## Dentinal Microleakage of A Universal Adhesive:

# The Effect of Bonding Technique and Dentin Surface Condition

Thesis submitted to the Department of Operative Dentistry,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Masters Degree in
Operative Dentistry

#### By:

#### Mona Mohamed Galal Mohamed El-Atris

B.D.S., Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University, 2007

## **Supervisors**

#### Dr. Farid Mohamed Sabry El-Askary

Professor and Head of Department of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Sham University

#### Dr. Khaled Aly Nour

Associate Professor of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University



#### Acknowledgment

I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude for Dr. Farid Mohamed Sabry El-Askary, Professor and Head of Operative Department, Ain Shams University, for his continuous help and scientific devotion.

I would also like to thank **Dr. Khaled Aly Nour**, Associate Professor of Operative Dentistry for his valuable advice and continuous encouragement throughout the conduction of this study.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Zainab Diaa for her guidance and kind support.

Special thanks to **Department of Operative Dentistry Staff**, Ain Shams University, for their support with passion and helping me all through the study time.

Thanks are due to research and development department, **3M**, **ESPE** (Germany) for supplying Single Bond Universal, Filtek Z250 XT and Filtek Z350 XT-flowable composites.

All my appreciation is due to **Eng. AbdelRahman Yahia** for custom-modifying the software for this study.

Last but not least, my deepest gratitude for **Dr.Samar Kasem** for her unconditioned support and precious care.

#### Dedication

To my beloved family;

My mum, my dad, Rami, Eman, Manar and beautiful nieces. You sincerely light my path and bless every step. Thank you for your unconditioned love and devotion. No matter what I say, no words of gratitude would be enough.

#### **List of Contents**

List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations i	iv
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Aim of the Study	66
Materials and Methods	67
Results	85
Discussion	95
Summary and Conclusion	108
References	109
Arabic Summary	

#### **List of Tables**

Table 1.	Materials, composition, manufacturer and lot # of	
	materials used	67
Table 2.	Levels of Investigation	73
Table 3.	Interaction between the variables	73
Table 4.	Adhesive Procedure Summary	75
Table 5.	Effect of bonding technique, dentin surface condition and storage time on microleakage	
	percentages	86
Table 6.	Medians for the effect of bonding technique, dentin	
	surface condition and storage time on microleakage	
	linear scores of Single Bond Universal Adhesive.	88

### **List of Figures**

Figure 1.	10-MDP molecular structure	23
Figure 2.	4-MET molecular structure	23
Figure 3.	Cavity preparation	70
Figure 4.	Schematic illustration of cavity preparation and corresponding step in specimens	72
Figure 5.	Etch-and-Rinse groups bonding and restoration.	76
Figure 6.	Self-Etch groups bonding and restoration	76
Figure 7.	Ultrasonic cleaning of specimens	78
Figure 8.	Application of caries indicating dye	78
Figure 9.	Stereomicroscope imaging	79
Figure 10.	MATLAB® user interface	82
Figure 11.	Image analysis by MATLAB®	83
Figure 12.	Bar chart showing difference in microleakage percentage of different groups with different bonding techniques and dentin surface conditions	87
Figure 13.	Histogram chart showing the median scores of	
	microleakage in each group	89
Figure 14.	Candle-Stick chart showing effect of storage time on linear microleakage scores regardless of bonding modes or dentin surface condition.	
	Confidence Interval =95%	90

Figure 15.	Bar chart showing effect of storage time on	
	microleakage scores regardless of bonding modes	
	or dentin surface condition	90
Fig. 16	Dan ah ant ah ayyin a diffanan aa in mi analaalaa	
Figure 16.	Bar chart showing difference in microleakage	
	percentage in specimens stored of ER versus	
	specimens of SE technique regardless of	
	dentin surface condition or storage time	91
Figure 17.	Bar chart showing difference in microleakage	
	percentage in specimens restored with dry dentin	
	surface condition versus specimens restored with	
	moist dentin surface condition regardless of	
	bonding modes or storage time	92
Eigung 10	Comples of each and rings groups anasimons	0.2
Figure 18.	Samples of etch-and-rinse groups specimens	93
Figure 19.	Samples of self-etch groups specimens	94

#### **List of Abbreviations**

Abbreviation (Acronym)	Synonym	
ERA	Etch-and-rinse adhesive	
SEA	Self-etch adhesive	
UA	Universal Adhesive	
Нар	Hydroxyapatite	
HEMA	Two hydroxyethyl methacrylate	
10-MDP	10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogenphosphate	
4-MET	4-methacryloyloxyethyl trimellitic acid	
Phenyl-P	2-(methacryloyloxyethyl)phenyl	
	hydrogenphosphate	
MMP	Matrix metalloproteinases	
Bis-GMA	Bisphenol A diglycidyl methacrylate	
Bis-HPPP	is-HPPP Bis-hydroxy-propoxy-phenylpropane	
TEGDMA	Triethylene glycol dimethacrylate	
Bis-EMA	Ethoxylated bisphenol A glycol dimethacrylate	
PEGDMA	Polyethylene glycol dimethacrylate	

## INTRODUCTION

ong ago, the enamel-resin bond had been proven to be durable. But, this is not the case with dentin. Achieving and maintaining the critical dentinal peripheral seal is pivotal to the durability of composite restorations. This partially depends on comprehensive understanding and proper application of manufacturer's instructions of the used adhesive.

The conception of the "proper protocol" became a huge mental burden for most dental practitioners due to the diversity of products and the associated manufacturers' instructions. So manufacturers are directed towards simplifying bonding protocols. Simplified protocols became a valuable quality of the adhesives, fortunately, provided by the multi-mode or universal adhesives. These adhesives have the chemical advantage of bonding effectively to all substrates, with all bonding techniques and on all dentin surface conditions. Being multi-mode, they provide simplicity and user-friendliness. However, we now realize that this advantage is on the expense of the long term performance of the adhesive. By far, long-term durability of Universal Adhesives as most simplified adhesives is not yet established.

The long-term sealing ability and performance of any adhesive should be thoroughly tested. To simulate the clinical situation, the adhesive is put in a surrogate state to that of the oral condition as much as possible as. That way, specified parameter(s)' effect on the adhesive performance can be assessed. Artificial aging is expected to decrease the bonding effectiveness and compromise the critical marginal seal. Moreover, in the actual dynamic oral environment, the adhesive is

supposed to survive all chemical, mechanical and physical parameters and maintain the integrity of the interface.

The paradox in the multiple abilities of universal adhesives to bond effectively with different bonding techniques, to different dentin surface conditions and to different substrates are attributed to its chemical structure; mainly presence of hydrophilic acidic <sup>2</sup> and other <sup>3</sup> monomers and silane coupling agent <sup>1</sup>, all of which are hydrolytically unstable, in addition to high water and solvent content <sup>4</sup>. The immediate and short term sealing ability of this newly developed adhesive have been established for some products. Therefore, it was found beneficial to evaluate maintenance of this sealing ability on the long term and whether it would yield different results when used in different modes, under different surface wetness conditions of the substrate after long-term water storage.

# REVIEW OF LITERATURE

he continuous breakthroughs in adhesion science and evolution of dental adhesives had recently led to less technique sensitivity, user friendliness and less chairtime 5,6,7,8,9. All-in-one adhesives spread in the market and used extensively for their less application time, and reduce the possibility of iatrogenic errors in clinical manipulation during etching, rinsing and drying<sup>6</sup>. They include, one-step self-etch adhesives, and the universal or multi-mode adhesives that were recently launched in the market<sup>10</sup>. Dentists strive for a durable adhesive beside the fore-mentioned advantages. And the ultimate goal of good adhesion remains to produce an interface that is strong and durable<sup>11</sup> and with a good marginal seal as well<sup>12</sup>. Unfortunately, most recent adhesives are not as durable as they were assigned to be<sup>2</sup>; consequently, the marginal seal is affected over time. In order to simplify the application steps, manufacturers added the ionic resin monomers with acidic phosphate or carboxylic functional groups, hydrophilic monomers, hydrophobic monomers, water and organic polar solvents in a single bottle <sup>5, 7, 12, 13</sup>. This onebottled complex chemistry became more hydrophilic <sup>3, 14</sup>. Still, the three-step etch-and-rinse adhesives are considered as the gold standard in terms of durability 12, 15, 16, 17, and still the bonded interface is considered the weakest area of tooth-colored restorations 15, 18, 19. Clinically, marginal deterioration of composite restorations remains however problematic in the long term and still forms the major reason to replace adhesive restorations<sup>20</sup>. The durability of the adhesive/dentin bond is directly related to the quality of the hybrid layer that connects the bulk adhesive to the subjacent intact dentin <sup>21</sup>. A well-formed interface should have minimal imperfections. Ideally, the adhesive