

List of Contents

Title	Page
▪ List of Abbreviations	I
▪ List of Tables	II
▪ List of Figures	III
▪ Introduction & Rationale	1
▪ Aim of the Study	3
▪ Chapter (I): Overview of Evidence based Medicine	4
▪ Chapter (II): Acute Pediatric Illness scoring systems and predictors of mortality	17
▪ Chapter (III): Evidence based medicine on Acute Pediatric Illness scoring systems and predictors of mortality	59
▪ Summary	67
▪ Conclusion	69
▪ References	71
▪ Arabic Summary	--

List of Abbreviations

AIDS	Autoimmune deficiency syndrome
APACHE	Acute Physiologic and Chronic Health Evaluation
APS	Acute Physiology Score
CCS	Clinical Classification System
CENTRAL	The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials
CRD database	Centre for Reviews and Dissemination Databases
DARE	The Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects
EBM	Evidence Based Medicine
GCS	Glasgow Coma Scale
HTA	Health Technology Assessment Database
ICNARC	Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre
ICU	intensive care unit
ISS	Injury Severity Score
LODS	Logistic Organ Dysfunction Score
LOS	Length of Stay
MODS	Multiple Organ Dysfunction Score
MPM	Mortality Prediction Model
MPM	Mortality Prediction Model
NHS EED	NHS Economic Evaluation Database
PICO	Patient or problem, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome
PICU	Paediatric Intensive Care Unit
PIM	Pediatric Index of Mortality

List of Abbreviations

PRISM	Pediatric Risk of Mortality
PSI	Physiologic Stability Index
PSI	Physiologic Stability Index
PTS	Pediatric Trauma Score
RCR	Randomized Controlled research
ROC	receiver operating characteristic
RTC	Randomized Controlled Trials
SAPS	Simplified Acute Physiologic Score
SOFA	Sequential Organ Failure Assessment
TISS	Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (1.1):	Essential components structured in the PICO format for good clinical question.....	8
Table (1.2):	Types of good clinical questions.....	8
Table (1.3):	Options for changing clinicians' practice behavior.....	11
Table (1.4):	The Cochrane Library elements	14
Table (1.5):	Evidence-based databases.....	15
Table (2.1):	Characteristics of the ideal scoring system	21
Table (2.2):	Simplified acute physiologic score II (SAPS II).....	34
Table (2.3):	Interpretation of Total PRISM III score	38
Table (2.4):	Mortality prediction model II (MPM II).....	42
Table (2.5):	Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA)	45

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (1.1):	Evidence based medicine triad	13
Fig. (1.2):	Types of researches used in EBM	16

Abstract

Introduction: Monitoring of an acutely ill child is done by collecting physiological data with the help of invasive and non-invasive procedures. Monitoring requirements are divided into hemodynamic, pulmonary, and neurologic monitoring which in turn could be invasive or non-invasive. **Aim of the Work:** The aim of this study is to review the literature about the most recent evidence based medicine on the commonly used scores of acute illness in pediatrics in order to increase awareness on using them in the routine care of these acutely ill patients. **Summary:** Evidence based medicine (EBM) is conscious, specific, reasonable use of modern, best evidences in making decisions about treatment of individual patients. Its real purpose is that by the use of the best possible evidence doctor chooses for his patient the best possible solution, wanting to provide to him the optimum health care in every aspect. It is also used to avoid major mistakes in the course of treatment, and by this raises the quality of provided health care to the patient. In a wider context, it can save the lives of our patients.

Keywords: Based Medicine, Pediatric Illness, Scoring Systems

INTRODUCTION & RATIONALE

Monitoring of an acutely ill child is done by collecting physiological data with the help of invasive and non-invasive procedures. Monitoring requirements are divided into hemodynamic, pulmonary, and neurologic monitoring which in turn could be invasive or non-invasive. Scoring systems are useful for making triage decisions and assessing the performance of an intensive care unit. Stabilization of a critical ill child includes restoration of physiological status of the cardiovascular, respiratory, central nervous and renal systems and also nutritional support (*Kini, 2009*).

Critically ill children are characterized by large variations in the normal body homeostasis. These variations can be estimated by the drift of the physiological variables from the normal range. Scores can be constructed from deviations of these drifted variables. Broadly, these scores can be divided into two categories. The first category belongs to the prognostic scores which predict the risk of death at the time of entry into Intensive Care Unit (ICU). The other category is of the descriptive or outcome scores which describe the course of illness after the admission into the ICU. The scoring systems provide objective measures for inter- and intra-unit comparisons with time and also provide useful information for comparing the severity of illness of patients, at the time of enrollment into clinical trials (*Gulla and Sachdev, 2016*).

The various scoring systems used in the pediatric intensive care unit are categorized as Organ specific scores like Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and croup score; Scores based on mechanism of injury like Pediatric Trauma Score (PTS) and Injury Severity Score (ISS) and lastly other Pediatric scoring systems as Pediatric Index of Mortality (PIM), Physiologic Stability Index (PSI) and Pediatric Risk of Mortality (PRISM) (*Grinkeviciūte et al., 2007*).

In this review, we tried to focus on the various scoring system available for predicting mortality risk in pediatric critical care setting, their present, past and future and their uses in ICU management and administration.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study is to review the literature about the most recent evidence based medicine on the commonly used scores of acute illness in pediatrics in order to increase awareness on using them in the routine care of these acutely ill patients.

CHAPTER (I): OVERVIEW OF EVIDENCE BASED MEDICINE

Definition:

Evidence Based Medicine (EBM) is the conscientious, and reasonable use of modern, best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients, integrating clinical experience and patient values with the best available research information, aiming to increase the use of high quality clinical research in clinical decision making. EBM requires new skills of the clinician, including efficient literature-searching, and the application of formal rules of evidence in evaluating the clinical literature (*Masic et al., 2008*).

EBM application means relating individual clinical signs, individual clinical experience with the best scientific evidences obtained by the clinical research (*Sackett et al., 2000*).

The revised and improved definition of evidence-based medicine is a systematic approach to clinical problem solving to allow the integration of the best available research evidence with clinical expertise and patient values. Under the individual clinical noticing we thought of the ability, skill that doctors acquired during years of clinical practice,

and clinical experience is necessary and indispensable part that makes a good doctor. The best scientific evidence is considered to be a randomized controlled clinical study conducted on the amount of respondents that can prove the effectiveness of many drugs, as well as the harm and the inefficacy of others in comparison with the best existing therapy (*Sackett et al., 1996*).

Evidence-based medicine into the field of practice:

The practice of evidence-based medicine is a process of lifelong, self-directed, problem-based learning in which caring for one's own patients creates the need for clinically important information about diagnosis, prognosis, therapy and other clinical and health care issues. One of the greatest achievements of evidence-based medicine has been the development of systematic reviews and meta-analyses, methods by which researchers identify multiple studies on a topic, separate the best ones and then critically analyze them to come up with a summary of the best available evidence (*Masic et al., 2008*).

Categories of Evidence-based medicine:

Evidence-based medicine categorizes different types of clinical evidence and ranks them according to the strength of their freedom from the various biases that beset medical research (*British Medical Association, 1995*):

I.

- a) Evidences obtained by meta-analysis of several Randomized Controlled research (RCR).
- b) Evidences from only one RCR.

II.

- a) Evidences from well-designed controlled research RCR.
- b) Evidences from one quasi experimental research.

III. Evidences from non-experimental studies (comparative research, case study), according to some, for example Textbooks.

IV. Evidences from experts and clinical practice.

The EBM focus on three tasks: a) to use evidence summaries in clinical practice; b) to help develop and update selected systematic reviews or evidence-based guidelines in their area of expertise; and c) to enrol patients in studies of treatment, diagnosis and prognosis on which medical practice is based. New in the application of EBM is that making decisions about treatment for each individual patient is a complex process that allows doctors and patients to select the best possible solutions for each individual patient. As the EBM includes the routine use of

the best scientific evidences obtained by clinical research, they are actually impossible before electronic databases in the early 90's occurred (*Masic et al., 2008*).

Basic elements of evidence-based medicine and five steps to apply evidence based approach in the daily practice:

The basic elements of evidence-based medicine include asking clinical questions that can be answered by research, finding the best available evidence, judging whether the evidence is accurate and applicable to your patients, and applying this evidence in practice (*Sackett et al., 2000*).

1. Problem definition:

A clinician starts the search for the best and newest data needed to solve individual patient's problem by formulating an answerable clinical question, which must be clear, directly focused on the problem, and answerable by searching the medical literature:

a. PICO format

A good clinical question should have four essential components structured in the PICO format (Patient or problem, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) as follows (**Table 1.1**):

Table (1.1): Essential components structured in the PICO format for good clinical question (*Masic et al., 2008*):

i. The patient or problem-who are the relevant patients, what kind of problem we try to solve?
ii. The intervention-what is the management strategy, diagnostic test or exposure (drugs, diagnostic test, foods or surgical procedure)?
iii. Comparison of interventions-what is the control or alternative management strategy, test or exposure that we will compare?
iv. The outcome-what are the patient-relevant consequences of the exposure in which we are interested?

b. Type of clinical question

The most common type of clinical question is about how to treat a disease or condition. Such questions are questions about intervention. Types of clinical questions as follows (**Table 1.2**):

Table (1.2): Types of good clinical questions (*Masic et al., 2008*):

i. Questions about intervention
ii. Questions about etiology and risk factors
iii. Questions about frequency and rate
iv. Questions about diagnosis
v. Questions about prognosis and prediction
vi. Question about cost-effectiveness
vii. Question about phenomena

II. Search for wanted sources of information:

The ideal information source is valid (contains high quality data), relevant (clinically applicable), comprehensive (has data on all benefits and harms of all possible interventions), and is user-friendly (is quick and easy to access and use).

III. Critical evaluation of the information:

Critical assessment of is a process that involves careful reading and analysis of methodology, contents and conclusions. A key question that should be kept in mind is “Do I believe in the results enough that I’ll be ready to a similar approach, or in achievement of similar results with my patients?” Skills to obtain the ability of critical evaluation should be learned and practiced as any other clinical skills (*Masic et al., 2008*).

IV. Application of information of the patient:

The decision how to apply acquired information on the special circumstances pertaining to each patient and is the most complex. The questions that we should ask before the decision to apply the results of the study are (*Sackett et al., 2000*):

- a. Are the participants in the study similar enough to my patient?