

# 127, 17 27, 17 (20) 77, 17 (20









### جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



نقسم بللله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون آية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15-20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of 15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



ثبكة المعلومات الجامعية





Information Netw. " Shams Children Sha شبكة المعلومات الجامعية @ ASUNET بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأص

A. Fay

Cairo University.

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Internal Medicine,
Infectious Diseases and Fish

## STUDIES ON FMD VACCINATION IN BUFFALOES

Thesis Presented by

#### ABU BAKR MOHAMED AHMED AGGOUR

B.V.Sc. Cairo University, (1984)
M. V. Sc. Alexandria University (1991)
(Infectious Diseases)

For Ph. D. Veterinary Degree (Infectious Diseases)

Under supervision of Prof. Dr. ADEL ABDEL-AZIM FAYED

Prof. of Infectious Diseases Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Cairo University

#### Prof. Dr. HASSAN MOHAMED YOUSSEF


Prof. of Infectious Diseases
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Cairo University

#### Dr. ADEL OMAR ABDEL-RAHMAN


Chief Researcher and Head of Foot and Mouth Disease Department, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute Abbassia - Cairo

(1996)

GD ECNA



# CAIRO UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL MEDICINE, INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND FISH



#### APPROVAL SHEET

This is to approve that the dissertation presented by ABU BAKR MOHAMED AHMED AGGOUR to Cairo University entitled "Studies on FMD vaccination in buffaloes" for the degree of Ph. D. V. Sc. has been approved by the examining committee:

- Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Daoud

  Director of Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute
  Abbassia, Agricultural Research Center.
- Prof. Dr. Abdel Monaim Ahmed Salem
  Prof. of Infectious Diseases
  Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University
- Prof. Dr. Adel Abdel Azim Fayed
  Prof. of Infectious Diseases
  Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University
  Supervisor of the thesis

Date: 28 / 5 / 1996

#### Acknowledgment

I wish to express my sincere gratitude for the kindness and encouragement of Prof. Dr. Adel Abdel-Azim Fayed, Prof. of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University Under whose stimulating, supervision, guidances and criticism this work was carried out.

I should thank Prof. Dr. Hassan Mohamed Youssef, Prof. of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for his help.

I would like to offer my great appreciation to Dr. Adel Omar Abdel-Rahman, Chief Researcher, and Head of Foot and Mouth Disease Department, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbassia, Cairo, for advice, continued encouragement, valuable help and for giving me the facilities to fulfill this work.

Sincere thanks are due to Prof Dr. A.M. Daoud, Director of Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbassia, Cairo for giving me the chance to carry this work.

I should thank Dr. Samira El-Kilany, Senior Researcher in Foot and Mouth Disease Department for her encouragement and assistance during the course of this work.

My thanks are also due to all staff members of Foot and Mouth Disease Department, for their encouragement and stimulating help.

I thank my family for supporting me.

#### **CONTENTS**

ı.	11/11	RODUCTION	Ţ	
2.	LITERATURE.			
	2.1	Foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV)	5	
	2.2	Foot and mouth disease in the world		
	2.3	Foot and mouth disease in Egypt.		
	2.4	Foot and mouth disease in Buffaloes		
	2.5	Transmission of FMD		
	2.6	Susceptibility		
	2.7	Pathogenesis of FMD in farm animals	18	
	2.8	Carriers of FMDV	22	
	2.9	Laboratory diagnosis	28	
		2.9.1 Susceptibility of laboratory animals to FMDV	28	
		2.9.2 Isolation of FMDV in primary cells.	28	
		2.9.3 Laboratory diagnosis techniques	30	
		2.9.3.1 Complement fixation test (CFT)	30	
		2.9.3.2 Serum neutralization test (SNT)	34	
		2.9.3.3 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay	40	
		(ELISA)		
		2.9.3.4 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	43	
		2.9.3.5 Cross-protection test	47	
	2.10	Foot and mout disease vaccine	48	
	2.11	Control of foot and mouth disease	52	
3 -	MATERIALS AND METHODS			
	3.1	Materials	54	
		3.1.1 Large animals	54	

	3.1.2 Laboratory animals	54		
	3.1.3 Sera samples	55		
	3.1.4 Viruses	56		
	3.1.5 Tissue cultures	56		
	3.1.6 Media and antibiotics	57		
	3.1.7 Biological reagents	58		
	3.1.8 Chemical reagents	61		
	3.1.9 ELISA reagents			
	3.1.10 Reagents and equipments used for PCR			
	3.1.10.1 RNA extraction	69		
	3.1.10.2 Transcription (conversion of RNA	71		
	into c-DNA)			
	3.1.10.3 Polymerase chain reaction	71		
	3.1.10.4 Electrophoresis	74		
3.2.	Methods			
	3.2.1 Prepuration of field samples for virus isolation	75		
	3.2.2 Typing of isolates	76		
	3.2.3 Adaptation of the isolates in tissue culture	76		
	3.2.4 Virus titration	77		
	3.2.4.1 In tissue culture	. <b>77</b>		
	3.2.4.2 In baby mice	: 77		
	3.2.4.3 Antigenicity titration	78		
	3.2.4.3.1 Complement titration with	78		
	the tested antigen			
	3.2.4.3.2 The test proper	. 79		
	3.2.5 Preparation of primary cell culture	81		

. : •

-

,		3.2.6 Chloroform treatment	81
		3.2.7 Inactivation of FMDV	82
		3.2.8 Preparation of 4 batches of FMD vaccine	82
		3.2.9 Vaccine testing	84
		3.2.9.1 Sterility test	. 84
		3.2.9.2 Safety test	85
		3.2.9.3 potency test of FMD vaccines	87
		3.2.9.3.1 Passive mouse protection test	87
		3.2.10 Cross-protection test in buffaloes	88
		3.2.11 Immune response of cattle and buffaloes	89
		in different governorates vaccinated with	•
		FMD vaccine batch No. (4)	:
		3.2.12 Serological tests.	89
		3.2.12.1 Serum neutralization test	89
		3.2.12.2 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay	91
		(ELISA)	!
		3.2.12.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	93
4 -	EXP	ERIMENT AND RESULTS	
	4.1.	Isolation and characterization of FMD viruses	96
		isolated from cattle and buffaloes in different	
		outbreaks.	
	4.2	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on different FMD	100
		isolates from cattle and buffaloes.	
	4.3	Evaluation of different isolates of FMD virus by	104
		using checkerboard complement fixation test.	
	4.4.	Evaluation of sterility and safety of the BEI	132

		•	i
			<u>.</u>
		inactivated FMD vaccines.	!!:
	4.5	Cross-protection test in buffaloes vaccinated by two	136
		different FMD vaccines.	:
	4.6	Evaluation of different prepared inactivated vaccines	140
		from different isolates of FMD virus isolated from	
		cattle and buffalo by using passive protection test	
		in suckling mice.	
	4.7	Serological survey of FMD antibodies in cattle and	152
		buffaloes vaccinated with locally prepared FMD	
		vaccine in different governorates.	
5 -	DISC	CUSSION	161
6 -	SUM	IMARY	175
7 -	REF	ERENCES	18
	ARABIC SUMMARY		,

#### LIST OF TABLES

Гаb.	Title	Page
No.		No.
1	Protocol of cross-protection test in buffaloes	88
2	Typing of virus field isolates by CFT using reference	99
	hyperimmune sera	4.00
3	Matrix of pairwise similarity of transcripts from 3	103
	FMDV.	
4	Matrix of pairwise similarity scores of amino acid	103
	sequences.	
5	Results of checkerboard CFT between hyperimmune	105
	sera of $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo - Giza), $O_1/3/93$ (buffalo -	
	Giza) and their homologous and heterologous	÷
	antigens.	į
6	Results of checkerboard CFT between hyperimmune	110
	sera of $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo - Giza), $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo -	
	Ismailia) and their homologous and heterologous	
	antigens.	
7	Results of checkerboard CFT between hyperimmune	114
	sera of $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo - Giza), $O_1/3/93$ (cattle -	
	Aga) and their homologous and heterologous	
	antigens.	

8	Results of checkerboard CFT between hyperimmune	118
	sera of $O_1/3/93$ (buffalo - Giza), $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo -	r
	Ismailia) and their homologous and heterologous	
	antigens.	
9	Results of checkerboard CFT between hyperimmune	121`
	sera of $O_1/3/93$ (buffalo - Giza), $O_1/3/93$ (cattle -	
	Aga) and their homologous and heterologous	
	antigens.	
10	Results of checkerboard CFT between hyperimmune	125
	sera of $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo - Ismailia), $O_1/3/93$ (cattle -	•
	Aga) and their homologous and heterologous	
	antigens.	
11	Summary of the results of the antigenic relationship	129
	between R-value and R%-value of the different	
	isolates of FMD virus.	
12	Summary of the results of antigenic relationship and	130
	dominance between the four different isolates of	
	FMD virus.	
13	Results of safety test of the prepared vaccines of	134
	FMD in the tissue cultures.	
14	Results of safety test of the prepared vaccines of	135
	FMD in the animals.	
15	Cross challnege protection percentage in buffalo	137
	between the buffalo strain (3) $O_1/4/93$ (buffalo -	
	Ismailia) and the vaccinal cattle strain (4) $O_1/3/93$	
	(cattle - Aga).	