

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Architecture Engineering

# Towards Enhancing Building Information Modeling Implementation in the Egyptian AEC industry

A thesis Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Master of Science Degree in Architecture Engineering

By

#### **Nouran Mohamed Elsaid Soliman Elabd**

BSc in Architecture 2012- Ain Shams University

**Under Supervision of** 

#### **Professor Dr. Samir Sadek Hosny**

Professor of Architecture Ain Shams University

#### Assoc. Prof.Dr. Laila Mohamed khodeir

Assoc. Professor of Architecture
Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Sherif Morad AbdelKader AbdelMohsen

Assistant Professor of Architecture
Ain Shams University



# Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Department of Architecture Engineering

# Towards Enhancing Building Information Modeling Implementation in the Egyptian AEC industry

| Submitted by: Nouran Mohame   | ed Elsaid Soliman Elabd      |                |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Degree:</b> Master of Science Degr   | ree in Architecture          |                |
| Examiners' Committee  |                              | Signature      |
| Professor Dr.Basel Ahmed Kam  | nel                          |                |
| Professor of Architecture<br>Department of Architecture<br>Faculty of Engineering - Cairo U | niversity                    |                |
| Professor Dr. Yasser Mohamed  | Mansour                      |                |
| Professor of Architecture   |                              |                |
| Department of Architecture  |                              |                |
| Faculty of Engineering - Ain Sha  | ms University                |                |
| Professor Dr. Samir Sadek Hosi  | ny                           |                |
| Professor of Architecture   |                              |                |
| Department of Architecture  |                              |                |
| Faculty of Engineering - Ain Sha  | ·                            |                |
| Assoc. Professor Dr. Laila Moha   |                              |                |
| Department of Architecture  |                              |                |
| Faculty of Engineering - Ain Sha  | ms University                |                |
| Post Graduate studies   |                              | Approval Stamp |
| Date of Thesis Defence  | The Research was approved on |                |
| //  | /                            |                |
| Faculty Council Approval:   | University Council Approval: |                |

..../..../....

.../..../....

### **Disclaimer**

This thesis is submitted as partial fulfillment of M.Sc degree in Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author during the period from 2014 to 2016, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is her own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

| Name: Noura | n Mohamed Elsaid Soliman Elabo |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Signature:  |                                |
| Date:       |                                |

#### **Acknowledgement**

First, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors: *Prof. Dr. Samir Sadek* for his continuous support and encouragement; Assoc. *Prof. Dr. Laila Khodeir* for her valuable feedback and guidance -She was the first to put me on this track and I would like to thank her for believing in me- *Dr. Sherif Morad* for his insightful comments and tolerance. I pride myself on being one of their students so thank you for giving me this opportunity.

To my parents and my husband who supported me on every step on my way and whom without I would never have been able to finish my thesis.

I would like to thank the team work and the manager at CCC (Consolidated Contractors Company) for the motivation and support, special thanks do to Eng.Ahmed Ezzat for guiding my research and giving me from his precious time for interviews to make the analytical studies meet the professional career and get out with real results.

And at last but not least, i would also like to thank my brother Sherief Elabd who was always willing to help and give his best suggestions

#### **Abstract**

Since the expression "Building Information Modeling" (BIM) was initially presented in the Engineering and Construction AEC industry in the most recent decade; it has changed numerous parts of the design, construction, and operation of a building. BIM is a Middleware; connector represents the advancement and utilization of PC .

BIM has various frameworks which have been conducted by the pioneers in BIM industry to enhance the BIM process. Then there was a study of the reflection of those frameworks on the Egyptian AEC industry to overcome the threats that prevent Egypt from applying BIM technology more broadly.

In addition, a comparison is conducted between the successful countries which implemented BIM in their projects, and managed to enhance their adoption through studying their local challenges and targets then made strategies and standards to overcome those threats, and then applied successful actions which can match with the Egyptian industrial requirements.

This research is expected to define the challenges which are facing the Egyptian industry to apply BIM and the potential capabilities of solving those problems. To acquire the vital information to carry on this research a questionnaire was created and distributed in the AEC community. The reason for the study was to see how experts consider BIM as a device in the fields of design and construction in general and in the Egyptian industry particularly.

Finally several case studies are discussed, analyzed and compared, in order to explore the importance of using BIM and the effect of different parameters on implementing BIM, which helped in proposing BIM framework with evaluating matrix contains attributes to measure its success and to help the Egyptian companies make real business decisions about enhancing BIM implementation through this framework.

#### **Keywords**

Building Information Modeling- AEC (Architecture, Engineering, Construction) Industry-BIM Standards-Egyptian Firms-BIM Frameworks-Return on Investment-SWOT Analysis-Man Hours

# **List of Acronyms**

| ADA      | Arriyadh Development Authority                                   |
|----------|--|
| AEC      | Architecture, Engineering, Construction                          |
| AIA      | American Institute of Architects                                 |
| BDS      | Building Depiction System  |
| BEM      | Building Energy Modeling   |
| BHS      | Baggage Handling System  |
| BIM      | Building Information Modeling                                    |
| BOQ      | Bill of Quantity   |
| Brep     | Boundary representation  |
| CAD      | Computer Aided Design  |
| CBA      | cost-Benefit Analysis  |
| CSG      | Constructive solid geometry                                      |
| DFMA     | Designed for Manufacture and Assembly                            |
| DIS      | Draft International Standards                                    |
| FM       | Facility Management  |
| GPA      | Government Property Agency                                       |
| HA       | The Housing Authority  |
| HVAC     | Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning                       |
| IFC      | Industry Foundation Classes                                      |
| IPD      | Integrated Project Delivery                                      |
| LOD      | Level of Detail  |
| MEP      | Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing                                 |
| NBIMS-US | National Institute of Building Information Modeling Sciences –   |
|          | United States  |
| nD       | "n" Is the Unspecified Number of Dimensions, Essentially Forming |
|          | An (n) Model   |
| PAS      | Publically Available Standards                                   |
| PM       | Project Manager  |
| RCBA     | Risk & Cost-Benefit Analysis                                     |
| RFI      | Request For Information  |
| ROI      | Return on Investment   |
| RPTP     | Riyadh Public Transport Project                                  |
| QS       | Quantity Surveying   |
| WBS      | Work Breakdown Structure   |
| 2D       | Two-Dimensional  |
| 3D       | Three-Dimensional  |
| 4D       | Four-Dimensional 3D+Schedule Related Information (Time)          |
| 5D       | Five-Dimensional 3D+ (Time+Cost)                                 |
| 6D       | Six-Dimensional 3D+All Aspects of Project Lifecycle              |
|          | Management Information   |

# **List of Definitions**

| 3D Parametric Modeling                                 | (3D Model with Attributes) D Parametric Modeling (3D Model with Attributes) Model elements not only include visual aspects of the building elements they represent, but also have the properties (or knowledge) of the solids they represent.   |
|--|---|
| BIM  | Building Information Modeling is the development and use of a computer software model to simulate the construction and operation of a facility. The resulting model, a Building Information Model, is a data-rich, object-oriented, intelligent and parametric digital representation of the facility, from which views and data appropriate to various users' needs can be extracted and analyzed to generate information that can be used to make decisions and improve the process of delivering the facility. |
| 4D Model   | Model Term used to describe the linkage of a schedule to a model—essentially turning on model elements in the order in which they are built.  |
| 5D Model   | Model Term used to describe the linkage of estimating software to a model—element quantities are downloaded from the model database and imported directly into estimating software.   |
| Construction   | The process of ensuring that the various elements of a building   |
| Coordination   | are constructed in a sequence that allows the various elements of<br>the building to be.  |
| Coordination Model                                     | A Building Information Model that is developed from a completed design. The creation of the model is an interpretation of a design as opposed to the creation of a design.  |
| Design Coordination                                    | The process of ensuring that the various elements of the design (architectural, structural, electrical, etc.) fit together and complement one another.  |
| Interoperability                                       | (as it relates to BIM) The ability of data rich models to share valuable data, either through import or export.   |
| Integrated project delivery (IPD)                      | a collaborative alliance of people, systems, business structures and practices into a process that harnesses the talents and insights of all participants to optimize project results, increase value to the owner, reduce waste, and maximize efficiency through all phases of design, fabrication, and construction.  |
| Obstacles to standard implementation of BIM technology | Necessity to concurrently perform the activities of design and construction; divergent protocols of data input and extraction; the lack of an appropriate software platform to catalog all aspects of the project; and the lack of sufficient infrastructure to provide access to the model by all members of the project team.   |

# **Table of Contents**

| 1<br>Mode | CHAPTER1: INTRODUCTION TO BUILDING INFOR                |    |
|-----------|---|----|
| MODE      | LING BASICS   | 3  |
| 1.1       | Introduction  | 3  |
| 1.2       | Historical Background of Building Information Modeling  | 4  |
| 1.2.1     |   |    |
| 1.3       | Progress of Building Information Modeling Software      | 5  |
| 1.3.1     | ArchiCAD Software                                       |    |
| 1.3.2     | Revit Software  | 6  |
| 1.3.3     | Bentley Systems   |    |
| 1.4       | Building Information Modeling Basics                    | 10 |
| 1.4.1     | Building Information Modeling conception and definition | 11 |
| 1.4.2     | Building Information Modeling Characteristics           |    |
| 1.4.3     | Building Information Modeling Advantages                | 13 |
| 1.4.4     | Building Information Modeling Disadvantages             | 15 |
| 1.4.5     | Building Information Modeling Benefits                  | 16 |
| 1.4.6     | Building Information Modeling Risks:                    | 17 |
| 1.4.7     | Building Information Modeling Challenges                | 18 |
| 1.4.8     | BIM Environment Interoperability                        | 23 |
| 1.4.9     | BIM and Integrated Project Delivery                     | 27 |
| 1.5       | Concluding Remarks                                      | 30 |
| 2         | CHAPTER2: ASSESSMENT OF BIM FRAME                       |    |
| AND S     | STANDARDS WORLDWIDE                                     | 32 |
| 2.1       | Introduction  | 32 |
| 2.2       | Frameworks for Building Information Modeling            | 33 |
| 2.2.1     | Succar BIM Framework                                    |    |
| 2.2.2     | Autodesk Framework                                      |    |
| 2.2.3     |   |    |

| 2.3  | Comparison between the previous frameworks                            | 43      |
|------|---|---------|
| 2.4  | Assessment of BIM Frameworks and Standards Worldwide                  | 45      |
| 2.5  | Concluding Remarks  | 55      |
| 3    | CHAPTER3: ANALYSIS OF CURRENT PRACTICE O                              |         |
| APP  | LICATION IN THE EGYPTIAN AEC INDUSTRY                                 | 59      |
| 3.1  | Introduction  | 59      |
| 3.2  | Questionnaire Design  | 60      |
| 3.2. | 1 Identify the Respondents' Profile                                   | 60      |
| 3.2. | 2 Use of BIM Application in the Company                               | 61      |
| 3.2. | 3 Measure the extent of the spread of BIM in Egypt                    | 62      |
| 3.2. | 4 Section 4: Solutions Needed to Expand the Use of BIM                | 62      |
| 3.2. | .5 Section 5: Evaluation Matrix for BIM Process (Pros and Cons)       | 63      |
| 3.2. | 6 Section 6: Futuristic Vision of BIM Improvement                     | 64      |
| 3.3  | Sample Population   | 64      |
| 3.4  | Questionnaire Results   | 65      |
| 3.4. | 1 Analysis of "1" Section Results                                     | 66      |
| 3.4. | 2 Analysis of section "2" Results                                     | 67      |
| 3.4. | 3 Analysis of Section "3" Results                                     | 72      |
| 3.4. | 4 Analysis of Section "4" Results                                     | 74      |
| 3.4. | .5 Analysis of Section "5" Results                                    | 76      |
| 3.4. | 6 Analysis of Section "6" Results                                     | 80      |
| 3.5  | Concluding Remarks  | 82      |
| 3.5. | 1 The Positive Attributes   | 82      |
| 3.5. | 2 The Negative Attributes   | 85      |
| 4    | CHAPTER4: CASE STUDIES ANALYSIS AND THE PR                            | OPOSED  |
| FRAI | MEWORK  |         |
| 4.1  | Introduction  | 91      |
|      |   |         |
| 4.2  | Criteria of the Case Studies Selection Approach                       | 91      |
| 4.2. | 1 The Case Studies Attributes   | 92      |
| 4.3  | Case Study no.1: Midfield Terminal Building of the Abu Dhabi Airport, | , UAE96 |

| 4.3.1 | Attribute (3): Project Information                             | 97  |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.3.2 | 2 Attribute (4): Project Requirements                          | 99  |
| 4.3.3 | 3 Attribute (5): Challenges Faced                              | 99  |
| 4.3.4 | Attribute (6): BIM Implementation                              | 99  |
| 4.3.5 | 5 Attribute (7): Project Management                            | 107 |
| 4.3.6 | 5 Attribute (8): Sustainability (BEM)                          | 108 |
| 4.4   | Case study 2: Riyadh Metro Project, Saudi Arabia               | 109 |
| 4.4.1 | Attribute (3): Project information                             | 110 |
| 4.4.2 | 2 Attribute (4): Project requirements                          | 111 |
| 4.4.3 | 3 Attribute (5): Challenges                                    | 111 |
| 4.4.4 | Attribute (6): BIM Implementation (Process implementation)     | 113 |
| 4.4.5 | Attribute (7): Project management                              | 118 |
| 4.5   | Case study3:The Nile Corniche Project in Cairo, Egypt          | 121 |
| 4.5.1 | Attribute (3): Project Information                             | 121 |
| 4.5.2 | 2 Attribute (4): Project requirements                          | 122 |
| 4.5.3 | 3 Attribute (5): Challenges Faced                              | 122 |
| 4.5.4 | Attribute (6): BIM implementation                              | 123 |
| 4.5.5 | 5 Attribute (7): Project Management                            | 128 |
| 4.6   | Conclusion   | 130 |
| 4.7   | The Proposed Framework   | 133 |
| 4.7.1 | Generation process of the framework                            | 133 |
| 4.7.2 | 2 Elements of the Framework                                    | 134 |
| 4.7.3 | B Evaluation of BIM framework implementation by the user group | 137 |
| 4.7.4 | Limitation of the Framework                                    | 138 |
| CONC  | LUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS                                     | 141 |
| 5     | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS                                 | 142 |
|       |  |     |
| 5.1   | Conclusion   | 142 |
| 5.2   | Recommendation   | 144 |
| DEED  | ENCES  | 146 |
| NEFK  | ENCES  | 140 |

# **List of Figures**

| Figure 1-1 Courtesy of The Business Value of BIM in North America, The Business    |
|--|
| Value of BIM in North America:Multi –Year Trend Analysis and User Ratings          |
| SmartMarket Report,McGraw-Hill Construction,20124                                  |
| Figure 1-2Example on the project which used Revit (The Freedom Tower used 3D       |
| model instead of working on 2D, CTBUH (Council on Tall Buildings and Urban         |
| Habitat)Journal 2011 Issue III   |
| Figure 1-3 Screen shot of project using Bentley software                           |
| Figure 1-4 BIM dimensionality, hierarchy from 3D BIM model till 7D BIM model 13    |
| Figure 1-5 A graphical representation shows the difference between the tow         |
| processes, By having BIM model all the data will be extracted by click but in the  |
| CAD process all the data has to be available to extract CAD model15                |
| Figure 1-6 Respondent areas of operation, Building smart 201123                    |
| Figure 1-7 Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) interoperability, Tekla - Revit BIM   |
| Workflow Example , http://www.dowcotech.com/tekla_revit_interoperability           |
| <2014>24   |
| Figure 1-8 Interoperability environment components24                               |
| Figure 1-9 3D View and Section Views of the G.G. Brown Building in Autodesk Revit  |
| 2012, to examine potential interoperability weaknesses of the IFC format 25        |
| Figure 1-10 Error window appearing after importing IFC file to Revit 2011 26       |
| Figure 1-11 BIM model after importing IFC file to Autodesk Revit 201126            |
| Figure 1-12 Changes to the AEC industry after IPD approach28                       |
| Figure 1-13 building information modeling road map, integrated delivery 28         |
| Figure 2-1: the framework includes three interlocking Knowledge nodes, Bilal       |
| Succar, Building information modeling framework,200934                             |
| Figure 2-2 overlapping between the three nodes, Bilal Succar, Building information |
| modeling framework,200936  |
| Figure 2-3BIM business transformation, show the integration of the three stages, A |
| BIM business transformation, Autodesk, 201537                                      |
| Figure 2-4key BIM implementation areas for generating change in an organization,   |
| A BIM business transformation, Autodesk, 2015                                      |
| Figure 2-5 BIM implementation wheel, A BIM business transformation,                |
| Autodesk,2015  |
| Figure 2-6 Visualized BIM implementation at the corporate level, Measuring BIM     |
| performance: Five metrics,201241   |
| Figure 2-8The hierarchy of the National BIM Standard-United States, , National     |
| Institute of Building Sciences, 2016   |

| Figure 2-9 Proposed Steps for Implementing BIM by the Chinese Government,          |
|--|
| Government roles in implementing building information modeling systems55           |
| Figure 2-10 analysis of BIM framework and standards components 56                  |
| Figure 2-11 Assessment of BIM Standards Worldwide 57                               |
| Figure 3-1Survey methodology process, generated by the researcher                  |
| Figure 3-2 Respondent's profession   |
| Figure 3-3Number of years respondents has worked in the AEC industry as a          |
| Professional   |
| Figure 3-4 Type of projects respondent's company perform work on                   |
| Figure 3-5Number of employees of respondents' company                              |
| Figure 3-6how often the respondent implemented BIM69                               |
| Figure 3-7 Number of years that the respondent's company has been using BIM.70     |
| Figure 3-8 the phase that the company use Energy analysis process70                |
| Figure 3-9 Availability of company's training/education of respondents71           |
| Figure 3-10 the respondent's opinion about BIM trainings                           |
| Figure 3-11 The budget needed to implement BIM in respondent's                     |
| project(including training stuff)  |
| Figure 3-12 the activities which the respondents are doing through using BIM 73    |
| Figure 3-13the phases which the respondent's company use BIM in any project 73     |
| Figure 3-14 this chart show if the respondents are facing any problems during      |
| importing model from Revit /Archicad program to the next program in the BIM        |
| process  |
| Figure 3-15 the effect of interoperability problems on the application of BIM75 $$ |
| Figure 3-16the respondent's opinion about if the use of BIM on their projects is a |
| stakeholder lead requirement75   |
| Figure 3-17 the respondent's opinion about the stakeholder satisfaction from the   |
| BIM product  |
| Figure 3-18 the respondent's opinion about which factor behind not implementing    |
|  |
| in Egypt77   |
|  |
| in Egypt   |

| Figure 3-24Comparison between the stakeholder request to use BIM and if t           | hey     |
|---|---------|
| become satisfied from the product   | 84      |
| Figure 3-25the advantages of using BIM in a project                                 | 85      |
| Figure 3-26the reasons behind why BIM is not being implemented in Egypt             | 86      |
| Figure 3-27the main problem of not using the BEM in the respondent's proje          | ct      |
| which is the client demand  | 87      |
| Figure 3-28 the questionnaire analysis  | 89      |
| Figure 4-1 the case studies attributes  | 94      |
| Figure 4-2: BIM Staff allocation in Projects and Offices                            | 95      |
| Figure 4-3: Terminal Building of the Abu Dhabi Airport,3D shot                      | 96      |
| Figure 4-4: Terminal Building of the Abu Dhabi Airport,3D shot                      | 97      |
| Figure 4-5Main components of Midfield Terminal Building of the Abu Dhabi            |         |
| Airport, UAE  | 98      |
| Figure 4-6 3d model illustrate the different facades of the project                 | 98      |
| Figure 4-7 Member marks facilitate updating the drawing and facilitate the de       | tection |
| of clashes  | 100     |
| Figure 4-8Visualization of Infrastructure Master Plan by using Bentely progra       | ım 101  |
| Figure 4-9 Progressive interface, Infrastructure Master Plan by using Bentley       |         |
| program   | 101     |
| Figure4-10 Clashes Tag with the red color shows the clashes detected at the I       | MEP     |
| systems, which will lean the process, Clash detection with navigator through        | _       |
| NaviseWorks   |         |
| Figure 4-11 Live photo of the collaboration I-Room                                  |         |
| Figure 4-12 Coordination between team members through I- Room                       |         |
| Figure 4-13 Collaboration through Web Access  |         |
| Figure 4-14 Collaboration through Markup by Remote Third Party                      | 104     |
| Figure 4-15 Enforced Workflow ,Workflow in Project Wise Explorer, CCC               |         |
| documents   |         |
| Figure 4-16 BIM hours allocation over services (Total 16098 hrs)                    |         |
| Figure 4-17Internal logistics, Site Condition on October 10th 2014                  | 106     |
| Figure 4-18Project Time Schedule  | 108     |
| Figure 4-19Filling for Suspended Slabs and Slabs on grade                           | 109     |
| Figure 4-203D model of the Riyadh Metro Project, Saudi Arabia                       | 109     |
| Figure 4-213D model of the Riyadh Metro Project, Saudi Arabia                       | 110     |
| Figure 4-22the external shell of the metro station                                  | 112     |
| Figure 4-23the metro extend 176 km with 6 lines which make challenges               | 112     |
| Figure 4-24 The progress of different activities which is the responsibility of the | ie      |
| foreman   | 115     |

| Figure 4-25Section of Riyadh metro project                                      | 115   |
|---|-------|
| Figure 4-26Visualization of the 3d model  | 116   |
| Figure 4-27Clash detection between stairs and structure system                  | .116  |
| Figure 4-28the design for the external envelope which consists of steel diagric | and   |
| one entire glazed "eye"   |       |
| Figure 4-29Manhours calculation report  |       |
| Figure 4-30Manual labor correction flow chart                                   | .120  |
| Figure 4-31Progress &performance curves ,total manual construction (all deliv   |       |
| areas   |       |
|   |       |
| Figure 4-32 3d showing the The Nile Corniche Project in Cairo, Egypt            | .122  |
| Figure 4-33Project phases and supported processes                               | .124  |
| Figure 4-34Quantification from the 3D Model                                     | .124  |
| Figure 4-35Quantification from the 3D Model                                     | .125  |
| Figure 4-36Verification of the Construction Program                             | .125  |
| Figure 4-37Usage of the 4D Model for Progress and Cost monitoring               | 125   |
| Figure 4-38the hierarchy of collaborative BIM project team                      | .126  |
| Figure 4-39Collaboration in the project   | .127  |
| Figure 4-40simulation during the project through different programs             | .127  |
| Figure 4-41Various project phases are integrated in database                    | 128   |
| Figure 4-42Man hours management for the three parts of the tower                | 129   |
| Figure 4-42 generation process of the framework                                 | .133  |
| Figure 4-44 the different sizes of the nodes that represent the framework       |       |
| Figure 4-45Inputs and outputs of the framework                                  | .137  |
| Figure 4-46 the arrow represent the loop from the performance node back ag      | ain   |
| to the government   | 137   |
| Figure 4-47 the proposed BIM framework for enhancing the implementati           | on of |
| BIM in Egypt, generated by the researcher1                                      | .40   |