. IA as a dialect has proven to be one which is rich in its analysis of question formation. The researcher empirically tries to prove this optionality of the wh-phrase in the light of MP analysis)

Chomsky's Minimalist Program captures a number of unrelated phenomena that pertain to the behavior of wh-questions in IA. Mostly, the behavior of the wh-operator that occurs in a [-wh] Comp in a main question. An enriched tree can smoothly capture the behavior of wh-questions in IA.

The minimalist tree, which is an input to the Phonetic Form (PF) and Logical Form (LF) components, may distinguish between the visibility and the invisibility of wh-operators. The IA wh-operator in a [-WH] Comp is visible at (PF) but invisible at LF. MP relies on feature checking to account for the movement of any element in the sentence. The uninterpretable feature of one element, called the Probe, needs to be checked by another element, called the Goal. A way of checking this

feature comes in the form of movement of the Goal to a position where it can get into a checking relation with the Prob.

The vP Split analysis and Phase theory are adopted for the successive cyclic movement of the wh-operator in mono, bi, and tri-clauses. The two phases, CP and transitive vP, are diagnosed in the analyses of the IA data.

Key words: Minimalism, Locality restrictions, Ross's constraints, wh-movement, Wh-in-situ, Logical Form (LF), Scope, phase, split vp analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the beginning, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my direct advisor Prof. Wafaa Abd-alfaheem Batran for her continuous support of my Ph. D study and related research, for her patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. Her guidance helped me continuously research and write this dissertation.

I am grateful for the aspiring guidance and the invaluable constructive criticism of my second advisor Dr. Reda Said Khalil, and for his continuous support of my study.

My sincere thanks also go to Dr. Ihsan al-Sammaraie for his endless help and encouragement. I would like to thank Dr. Ali Ezzat for his helpful remarks concerning my present study. Also, I thank my best friends who helped and guided me along the correct path: Youmna, Lamia, Dr. Marwa and my late friend Asmaa.

I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to Dr. Esbah Shaker for his valuable notes concerning my study.

I would like to thank my family: my mother, brother, sister, beloved sons, my aunt Alia, and my uncle Hamood for their spiritual support throughout writing this dissertation.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to all who helped me directly or indirectly to complete my study.

The following is a list of the abbreviations used in the dissertation

A-Position	Argument Position
ACC	Attract Closest Condition
AF	Affix Feature
Comp	Complementizer
CNPC	Complex Noun Phrase Constraints
CP	Complementizer Phrase
C	Complementizer
C-Command	Constituent Command
DS	Deep Structure
D	Derivation
DP	Determiner Phrase
DFCF	Double Filled Complementizer Filter
ECM	Exceptional Case Marking
EPP	Extended Principle Projection
EF	Edge Feature
GB	Government and Binding
IA	Iraqi Arabic
IPC	Impenetrability Condition
LCA	Linear Correspondence Axiom
LF	Logical Form
MP	Minimalist Program

NP	Noun Phrase
PF	Phonetic Form
PP	Prepositional Phrase
P&P	Principles and Parameters
P'	Intermediate Projection
PRN	Pronoun
PSC	Preposition Stranding Constraint
Q	Question
QF	Question Feature
S	Sentence
SPH	Structure Preserving Hypothesis
SBC	Structure Building Computation
Spec	Specifier
SS	Surface Structure
TG	Transformational Grammar
TP	Tense Phrase
TNS	Tense
-TNS	Minus Tense
+TNS	Plus Tense
T	Tense
TF	Tense Feature
UG	Universal Grammar
VP	Verb Phrase
WAC	Wh-Attraction Condition

-WH	Minus Wh
WIC	Wh Island Constraints
X	Head
X'	X-Bar
X*	Zero Projection
Φ	Phonological Component
Σ	Semantic Component

Table 1. Abbreviations used in the dissertation.

The following is a chart of the IPA symbols used along with their corresponding Arabic letters:

		Labial	Pain		Emphatic		Palatal	Velar	Uvular	Pha.
			Dental	Alveolar	Dental	Alveolar				
Nasal		م m	ن n							
Stop	Voiceless		ت t		ط t			ك k	ق q	
	Voiced	ب b	د d		ض d̤		ج (d ₃) ~ g			
	Voiceless	ف f	ث θ	س S		ص ṣ	ش ʃ	خ x ~ X		
Fricative	Voiced		ذ ð	ز z	ظ ʁ ~	Z		غ ğ ~ ʁ		
Trill				ر r						
Approximant			ل l ~ l̥				ي j	و W		

Table2. Modern Standard Arabic Consonant Phonemes.

Due to space configurations, the following symbols are not present in the tableau:

- The symbol for the Glottal Voiceless stop (ʔ) (ء).
- The symbol for the glottal voiceless fricative (h) (ه).
- The symbol for the Voiceless post-alveolar affricate (tʃ)

<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arabic>

Vowels	Description	Examples	Trans.
/i/	short high unrounded vowel	ḍid	against
/i:/	long high front unrounded vowel	tri:d	She wants
/u/	short high back rounded vowel	suʒa:d	a proper noun
/u:/	back close rounded vowel	ʃu:f	look
/a/	short mid unrounded vowel	ʒala	On
/a:/	long mid unrounded vowel	ra:dat	wanted
/o/	mid half close back rounded vowel	ʃeno	what
/o:/	back half open and half close rounded vowel	ʃloon	how

Table 3: Vowels in Iraqi Arabic

Adapted from (Ezzat, 1973 pp.XI-XIII)

- ❖ Length of a vowel is indicated by a (:) as (ra:dat)
- ❖ Gemination is indicated by doubling the consonant letter, as (tšawwarat)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	I
Acknowledgments	III
Chapter one	1
Theoretical Background	2
1.0 Introduction	2
1.1. Objectives of the Study	4
1.2. Sources of Data	5
1.3. Organization	5
1.4. Chomskyan Approach	6
1.4.1. Principles and Parameters	7
1.4.1.1. X-Bar Theory	10
1.4.1.2. Movement Theory	11
1.4.1.3. Binding Theory	13
1.4.1.4. Bounding Theory	14
1.4.1.4.1. Subjacency Condition	15
1.4.1.4.2. The Wh-island Constraint	16
1.4.1.4.3. NP Condition	16
1.4.2. Minimalist Program	17
1.4.2.1. Syntactic derivation	18
1.4.2.1.1. Derivational Operations	18
1.4.2.1.1.1. Select and Merge	19
1.4.2.1.1.2. Agree	19
1.4.2.1.1.3. Move	19
1.4.2.1.1.4. Transfer	21
1.4.2.2. Question formation in the Minimalist program	21
1.4.3. Logical Form and Visibility	22
1.4.4. VP Split Analysis and Phase Movement	23
1.5. Literature review	24
Chapter Two.Wh-Questions in English and Iraqi Arabic within the Minimalist Framework	30

2.0. Introduction	31
2.1. Wh-Movement in English	31
2.1.1. Mechanisms of Wh-Movement in English	32
2.1.1.1. Driving Wh-Movement	36
2.1.1.2. Pied Piping	40
2.1.1.3. Wh-Movement as Copying	42
2.1.1.4. Long Wh-Movement	46
2.2. Types of Wh-Questions	49
2.2.1. Subject Wh-Questions	49
2.2.2. Yes/ No Questions	53
2.2.3. Arguments and Adjuncts Wh-Questions	55
2.2.4. Embedded Wh-Questions	56
2.2.5. Multiple Wh-Questions	56
2.3. Wh-Questions in Iraqi Arabic	58
2.3.1. Strategies of Wh-Questions in Iraqi Arabic	58
2.3.1.1. Wh- In-Situ Strategy	59
2.3.1.2. The intermediate Wh- Comp Strategy	60
2.4. Types of Wh-Phrases in IA	61
2.4.1. The Wh-Fronting Strategy	63
2.4.1.1. Fronted Wh-Arguments	63
2.4.1.2. Fronted Wh-Adjuncts	66
2.4.1.3. Fronted Prepositional Wh-Phrase	67
2.4.2. Wh-In-Situ Strategy	68
2.4.2.1. In-Situ Wh-Arguments	68
2.4.2.2. In-Situ Wh-Adjuncts	69
2.4.2.3. In-Situ Prepositional Wh-Phrases	69
2.4.3. Yes/ No Questions	70
2.4.4. Other Wh-Constructions in IA	71
2.5. Conclusion	72
Chapter Three. Scope Properties and Locality Constraints in Iraqi Arabic	73

3.0 Introduction	74
3.1. Some Minimalist Notions	74
3.1.1. Scope	75
3.1.2. Logical Form (LF)	77
3.1.3. Phonetic Form (PF)	78
3.1.4. Visibility	78
3.2. Wh-Movement in Minimalism	78
3.2.1. Diagnostics of phrasal Movement	79
3.2.1.1. Subject to Subject Raising	80
3.3. Basics of Successive Cyclic Movement	82
3.3.1. Wh-Movement in Main Questions	82
3.3.2. Wh-Movement in Embedded Questions	83
3.4. Arguments for Successive Movement through CP	86
3.4.1. Embedded Inversion	86
3.4.2. Floating Quantifier	88
3.4.3. Binding Ambiguity	91
3.5. Subcategorization and Scope	92
3.5.1. Scope Properties of Wh-Operators in IA	102
3.5.1.1. The Wh-Operator in-Situ	106
3.5.1.2. The Wh-Operator in [+WH] Comp	107
3.5.1.3. The Wh-Operator in [-WH] Comp	107
3.6. Multiple Questions in IA and Locality	109
3.6.1. Multiple wh-Operators in Main Questions in IA	110
3.6.2. Multiple wh-Operators in Embedded Questions in IA	111
3.7 . Conclusion	112
Chapter Four.Ross's Constraints and Phase Theory in Iraqi Arabic	114
4.0 Introduction	115
4.1. Basic Notions on Boundedness	116
4.1.1. Unbounded Movement	116
4.1.2. Bounding Movement and Subjacency	117
4.1.3. Ross's Constraints	119