

Measurement of Immunoglobulin A and Immunoglobulin M and their relation to treatment outcome of Immune Thrombocytopenic Purpura

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in Internal Medicine

Presented by

Aya Adel Mohamed Ahmed

M.B., *B.Ch*

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Abdel Rahman Abdel Hamid Soliman

Professor of Internal Medicine and Clinical Hematology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Rania Abdel Moneem Radwan

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Clinical Hematology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Haydi Sayed Mohamed

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Clinical Hematology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018



قياس الجلوبيولين المناعي (أ) والجلوبيولين المناعي (م) وعلاقتهما □بنتائج العلاج من النقص المناعى للصفائح الدموية

رسالة

الماجستير في الأمراض الباطنة العامة توطئة للحصول على درجة مقدمة من

☐ آية عادل محمد أحمد/الطبيبة بكالوريوس الطب و الجراحة

تحت إشراف

□أد/ عبدالرحمن عبد الحميد سليمان

أستاذ الأمراض الباطنة وأمراض الدم الإكلينيكية كالمناذ كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

د/ رانیه عبد المنعم رضوان

مدرس الأمراض الباطنة وأمراض الدم الإكلينيكية كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

در هایدی سید محمد

مدرس الأمراض الباطنة وأمراض الدم الإكلينيكية كلية كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس كلية الطب كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and foremost thanks to ALLAH, the Most Merciful.

I wish to express my deep appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Abdel Rahman Abdel Hamid Soliman, Professor of Internal Medicine and Clinical Hematology, Ain Shams University, for his close supervision, valuable instructions, continuous help, patience, advices and guidance. He has generously devoted much of his time and effort for planning and supervision of this study. It was a great honor to me to work under his direct supervision.

I wish to express my great thanks and gratitude to **Dr**. **Rania Abdel Moneem Radwan**, Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Clinical Hematology, Ain Shams University, for her kind supervision, indispensable advice and great help in this work.

I wish to express my great thanks and gratitude to **Dr**. **Haydi Sayed Mohamed**, Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Clinical Hematolog, Ain Shams University, for her kind supervision, indispensable advice and great help in this work.

Last and not least, I want to thank all my family, my colleagues,, for their valuable help and support.

Finally I would present all my appreciations to my patients without them, this work could not have been completed.

Contents

Subjects	Page
• List of Abbreviations	I
List of table	III
List of Figures	V
• Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of literature:	
Chapter 1: Immune thrombocytopenic purpura	5
Chapter 2: Immune dysregulation mechanism in IT	P.29
Patients And Methods	58
Results	66
• Discussion	89
Summary and conclusions	99
Recommendations	101
References	102
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

AMR Ashwell-Morrell receptor APCS...... Antigen presenting cells ASH...... American society of hematology Breg.....Regulatory B-cell C...... Constant region CDRs......Complementarity determining regions CH......Constant heavy chain CL Constant light chain CVID......Common variable immunodeficiency DCs Dendritic cells FcYR Fcy receptor Foxp3 Ranscription factor forkhead box protein 3 FRs Framework regions G6PD......Glucose 6-phosphatase deficiency GP Platelet surface plycoprotein HDD...... High dose dexamethasone **IBLS** ITP bleeding scale ICH......Intracranial hemorrhage IFN Interferon Ig Immnunoglobulin IL......Nterlukein ITP..... Idiopathic or immune thrombocytopenic purpura IVIG Intravenous immunoglobulin IWG...... International ITP working group JAK 2 Janus kinase 2 MALT Ucosal associated lymphoid tissue

&List of Abbreviations

mIgM...... Membrane bound IgM

MMF Mycofenolate mofitel

Mrna...... Messenger ribonucleoutide analogue

OPSS`.....Overwhelming postsplenectomy sepsis

RNA Ribonucleoutide analogue

sIgA..... Secretory IgA

sIgM Ecreted IgM

STAT 3.....Signal transducer and activator of

transcription 3

TGF......Tumor growth factor

Th T helper

TPO..... Thrombopoietin

TPO-RAs..... Thrombopoietin receptor agonist

Treg......Regulatory T cell

V...... Variable region

VasVinca alkaloids

VH...... Variable heavy chain

VL Variable light chain

VTE...... Venous thromboembolism

∠List of Table

List of Table

Tab. No.	Subject	Page
Table (1)	Descriptive terminology for ITP according to the international ITP working group (IGW)	8
Table (2)	Utility of various evaluations in the diagnosis of ITP	11
Table (3)	Pre splenectomy vaccination	19
Table (4)	Management of refractory ITP	20
Table (5)	Age range of ITP patients and control group.	66
Table (6)	Gender of ITP patients and control group	67
Table (7)	The time between diagnosis and achieving response to treatment	68
Table (8)	Bleeding at presentation	69
Table (9)	Platelet count after one month and 3 months of treatment	70
Table (10)	Comparison between IgA level in ITP cases and control group	71
Table (11)	The range of IgA level between ITP patient and control group	72
Table (12)	Comparison between IgM level in ITP cases and control group.	73
Table (13)	The range IgM level between ITP patients and control group.	74
Table (14)	Comparison between the median level of IgA in ITP patient responsive to treatment and control group.	75
Table (15)	Comparison between the median level of IgA in ITP patients non responsive to standard treatment and control group.	76
Table (16)	Comparison between the level of IgA in ITP patients both responsive and nonresponsive to steroids as a standard treatment.	77

∠List of Table

Tab. No.	Subject	Page
Table (17)	Comparison between the level of IgA in ITP	78
	patients both responsive and nonresponsive to	
	steroids as a standard treatment.	
	Comparison between the median level of IgM in	79
Table (18)	ITP patients responsive to standard treatment	
14516 (26)	and normal healthy control by using the Chi-	
	Square test.	
	Comparison between the median level of IgM in	80
Table (19)	ITP patients non responsive to standard	
	treatment and normal healthy control by using the Chi-Square test.	
	Comparison between the level of IgM in ITP	81
	patients both responsive and nonresponsive to	01
Table (20)	steroids as a standard treatment by using the	
	Mann-Whitney test.	
	Comparison between the level of IgM in ITP	82
	patients both responsive and nonresponsive to	
Table (21)	steroids as a standard treatment by using the	
	Chi-Square test.	
Table (22)	ROC curve for IgA and IgM between responder	83
	and non-responder ITP patients.	
Table (23)	Correlation between number of platelets in ITP	85
	patients and IgA and IgM level after a month	
	and 3 month of treatment.	
Table (24)	correlation between number of platelets after	88
	one month and 3 month of standard treatment in	
	responders and non-responders ITP patients and	
	level of IgA and IgM	

€List of Figures

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Subject	Page
Fig. (1)	Basic structure of Immunoglobulin molecule (IgG).	41
Fig. (2)	General structures of the four subclasses of human IgG, which differ in number of interchain disulfide bonds (like IgG3 has 11 disulfide bonds)	46
Fig. (3)	General structure of secreted IgM, which is made up of five monomer units. Each pentamer contains an additional Fc linked polypeptide called J (joining) chain. IgM monomer lacks hinge region	47
Fig. (4)	General structure of IgA dimmer. Secretory IgA consists of dimmer formed during transport through mucous membrane epithelial cells. In humans, most serum IgA molecules exists as monomers, even though some dimers, trimers and even tetramers are sometimes present	49
Fig. (5)	General structure of IgE molecule. It lacks the hinge region	52
Fig. (6)	General structure of IgD monomer	53
Fig. (7)	Age range of ITP patients and control group	66
Fig. (8)	Gender of ITP patients and control group	67
Fig. (9)	The time between diagnosis and achieving response to treatment	68
Fig. (10)	Presentation with bleeding between responder and non-responder ITP patients	69
Fig. (11)	Comparison between IgA level in ITP cases and control group.	71
Fig. (12)	The range of IgA level between ITP patients and control group.	72
Fig. (13)	Comparison between IgM level in ITP cases and control group.	73
Fig. (14)	The range IgM level between ITP patients and control group.	74
Fig. (15)	Comparison between the median level of IgA in ITP patient responsive to treatment and control group.	75

∠List of Figures

Fig. No.	Subject	Page
Fig. (16)	Comparison between the median level of IgA in ITP patients non responsive to standard treatment and normal healthy control	76
Fig. (17)	Comparison between the level of IgA in ITP patients both responsive and nonresponsive to steroids as a standard treatment.	77
Fig. (18)	Comparison between the level of IgA in ITP patients both responsive and nonresponsive to steroids as a standard treatment.	78
Fig. (19)	Comparison between the median level of IgM in ITP patients responsive to standard treatment and normal healthy control.	79
Fig. (20)	Comparison between the median level of IgM in ITP patients non responsive to standard treatment and normal healthy control.	80
Fig. (21)	Comparison between the level of IgM in ITP patients both responsive and nonresponsive to steroids as a standard treatment.	81
Fig. (22)	Comparison between the level of IgM in ITP patients both responsive and nonresponsive to steroids as a standard treatment.	82
Fig. (23)	ROC curve of IgA between responder and on- responder ITP patients.	84
Fig. (24)	ROC curve of IgM patients between responder and non-responder ITP patients.	84
Fig. (25)	Correlation between between IgA and number of platelets after one month of treatment.	85
Fig. (26)	Correlation between IgA and number of platelets after 3 months of treatment.	86
Fig. (27)	Correlation between IgM and number of platelets after one month of treatment.	86
Fig. (28)	Correlation between IgM and number of platelet after 3 months of treatment	87

Abstract

Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), also known as primary thrombocytopenic immune purpura and autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura, is defined as isolated thrombocytopenia with normal bone marrow and in the absence of other causes of thrombocytopenia. ITP has two distinct clinical syndromes, manifesting as an acute condition in children and a chronic condition in adults. ITP is primarily a disease of accelerated platelet destruction and impaired platelet production. Auto antibodies against platelet surface glycoproteins (GP), such as GPIIb/IIIa and GPIb/IX complexes, play major roles in both platelet destruction and impaired platelet production. Immune dysregulation, as represented by elevated or decreased serum immunoglobulin (Ig) levels, may increase disease severity as represented by failure to respond to treatment. These alterations in Ig levels may represent an inflammatory or activated immune state that makes the disease more difficult to control with specific treatment. Patient with common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) with low IgG, IgA, and/or IgM levels have 22% incidence of autoimmune disease, such as ITP, supporting the association of abnormal Ig levels with ITP.

Aim of the work: To measure the level of Immunoglobulin M (Ig M) and Immunoglobulin A (Ig A) and their relation to the treatment response in patients with immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP).

Methods: The study was conducted upon 60 ITP adult patients who were divided into 2 groups: group I were responder to the standard treatment (steroids), group II were non responder to the standard treatment. IgM and IgA were measured by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Results: In this study the median level of IgA was high in non-responder compared to those who were responder to the treatment (group I) and the control group. Median level of IgM was low in non-responder compared to responder patients and to control group.

Conclusion: We concluded that statistically significant difference between responder and non-responder ITP patients as regard the level of IgM as the patients who had IgM level below the median were more resistant to steroid which is the standard treatment in ITP. On the other hand there was no significant difference in the level of IgA between responder and non-responder ITP patients.

Key word: Immunoglobulin M, Purpura, Immunoglobulin A

INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), also known as primary immune thrombocytopenic purpura and autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura, is defined as isolated thrombocytopenia with normal bone marrow and in the absence of other causes of thrombocytopenia. ITP has two distinct clinical syndromes, manifesting as an acute condition in children and a chronic condition in adults (Salib et al., 2016).

ITP is primarily a disease of accelerated platelet destruction and impaired platelet production. Auto antibodies against platelet surface glycoproteins (GP), such as GPIIb/IIIa and GPIb/IX complexes, play major roles in both platelet destruction and impaired platelet production (*Noroozi et al.*, 2015).

Acute ITP often follows an acute infection and has a spontaneous resolution within 2 months. Chronic ITP persists longer than 6 months without a specific cause (*Neunert et al.*, 2011).

The diagnosis of ITP is a process of exclusion. First, it has to be determined that there are no blood abnormalities other than a low platelet count, and no physical signs other than bleeding. Then, secondary causes (5–10 percent of suspected ITP cases) should be excluded. Such secondary causes include leukemia, medications (e.g., quinine, heparin), lupus erythematosus, cirrhosis, HIV, hepatitis C,

congenital causes, Antiphospholipid syndrome, von Willebrand factor deficiency and others (*Najaoui et al.*, 2012).

Although corticosteroids and splenectomy remain the main lines of ITP treatment, a new class of drugs, i.e., thrombopoietin receptor agonists (TPO-RAs) and rituximab, have substantially broadened the therapeutic options for refractory ITP patients. Moreover, the success of TPO-RAs in ITP patients shows that reduced platelet production caused by impaired megakaryocytopoiesis plays a greater role in ITP than previously recognized (*Liu et al.*, 2011).

Immune dysregulation, as represented by elevated or decreased serum immunoglobulin (Ig) levels, may increase disease severity as represented by failure to respond to treatment. These alterations in Ig levels may represent an inflammatory or activated immune state that makes the disease more difficult to control with specific treatment (Bussel et al., 2009).

Certain patient populations with known immunologic disorders have an increased the risk of ITP; supporting the concept that immune dysregulation may contribute to the development of ITP (wang et al., 2005).

Patient with common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) with low IgG, IgA, and/or IgM levels have 22% incidence of autoimmune disease, such as ITP, supporting

the association of abnormal Ig levels with ITP (*Wang et al.*, 2005).

IgA deficiency is also related to ITP in perhaps 1% to 2% of cases of ITP, but this may be primarily in those cases with concomitant IgG subclass deficiency (*Schulze et al.*, 2011).

Elevation in IgA may represent aberrations in mucosal immunity, leading to systemic autoimmune effects. The clear relation between Helicobacter pylori infection and immune thrombocytopenia suggests a possible association between mucosal inflammation and immune mediated destruction of platelets (*Liebman et al.*, 2009).