# EVALUATION OF SOME RESISTANCE INDUCERS AGAINST THE ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE

#### $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{Y}$

## AHMED SOLIMAN MOHAMED MOHAMED EL-NUBY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Plant pathology), Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., 1995 M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Nematology), Cairo Univ., 2003

### **THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for the Degree of** 

### **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

In

Agricultural Zoology (Nematology)

Department of Zoology and Agricultural Nematology
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT

2014

#### APPROVAL SHEET

# EVALUATION OF SOME RESISTANCE INDUCERS AGAINST THE ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE

#### Ph.D. Thesis

In

**Agricultural Zoology (Nematology)** 

By

## AHMED SOLIMAN MOHAMED MOHAMED EL-NUBY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Plant pathology), Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., 1995 M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Nematology), Cairo Univ., 2003

### APPROVAL COMMITTEE

Dr. MOHAMED FAHMY EISSA	
Professor of Nematology, National Research Center	
Dr. MOSTAFA YOSSEF YASSINE	
Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agric., Cairo University	
Dr. AMIN WAFDY AMIN	
Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agric., Cairo University	
Dr. AL-SAYED ABDEL GHANI ANTER	•
Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agric., Cairo University	

**Date:** 1 / 1 /2015

#### SUPERVISION SHEET

# EVALUATION OF SOME RESISTANCE INDUCERS AGAINST THE ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE

Ph.D. Thesis

In

**Agricultural Zoology (Nematology)** 

By

## AHMED SOLIMAN MOHAMED MOHAMED EL-NUBY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Plant pathology), Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., 1995 M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Nematology), Cairo Univ., 2003

### SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. AL-SAYED ABDEL GHANI ANTER
Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agric., Cairo University

Dr. AMIN WAFDY AMIN
Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agric., Cairo University

Dr. AZZA HASHEM ASHOUB Professor of Nematology, Desert research Center.

Name of Candidate: Ahmed Soliman Mohamed Mohamed El-Nuby Degree: Ph.D.

Thesis Title: Evaluation of some resistance inducers against the root-knot nematode

Supervisors: Dr. Al-Sayed Abdel Ghani Anter

Dr. Amin Wafdy Amin Dr. Azza Hashem Ashoub

**Department:** Zoology and Agricultural Nematology **Branch:** Nematology

**Approval:** 1/1/2015

#### ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to evaluate some biotic and abiotic agents as inducers for tomato resistance against the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. These agents included rhizobacteria, chemical activators and also some growth regulators.

Results showed that thirty five bacterial isolated processed the ability to kill nematode juveniles (J<sub>2</sub>) with various degrees. Serial screenings were done till selecting ten species defined as; Bacillus brevis, B. cereus, B. firmus, Klebsiella planticolla, Lactobacillus agilis, L. fermentum, Methylomonas methanica, Neisseria elongate, Obesumbacterium proteus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which achieved highly reduction% (R) in nematode build-up. The more effective four species were M. methanica, B. cereus, O. proteus and B.brevis while they recorded 93.20%, 89.25%, 87.66% and 87.74% (R) in total population. The ten species showed nematicidal activity against nematode (J<sub>2</sub>) and also inhibited egg hatch. These species were able to suppress nematode population and improving tomato plant growth. Split-root technique indicated that these species could suppress nematode via induction of systemic resistance (ISR). also elevation of peroxidase (POX) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activities as well as total phenolic content in tomato roots presented other indicators for occurring ISR. On such four vigorous species, the most effective bacterial form was crude suspension (cells&metabolites), also the ability of dead cell to suppress nematode population proved capability of these bacteria to ISR. Some nematoxic compounds were extracted form such highly effective bacteria. Positive impact of these bacteria on nematode also showed through diminished of giant cell area and gall area and weight. The positive impact of highly effective bacterial species on plant growth and mineral content was observed. Concerning abiotic inducers, eight chemicals (acetyl salicylic acid, β-aminobutyric acid-BABA-, ascorbic acid, 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid, chlorosalicylic acid-CSA-, nitrosalicylic acid-NSA-, salicylic acid-SA- and selenium) cloud killed (J<sub>2</sub>). Their mixture showed synergetic effect in most cases to killing (J<sub>2</sub>) as well. Adding chemical 3 days before inoculation was more effective than simultaneous and after inoculation. Double dose was more effective than one or three when considering adverse impact on plant growth. Combination between pre and post application was proper method for supplying chemicals and achieving (%R) than pre-inoculation only. POX and PPO activities in chemical-tomato roots were elevated compared with controls. The most effective chemicals were CSA, NSA, BABA and SA which achieved the maximum reduction in population density; 57.6% &84.01%; 56.5% &81.8%; 55.45% &79.4% and 54.5% &78.3% in both pre and pre&post-inoculation respectively. Mixing between most effective bacterial species and chemicals showed small synergetic effect in killing (J<sub>2</sub>) and in vivo inhibitory effect with few exceptions (SA+B.cereus). Growth regulators (GRs) selected from screening (suppressed nematode reproduction, while the highly suppressive effect in total population recorded by prop, gibberellic acid, biohorme and benzyl adenine (67.27%, 63.82%, 61.67% and 60.1%), tomato plant growth positively reacted with GRs. Enzyme activities also increased in GRs-treated tomato roots. Prop was the most effective to increase POX, PPO and superoxide dismutase activities as well as total phenolic content. Gall area and weight were ceased in most GRs except in amocton and ethephon. Mineral content in tomato plant was peaked with prop treatment. It is concluded that, four rhizobacterial strains and four chemical activators besides some GRs especially prop could suppress M. incognita reproduction via ISR in tomato plants and can involved in integrated nematode management program.

Key word: Meloidogyne spp, induced resistance, rhizobacteria, chemical inducers, growth regulators.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all praise to **Allah**, The Almighty God, without His care nothing could have been achieved.

The author wishes to express deepest sincere thanks to **Dr. A. A.**Anter professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his supervision, guidance, encouragement during the research phase, reviewing the manuscript and constructive criticism during this work.

The author also likes to express my deepest gratitude thanks to **Dr.**A. W. Amin professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for their supervision, preparation the manuscript, guidance, support and facilities offered by him through this study.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to **Dr. A. H. Ashoub** professor of Nematology, Desert Research Center, for their supervision, preparation the manuscript, guidance and solving troubles during this work.

I also like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Dr. Khaled Ismail Zaki**, head of ecology and dry land cultivations division, Desert Research Center, for his sincere support.

I wish to express my gratefulness to all team in plant protection Department, Desert Research Center, for help me. Special thanks to **Dr**. **Mohamed Abdel Aziz Balah** for his support and help in the part of extraction and purification of nematoxic compounds.

The author offers their deep thanks for all the members of the central lab, Desert Research Center, (especially for Mrs. Nehad Mohamed Fathy) for her sincere help.

# **CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
1. Biotic agents (Rhizobacteria)
a. Effect of rhizobacteria on nematodes in vitro and in vivo
b. Nematotoxic compounds in bacterial metabolites
2. Abiotic agents
a. Chemical inducers.
b. Growth regulators
MATERIALS AND METHODES
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
1. Biotic agents (Rhizobacteria)
<ul> <li>a. Screening of the rhizosphere bacteria against <i>Meloidogyne incognita in vitro</i>.</li> <li>1. Nematicidal activity of thirty five bacterial cell suspensions.</li> <li>2. Nematicidal activity of thirty five bacterial filtrates</li> </ul>
<ul><li>3. The combined effect of nine bacterial isolate filtrates on mortality of <i>M. incognita</i> juveniles</li><li>b. Screening of the rhizosphere bacteria against <i>M</i>.</li></ul>
incognita infecting tomato plants
<ol> <li>Visual assessment of selected bacterial isolates on root galling of <i>M. incognita</i> on tomato plants cv. Castel rock under greenhouse conditions.</li> <li>Screening of certain bacterial isolates against <i>M. incognita</i> population in roots of tomato plants under</li> </ol>
greenhouse conditions
c. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of ten selected bacterial
strains against <i>M. incognita</i> nematode

	bacterial call suspensions against M incognita
	bacterial cell suspensions against <i>M. incognita</i> juveniles
2	Nematicidal activity for the culture filtrates of ten
2.	selected bacteria on <i>M. incognita</i> juveniles
3.	Evaluation of ten selected bacterial filtrates on egg
٥.	hatchability of <i>M. incognita</i>
4.	Evaluation of ten selected bacterial species against the
	reproduction of <i>M. incognita</i> on tomato plants under
	greenhouse conditions and the corresponding on plant
	growth
5.	The induction of systemic resistance by ten selected
	bacterial species occurred in tomato plants challenged
	with M. incognita
6.	Biochemical changes of tomato plants infected by $M$ .
	incognita due to different treatments of rhizobacterial
	species
	valuation of four selected bacterial species on
CT	innraccing <i>M incognity</i> on tomato and tha
	appressing M. incognita on tomato and the
co	prrelated plant growth response
co	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial
co	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M</i> .
<b>c</b> o 1.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M</i> . <i>Incognita</i> infecting tomato
<b>c</b> o 1.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
<b>c</b> o 1.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
1.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
1.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
1.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2.	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2. 3. e. E	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2. 3. e. E. se	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2. 3. e. E. se	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2. 3. e. E. se 1	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2. 3. e. E. se 1	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2. 3. e. E. se 1	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato
2.  2.  3.  e. Erse 1	Effect of different forms of four selected bacterial species on the development and reproduction of <i>M. Incognita</i> infecting tomato

1. In vitro bioassay of certain chemicals against M incognita
a. Effect of eight chemical substances with different
concentrations on mortality percentages of M
incognita juveniles at different exposure times
b. Effect of certain chemical mixtures on the mortality
of <i>M. incognita</i> juveniles, at different exposure times
2. Greenhouse evaluation of certain chemicals in
different periodical times against M. incognita
a. The reproduction of <i>M. incognita</i> on tomato plants a
affected by different application times of certain chemicals and the corresponding plant growth
b. Effect of post-inoculation treatments of certain
chemicals on the reproduction of <i>M. incogniti</i>
infecting tomato plants and the corresponding plan
growth
c. Evaluation of pre and post inoculation treatments o
certain chemicals on the reproduction of M. incognition
infecting tomato plants under greenhouse conditions
d. Enzyme activity as markers of systemic resistance
induced by chemical inducers of tomato plant
towards M. incognita.
3. Evaluation of mixing between selected chemica
compounds and bacteria against M. incognita
a. In vitro evaluation of four chemical compound
admixing with filtrates of four selected bacteria
strains on the mortality of <i>M incognita</i> juveniles
b. Evaluation of certain chemicals and bacterial inducer
applied single or in combination on reducing population of <i>M. incognita</i> infecting tomato plant
under greenhouse conditions and the corresponding in
plant growth
Growth regulators
1. Screening of some growth regulators with as so
drench or foliar spray on development and
reproduction of <i>M. incognita</i> and tomato growth
under greenhouse conditions
a. Evaluation of some growth regulators as folia
a. Evaluation of some growin regulators as iona

	b.	Evaluation of certain growth regulators as soil
		drench on <i>M. incognita</i> counts and plant growth
		Evaluation of certain commercial growth
		regulators as foliar spraying against M. incognita
		and the correlated plant growth
		Evaluation of certain commercial growth
		regulators as soil drench on nematode population
		and plant growth response
2.	Ev	valuation of selected growth regulators against
	M.	. incognita and the corresponding growth of
	to	mato plants
	a.	Effect of selected growth regulators on
		development and reproduction of <i>M. incognita</i> and
		their response on tomato plant growth under
		greenhouse conditions
	b.	Effect of selected growth regulators on giant cells,
	٠.	gall area and gall weight formed by <i>M. incognita</i>
		on tomato roots
	C	Effect of selected growth regulators on some
	С.	antioxidant enzyme activities and total phenols in
		tomato roots infected by <i>M. incognita</i>
	А	Effect of selected growth regulators on macro and
	u.	micro-elements on tomato infected by $M$ .
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NT TR # R # 4	. D. T.	incognita
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		CES.
A R A RI	' SI	IMMARY

### INTRODUCTION

Root-knot nematodes (RKN); *Meloidogyne* spp. constitute the major nematode problem in developing countries. About 2000 plants species are susceptible to their infection and they cause approximately 5% of global crop loss (Hussey and Janssen, 2002). The most common species is *M. incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood, which causes considerable losses in many crops (Lamberti, 1997). *Meloidogyne* spp. can be managed by cultural practices, resistant cultivars and chemical nematicides, which considered one of the primary means of control for Root-knot nematodes but are mostly inappropriate for subsistence farmers in developing countries (Ahmed *et al.*, 2013).

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculemtun* Mill.) is the world's largest vegetable crop and known as protective food both because of its special nutritive value and also because of its wide spread production, which come second to potato with annual world production of about 161.3 million ton and in Egypt reached to 8.6 million ton in 2012 (FAOSTAT, 2012). As it is a short duration crop and gives high yield and it is economically important for the economic point of view and hence area under cultivation is increasing day by day. Tomato is one of the most important commercial and widely grown vegetable crops in both tropics and sub-tropics, which is often severely attacked by rootknot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, a predominant and widely prevalent species inflicting serious loss in tomato (Sasser, 1990). A yield loss of 35.0-39.7 percent has been reported due to root-knot nematode infestation (Reddy, 1985 and Jonathan *et al.*, 2001).