



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department

Uranium Potentiality of the Upper Carboniferous Sedimentary Rocks, Wadi Araba, West Gulf of Suez, Egypt

A Dissertation
Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Geology

Presented By:
Mohamed Metwaly Hassan Ali

Assistant Researcher of Geology, Research Sector,
Nuclear Materials Authority (NMA)

Supervised by

Late Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ismail El Wakeel Dr. Ashraf Rushdi Baghdady

Prof. of Geology
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Assistant. Prof. of Geology
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Ibrahim El Qattany
El Sayed El Aassy**

Professor of Geology
Nuclear Materials Authority

**Prof. Dr. Abdu Abd El Razek
El Sayed**

Professor of Geology
Nuclear Materials Authority

2017



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department

Approval Sheet

Uranium Potentiality of the Upper Carboniferous Sedimentary rocks, Wadi Araba, West Gulf of Suez, Egypt

*A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of
Science in Geology*

By:

Mohamed Metwaly Hassan Ali

B. Sc in Geology, South Valley University (1997)

M. Sc. in Geology, Ain Shams University (2011)

To:

Ain shams University, Faculty of Science,
Geology Department

Advisors

Approved

Late Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ismail El Wakeel

Prof. of Geology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ashraf Rushdi Baghdady

Ass. Prof. of Geology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim El Qattany El Sayed El Aassy

Professor of Geology, Nuclear Materials Authority

Prof. Dr. Abdu Abd El Razeik El Sayed

Professor of Geology, Nuclear Materials Authority

Qualification

Name: Mohamed Metwaly Hassan Ali

Scientific Degree: B.Sc. (1997) M.Sc. (2011)

Department: Geology

Faculty: Science

University: Ain Shams

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I thank (Allah) the Beneficent, the Merciful, for my success in completing this work.

I would like to express my thanks to:

Late Prof. Dr. **Mohamed Ismail El Wakeel**, Geology Department, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, honest guidance, continuous encouragement and trustful help during the first stage of preparation the plan of this thesis. I ask Allah to grant the soul of the deceased mercy and eternal peace.

Prof. Dr. **Ibrahim El-Kattany El-Aassy**, Professor of Geology at Nuclear Materials Authority, Egypt, for suggesting the research point and for his supervision, continuous support, kind guidance throughout the present work, numerous helpful discussions, proving and constructive revisions of many versions of the manuscripts and trustful help through his effort in the field, the experimentation and writing the manuscript.

Prof. Dr. **Abdu Abed El Razek El Sayed**, Professor of Geology at Nuclear Materials Authority, for his supervision, encouragement, fruitful scientific discussions and critical comments during the progress of this work. The offered facilities, management and discussions through the preparation of this thesis are highly appreciated.

Dr. **Ashraf Rushdi Baghdady**, Ass. Prof. of Geology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, honest guidance, continuous encouragement and trustful help through the experimentation and writing the manuscript.

Dr. **Mahmoud Mosalhi**, Ass. Prof. of Geology at Nuclear Materials Authority, for supervising and their valuable assistance, fruitful discussion and continuous encouragement.

Actually, it would be a matter of great difficulty to express my compliment and gratitude to all the people who kindly helped me during the course of this work. Therefore, the following expresses represent only a part of appreciation to them.

I am indeed grateful to the colleagues and friends in NMA, especially Prof. Dr. **Mohesen Mohamed Ali**, Prof. Dr. **Mohamed Saleh**, Dr. **Ehab Korani**, Dr. **Hossam Anour Khamis**, Dr. **Mohamed El Dabba** and Mr. **Samir Farouk**, for their kind cooperation, various forms of assistance and fruitful discussions.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my family (**parents, wife, sons, brothers and sisters**) for his encouragement, continuous support, encouragement and understanding of my dedication to complete my research.

DEDICATION

For my *loving family*

Memory of my *father*

My *mother*

My *wife* and *sons*

My *brother* and *sisters*

ABSTRACT

The main aim of the present thesis is studying the geology, petrography, mineralogy, geochemistry and radioactivity of Late Carboniferous rock units in Wadi Araba. The results were used to shed more light on the radioelements distribution in the studied area with special emphasizes on uranium potentiality. The results based on the integration of various surface and subsurface geologic data in order to define the anomalous radiospectrometric zones in the study area, their distributions and their relations to lithology and structure. In addition, processed and mapped radiometric data were measured in the different rock units of the study area to show the distributions and intensities of radioelement anomalies.

In the present study, the member "V" of Rod El Hamal Formation is subdivided into three units and the Qiseib Formation is subdivided into two members. The microfacies analysis of the studied rock units revealed the presence of six lithofacies types indicating that the rocks were deposited under environment ranging from alluvial to shallow marine. The study area is affected by faults, joints and minor folds. The main faults have NNE-SSW, E-W and ENE-WSW trends. A comparative study dealing with the main directional trends and intensity of fault sets, wadi lines and joint sets show good statistical matching in some trends and no obvious relations in other.

The petrographical analysis indicates that the plutonic and metamorphic rocks and preexisting sandstone are the main provenances of the studied rock units, these provinces were affected by extensive chemical weathering. X-ray diffraction technique (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) detected many non-radioactive and radioactive minerals in the studied samples.

The chemically analyzed samples indicate that the studied rock units were mainly altered and suffered from many stages of diagenesis. They are characterized by the dominance of SiO_2 and kaolinite but rarity of illite, K-feldspars and micas. The geochemical classifications of most samples according to Herron (1988) are mostly plotted around wacke and some around quartz arenite and lithicarenite. The studied sandstone is mature enriched in Na_2O relative to K_2O . The recorded CIA and ICV values suggest that the source rocks were mainly dominantly by feldspars and/or mafic minerals and subjected to moderately chemical weathering. The relationship between elements revealed that most of the studied samples were plotted in both the arid and semiarid climate fields. The

ABSTRACT

studied samples indicated that these sediments were deposited under marine conditions followed by fresh water. The provenance of these samples mainly consists of felsic and intermediate igneous rocks, partially mixed with sedimentary rocks. The investigated samples seem to be related to oceanic island arc provenance and active continental margin.

Rod El Hamal Formation has total gamma ray activity and radioelements concentrations higher than Qiseib Formation. Several radiometric anomalies recorded in the study area for the first time especially in shale, siltstone, marl and sandstone of Rod El Hamal Formation. The radioactivity of shale, siltstone and marl are higher than sandstone and dolomitic limestone. The majority of mineralization confined to altered shale and siltstone. Thorium displays high values relation to the uranium values (reach up thirteen times) and that indicates that uranium was leached from these sediments. Therefore, the measured of the radioactivity (especially uranium) of subsurface was carried out along the Wadis between Blocks.

Siltstone, marl and shale in MRS are subjected to intense alterations due to uranium migration-in than sandstone. The correlation between radioelements indicates that individual results for any one of the radionuclide concentrations in each pair are a good predictor of individual values for the other. The relation between thorium with uranium indicates that thorium was not enriched from the same source of uranium in the studied samples and thorium is mainly responsible for the high radioactivity spots of the studied selected area because the enrichment of eTh is the highest among the other radioactive elements.

The comparison between surface spectrometric contour maps and concentration of radon map (predicted by α -track technique) refer to the presence of subsurface radioactive mineralization. The relation between radon concentration and the height for detector in cylindrical chamber in the expected sources of radium gives the expected depth at this location is 22.59 m and the flux density for soil and air are 467.8×10^{-6} and 46.78×10^{-6} , respectively. Several mechanisms work in combination to give strong radon surface anomalies over deep sources. Among these mechanisms are type of the bedrocks, prospect source minerals of radon, structural elements and radioelements distribution.

Key Words: Late Carboniferous - Rod El Hamal Formation - Qiseib Formation - Wadi Araba - radioactive minerals - uranium potentiality - radioelements - α -track technique.

Contents

	Page
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vi

CHAPTER ONE **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General Statement.....	1
1.2 Paleozoic Uranium Provinces of Egypt and Adjacent Countries.....	1
1.3 Location of the Study Area.....	3
1.4 Topography and Geomorphology.....	4
1.5 Aim of the Study.....	6
1.6 Previous Work.....	7

CHAPTER TWO **METHODOLOGY**

2.1 General Statement.....	21
2.2 Field Work.....	22
2.2.1 Studying the different exposed rock types.....	22
2.2.2 Studying the various structural elements.....	24
2.2.3 Radiometric studies of the different rock types.....	24
2.2.3.1 Surface radiometric distribution.....	25
2.2.3.2 Subsurface radiometric distribution.....	25
A. Set-up of radon detector cups.....	26
B. Set-up of radon detector chamber.....	28
2.3 Microscopic Investigations.....	30
2.3.1 Thin sections examination.....	30
2.3.2 Studied α -tracks.....	30
2.3.3 Heavy minerals identification.....	30
2.4 Mineralogical Analysis.....	31
2.4.1 Grinding and sieving the samples.....	31
2.4.2 Heavy liquid separation.....	31
2.4.3 Magnetic separation.....	32
2.4.4 X-ray diffraction (XRD).....	33
2.4.5 Scanning electron microscope (SEM).....	34
2.5 Geochemical Studies.....	34
2.5.1 Major oxides, trace elements and loss on ignition.....	34
2.5.2 Uranium and thorium elements.....	35
2.5.3 Chemical etching for CR-39 detectors.....	35
2.6 Radioactivity Analyses.....	36

Contents

CHAPTER THREE **GEOLOGY AND LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY**

Page

3.1 Regional Geological Setting.....	38
3.2 Upper Carboniferous Rocks.....	38
3.3 Lithostratigraphy.....	40
3.3.1 Member “V” of Rod El-Hamal Formation.....	43
3.3.1.1 Lower unit.....	43
3.3.1.2 Middle unit	44
3.3.1.3 Upper unit	48
3.3.2 Qiseib Formation.....	49
3.3.2.1 Lower Qiseib Formation.....	50
3.3.2.2 Upper Qiseib Formation.....	51
3.3.3 Facies of the upper Rod El Hamal and Qiseib formations.....	52
3.4 Lithostratigraphic Sections.....	53

CHAPTER FOUR **GEOLOGIC STRUCTURES**

4.1 General Statement.....	56
4.2 Primary Structures.....	61
4.2.1 Bedding.....	61
4.2.2 Lamination.....	63
4.2.3 Fissility.....	63
4.2.4 Ripple marks.....	65
4.2.5 Cross-bedding.....	65
4.3 Secondary Structures.....	66
4.3.1 Faults.....	66
4.3.1.1 Fault analysis.....	70
4.3.3.1 Relation between fault trends and Wadi courses.....	74
4.3.2 Folds.....	76
4.3.3 Joints.....	77
4.3.3.1 Joints in Block I.....	80
4.3.3.2 Joints in Block II.....	81
4.3.3.3 Joints in Block III.....	81
4.4 Comparative Study of Secondary Structures and Wadi Courses.....	82

CHAPTER FIVE **PETROGRAPHY AND MINERALOGY**

5.1 General Statement.....	83
5.2 Petrography of the Studied Rock Types.....	83
5.2.1 Lower unit.....	84

Contents

	Page
5.2.1.1 Quartz arenite.....	84
5.2.1.2 Quartz wacke.....	86
5.2.2 Middle unit.....	86
5.2.2.1 Shale.....	87
5.2.2.2 Sandstone.....	89
5.2.2.3 Siltstone.....	91
5.2.2.4 Marl.....	91
5.2.2.5 Dolomitic limestone.....	93
5.2.3 Upper unit.....	95
5.2.3.1 Sandstone.....	95
5.2.3.2 Shale.....	97
5.3 X-ray Diffraction Analyses.....	99
5.3.1 Non-radioactive minerals.....	100
5.3.2 Radioactive minerals.....	104
5.4 SEM-EDS Analysis.....	105

CHAPTER SIX **GEOCHEMISTRY**

6.1 General Statements.....	110
6.2 Geochemistry of the Studied Rock Types.....	110
6.2.1 Major oxides composition.....	110
6.2.2 Trace elements composition.....	116
6.3 Geochemical Classification.....	120
6.4 Normalized Patterns.....	122
6.5 Weathering.....	123
6.6 Maturity.....	126
6.7 Paleo-Environments of Deposition.....	128
6.8 Provenance and Tectonic Setting.....	129
6.9 Genesis of the Studied Rocks.....	134
6.10 Contamination Index.....	135
6.11 Elemental Ratio.....	136

CHAPTER SEVEN **RADIOACTIVITY**

7.1 Introduction.....	138
7.2 Radioelements Distribution in the Rock Units through Field Work..	141
7.3 Radioelements Distribution in Selected Samples.....	150
7.3.1 Relationships between radioelements and other elements.....	158
7.3.2 Normalized patterns.....	161