



**Cairo University**

# **Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Snug-tight Flush End Plate Connection.**

*By*

**SHERIF HAMED MOHAMED HASSNIEN**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of philosophy

In

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

GIZA, EGYPT

2015

# **Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Snug-tight Flush End Plate Connection.**

*By*

**SHERIF HAMED MOHAMED HASSNIEN**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of philosophy

In

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

**Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad**

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabeel Abdel-Salam**

**Professor of Steel Structures  
Faculty of engineering, Cairo University**

**Professor of Structural Engineering  
Housing and Buildings National Research Center**

**Asoc. Prof. Dr. Hazem Mostafa Ramadan**

**Associate Professor  
Structural Engineering Department  
Faculty of engineering, Cairo University**

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

GIZA, EGYPT

2015

# **Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Snug-tight Flush End Plate Connection.**

*By*

**SHERIF HAMED MOHAMED HASSNIEN**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of philosophy

In

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee:

**Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad, Thesis Main Advisor**

Professor of Steel Structures and Bridges, Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabeel Abdel-Salam, Advisor**

Professor of Structural Engineering, Housing and Building National Research Center

**Asoc. Prof. Dr. Hazem Mostafa Ramadan, Advisor**

Associate Professor, Structural Engineering Department, Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mahmoud Gamal El-Dein, Internal Examiner**

Professor of Steel Structures, Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour EL-Dein Fayed, External Examiner**

Professor of Structural Engineering, Ain shams University

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

GIZA, EGYPT

2015

**Engineer:** Sherif Hamed Mohamed Hassanien  
**Date of Birth :** 1 / 10 / 1983  
**Nationality :** Egyptian  
**E-mail :** Sherif\_hamed@hbrc.edu.eg  
**Phone. :** 37617057  
**Address :** 87 Tahrir St., Dokki, Giza 11511, Egypt.  
**Registration Date :** 1 / 3 / 2010  
**Awarding Date :** / /  
**Degree :** Doctor of philosophy  
**Department :** Structural Engineering



**Supervisors :** Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad  
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabeel Abd El-salam (Prof. of Struct. Eng., HBRC)  
Dr. Hazem Mostafa Ramadan

**Examiners :** Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad  
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabeel Abd El-salam (Prof. of Struct. Eng., HBRC)  
Dr. Hazem Mostafa Ramadan  
Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mahmoud Gamal El-dein  
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour EL-dein Fayed  
(Prof. of Struct. Eng., Ain Shams Univ.)

**Title of Thesis :** Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Snug-tight Flush End Plate Connection

**Key Words:** (seismic performance – connection – steel – end plate – cyclic –flush)

**Summary :**

Moment resisting frames are commonly used in earthquake resisting systems because of their ductile behavior and higher response modification factor than braced systems. This research investigates the performance of snug-tight flush end plate connections and whether such connections are prequalified to be considered for use in a ductile moment resisting frame. Moreover, the use of staggered web holes in the beam is proposed to improve connection seismic performance. Six samples were tested experimentally in order to complement the numerical analysis. A cyclic loading pattern defined by international standards was used in the testing process. Finite element analysis is performed using ANSYS software to model the connection configuration. Nonlinear material model is used in the analysis, and nonlinearity resulting from geometrical deformations during loading is also included. Finite element models are verified using experimental work results as well as other researchers' experimental work. It has been concluded that in some cases snug-tight flush end-plate connections may satisfy the strict requirements for prequalification. Also the proposed staggered web holes configuration showed promising results at some cases in improving the seismic performance of the connection.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I wish to express my endless gratitude and appreciation for my **Father** and my **Mother** for their support and encouragement to me, which without it I could not be where I am today.

I will be forever in debit for **P.Dr.Sherif Mourad** for his patience, guidance, and support. He was not just a supervisor of both my MSc. and PhD. but he was like a godfather to me through my journey from bachelor until the end of my PhD. He facilitated everything for me as best as he can and was always supportive and helpful.

**P.Dr.Nabil Abdel-Salam** my PhD supervisor and my former boss as the former director of structures and metallic construction institute at HBRC was always there for me. His advice and support was of tremendous importance and help. His patience and sincere advice helped me through tough times through my PhD. He is like a father to me.

I would to express my deepest gratitude for **Dr. Hazzem Ramadan** for his support and help even before he becomes one of my PhD supervisors. He helped a lot in the experimental part of the thesis guiding me to workshop places and providing ultrasonic testing equipment for weld testing. His help in the joint modeling part of the thesis was of great value.

My deepest gratitude for **Prof.Dr. Khaled Yousry** vice chairman of HBRC for facilitating the lengthy procedures to conduct my experimental work. He always was helpful trying to facilities my research by allocating some of HBRC resources to help me through my experimental work.

I will always be thankful to **Prof.Dr.Yahia abd Elmagid** for what he has taught me during conducting my experimental work at HBRC central lab.

**Prof.Dr.Hossam Hodhod** was very helpful. He conducted coupon tensile tests at Cairo university lab to help me confirm my results. This was of great help and for that, I am thankful to him.

Finally I am very thankful to my colleagues and friends at HBRC for their support and help and specially:

**Prof.Dr.Ayman Ismail**

**Prof.Dr.Mohamed Ragaei**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>XVII</b>

### **CHAPTER ONE**

<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1-1 OVERVIEW AND BACKGROUND .....	1
1-2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	2
1-3 RESEARCH PLAN AND METHODOLOGY .....	2
1-4 THESIS LAYOUT .....	3

### **CHAPTER TWO**

<b>BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2-1 INTRODUCTION.....	4
2-2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
2-2-1 EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH ON CONNECTIONS .....	4
2-2-2 RESEARCH WORK RELATED TO CONNECTION MODELING .....	6
2-2-3 RESEARCH WORKS RELATED TO CONNECTION REPAIR .....	8

### **CHAPTER THREE**

<b>EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM .....</b>	<b>10</b>
3-1 INTRODUCTION.....	10
3-2 PROGRAM PARAMETERS AND SAMPLES DEFINITION .....	10
3-3 DESIGN OF SAMPLES .....	13
3-4 TEST PROCEDURES .....	18
3-4-1 TEST SETUP.....	19
3-4-2 INSTRUMENT USED CONTROL METHOD .....	22

3-4-3 TEST EXECUTION AND CONTROL DESCRIPTION .....	23
3-4-4 LOADING PROTOCOL.....	23
3-5 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES .....	24
3-6 OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS .....	26
3-6-1 SPECIMEN S3 (PILOT SAMPLE) .....	27
3-6-1-1 INSTRUMENTS AND LOAD PROTOCOL.....	27
3-6-1-2 OBSERVATIONS .....	28
3-6-1-3 RESULTS .....	28
3-6-2 SPECIMEN S2.....	29
3-6-2-1 INSTRUMENTS AND LOAD PROTOCOL.....	29
3-6-2-2 OBSERVATIONS .....	30
3-6-2-3 RESULTS .....	30
3-6-3 SPECIMEN S1 .....	32
3-6-3-1 INSTRUMENTS AND LOAD PROTOCOL.....	32
3-6-3-2 OBSERVATIONS .....	33
3-6-3-3 RESULTS .....	33
3-6-4 SPECIMEN S5.....	37
3-6-4-1 INSTRUMENTS AND LOAD PROTOCOL.....	37
3-6-4-2 OBSERVATIONS .....	38
3-6-4-3 RESULTS .....	38
3-6-5 SPECIMEN S6.....	43
3-6-5-1 INSTRUMENTS AND LOAD PROTOCOL.....	43
3-6-5-2 OBSERVATIONS .....	45
3-6-5-3 RESULTS .....	45
3-6-6 SPECIMEN S4.....	50
3-6-6-1 INSTRUMENTS AND LOAD PROTOCOL.....	50
3-6-6-2 OBSERVATIONS .....	52
3-6-6-3 RESULTS .....	52
3-7 ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	58
3-7-1 ANALYSIS OF RESULTS FOR STRAIN GAUGES .....	58
3-7-1-1 STRAIN GAUGE (7) RESULTS .....	58
3-7-1-2 STRAIN GAUGE (8) RESULTS .....	59
3-7-1-3 STRAIN GAUGE (9) RESULTS .....	59
3-7-1-4 STRAIN GAUGE (10) RESULTS .....	59
3-7-1-5 STRAIN GAUGE (12) RESULTS .....	60



3-7-1-6 STRAIN GAUGE (19) RESULTS.....	60
3-7-1-7 STRAIN GAUGES (6) AND (26) RESULTS .....	61
3-7-1-8 STRAIN GAUGES (24) AND (25) RESULTS .....	61
3-7-2 ANALYSIS OF BOLTS FAILURE.....	62
3-7-3 ANALYSIS OF M- $\Phi$ CURVES.....	63
3-7-4 CONCLUSION.....	71

## **CHAPTER FOUR**

### **FINITE ELEMENT MODEL ASPECTS AND VERIFICATION..... 72**

4-1 INTRODUCTION.....	72
4-2 COMPUTER MODEL.....	72
4-2-1 MATERIALS MODEL .....	72
4-2-1-1 EXPERIMENTAL WORK MATERIAL MODEL .....	73
4-2-1-2 PARAMETRIC STUDY MATERIAL MODEL .....	74
4-2-2 ELEMENTS TYPE.....	74
4-2-3 CONTACT TECHNOLOGY .....	76
4-2-4 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS .....	77
4-2-5 LOAD PROTOCOL .....	78
4-2-5-1 CYCLIC .....	78
4-2-5-2 MONOTONIC.....	78
4-2-6 ANALYSIS AND SOLVER OPTIONS.....	79
4-2-7 CONVERGENCE PROBLEMS.....	79
4-3 VERIFICATION OF FINITE ELEMENT MODEL .....	80
4-3-1 COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS RESEARCH WORKS.....	80
4-3-2 COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS IN CHAPTER THREE	83

## **CHAPTER FIVE**

### **PARAMETRIC STUDY USING FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS ... 88**

5-1 INTRODUCTION.....	88
5-2 PARAMETRIC STUDY I (PREQUALIFICATION OF SNUG TIGHTENED FLUSH END PLATE) .....	88
5-2-1 RUNS ASSUMPTIONS .....	90
5-2-2 JUDGMENT CRITERION.....	91
5-2-3 ANALYSIS OF RESULTS .....	93
5-2-3-1 H SECTIONS CUMULATIVE CURVES .....	93

5-2-3-1-1 BOLT GRADE .....	93
5-2-3-1-2 BOLT DIAMETER .....	97
5-2-3-1-3 NUMBER OF BOLT ROWS .....	102
5-2-3-1-4 PLATE THICKNESS WITH TWO BOLT ROWS .....	104
5-2-3-1-5 PLATE THICKNESS WITH THREE BOLT ROWS .....	111
5-2-3-2 MOMENT-ROTATION FOR H AND H* SECTIONS.....	113
5-2-4 UPPER LIMIT CASES ERROR .....	117
5-2-5 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS .....	119
5-2-5-1 COLUMN HEA140 .....	120
5-2-5-2 COLUMN HC140.....	121
5-3 PARAMETRIC STUDY II WITH STAGGERED WEB HOLES .....	123
5-3-1 JUDGMENT CRITERIA .....	125
5-3-2 MODEL DESCRIPTION.....	125
5-3-3 PARAMETRIC STUDY II RESULTS .....	126
5-3-3-1 SECTION H160 WITH HOLES RESULTS .....	126
5-3-3-1-1 20 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	126
5-3-3-1-2 30 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	130
5-3-3-1-3 H160 SOLID BEAM SECTION .....	131
5-3-3-2 SECTION H-200 WITH HOLES RESULTS.....	131
5-3-3-2-1 20 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	131
5-3-3-2-2 30 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	132
5-3-3-2-3 40 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	133
5-3-3-2-4 H200 SOLID BEAM SECTION .....	134
5-3-3-3 SECTION H240 WITH HOLES RESULTS .....	135
5-3-3-3-1 20 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	135
5-3-3-3-2 30 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	136
5-3-3-3-3 40 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	136
5-3-3-3-1 50 MM HOLE DIAMETER.....	137
5-3-3-3-2 H240 SOLID BEAM SECTION .....	138
5-3-4 ANALYSIS OF RESULTS.....	138
5-4 CONCLUSIONS .....	138

## CHAPTER SIX

### SUMMERY AND CONCLUSIONS .....140

#### 6-1 SUMMERY .....140

6-2 CONCLUSIONS .....	140
6-3 FUTURE WORK RECOMMENDATIONS .....	141
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>APPENDIX (A).....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>APPENDIX (B).....</b>	<b>180</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table (3-1): Samples Configurations.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Table (3-2): Samples design ratios.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Table (3-3): Cross sections information .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Table (3-4): Mechanical properties .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Table (3-5): Used instrument .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Table (3-6): Hot rolled section Test information.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Table (3-7): Plate samples test information .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Table (3-8): Bolt Tests Information.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Table (3-9): Instrument used in S3 .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Table (3-10): Instrument used in S2 .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Table (3-11): Instrument used in S1 .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Table (3-12): Instrument used in S5 .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Table (3-13): Instrument used in S6. ....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Table (3-14): Instrument used in S4. ....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Table (3-15): Analysis of bolt failure .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Table (3-16): Initial rotational stiffness.....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Table (3-17): Measured moment values at 40 m.rad .....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Table (4-1): Materials used in the model .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Table (4-2): Moment values at 40 m.rad (ton.m) .....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Table (5-1): Range of the studied independent variables .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Table (5-2): H Sections definition .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Table (5-3): H* Sections definition .....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Table (5-4): Parametric study I layout.....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Table (5-5): Connection developed bending moment at 40 m.rad [HEA140], M40 (ton.m).....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Table (5-6): Connection developed bending moment at 40 m.rad [HC140], M40 (ton.m).....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Table (5-7): Connection developed bending moment at 40 m.rad, M40 (ton.m) .</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Table (5-8): Parameter description .....</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Table (5-9): Cases for parametric study II .....</b>	<b>124</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE (2-1): TEST SETUP AND INSTRUMENTATION (POPOV AND TAKHIROV [4]) .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>FIGURE (2-2): BOLT STRAIN GAGE (SHI ET AL.[6]).....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-1): SAMPLE COMPONENTS.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-2): PLASTIC HINGES AND PRYING FORCE DEVELOPMENT (AISC16 [32] - EDITED) .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-3): YIELD LINE PATTERN SAMPLE (AISC16 [32] - EDITED) .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-4): SAMPLE COMPONENTS DEFINITION AND ORIENTATION .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-5): TEST CONFIGURATION .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-6): INSTRUMENT USED LAYOUT .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-7): TYPICAL PLATE TENSION TEST SAMPLE .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-8): S3 LOADING PATTERN .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-9): SPECIMEN (S3) M-<math>\Phi</math> CURVE .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-10): COLUMN STIFFENERS.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-11): S2 LOADING PATTERN. ....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-12): SPECIMEN (S2) M-<math>\Phi</math> CURVES. ....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-13): S1 LOADING PATTERN. ....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-14): SPECIMEN (S1) M-<math>\Phi</math> CURVE. ....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-15): INS. 7 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-16): INS. 8 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-17): INS. 9 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-18): INS. 10 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-19): INS. 12 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-20): INS. 19 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-21): INS. 6 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-22): S5 LOADING PATTERN .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-23): SPECIMEN (S5) M-<math>\Phi</math> CURVES .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-24): INS. 7 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-25): INS. 8 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-26): INS. 9 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>FIGURE (3-27): INS. 10 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....</b>	<b>41</b>

FIGURE (3-28): INS. 12 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	42
FIGURE (3-29): INS. 19 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	42
FIGURE (3-30): INS. 6 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	43
FIGURE (3-31): S6 LOADING PATTERN.....	44
FIGURE (3-32): SPECIMEN (S6) M- $\Phi$ CURVES.....	46
FIGURE (3-33): INS. 7 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	47
FIGURE (3-34): INS. 8 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	47
FIGURE (3-35): INS. 9 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	48
FIGURE (3-36): INS. 10 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	48
FIGURE (3-37): INS. 12 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	49
FIGURE (3-38): INS. 19 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	49
FIGURE (3-39): INS. 6 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	50
FIGURE (3-40): S4 LOADING PATTERN.....	51
FIGURE (3-41): SPECIMEN (S4) M- $\Phi$ CURVES.....	53
FIGURE (3-42): INS. 7 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	54
FIGURE (3-43): INS. 8 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	54
FIGURE (3-44): INS. 9 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	55
FIGURE (3-45): INS. 10 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	55
FIGURE (3-46): INS. 12 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....	56
FIGURE (3-47): INS. 19 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	56
FIGURE (3-48): INS. 26 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13) .....	57
FIGURE (3-49): INS. 24 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	57
FIGURE (3-50): INS. 25 STRAIN VERSUS BEAM TIP DISPLACEMENT (INS. 13).....	58
FIGURE (3-51): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLES WITH M20 BOLTS.....	65
FIGURE (3-52): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLES WITH M16 BOLTS.....	66
FIGURE (3-53): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLES WITH TWO ROWS OF BOLTS .....	67
FIGURE (3-54): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLES WITH THREE ROWS OF BOLTS.....	68
FIGURE (3-55): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLES WITH BEAM SECTION HE120A.....	69
FIGURE (3-56): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLES WITH BEAM SECTION IPE160.....	70
FIGURE (4-1): STEEL STRESS STRAIN CURVE USED IN THE ANALYSIS .....	73
FIGURE (4-2): BOLT STRESS STRAIN CURVE USED IN THE ANALYSIS .....	74
FIGURE (4-3): SOLID 45 NODES AND COORDINATES ANSYS [27].....	75
FIGURE (4-4): FULL MODEL MESH .....	76
FIGURE (4-5): BOLTS MESH.....	76

FIGURE (4-6): CONTACT PAIRS.....	77
FIGURE (4-7): BOUNDARY CONDITIONS & LOAD .....	78
FIGURE (4-8): MODEL LOADING.....	81
FIGURE (4-9): COMPARISON OF M- $\Phi$ CURVES WITH MATIVO ET AL.[7]. ....	81
FIGURE (4-10): BOUNDARY CONDITIONS & LOAD. COELHO AND BIJLAARD[8]. ....	82
FIGURE (4-11): M- $\Phi$ CURVE OBTAINED FROM MODEL BASED ON DT1 VERSUS COELHO AND BIJLAARD[8]. ....	83
FIGURE (4-12): M- $\Phi$ CURVE OBTAINED FROM MODEL BASED ON DT2 VERSUS COELHO AND BIJLAARD[8]. ....	83
FIGURE (4-13): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLE S1.....	85
FIGURE (4-14): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLE S2.....	85
FIGURE (4-15): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLE S4.....	86
FIGURE (4-16): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLE S5.....	86
FIGURE (4-17): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR SAMPLE S6.....	87
FIGURE (5-1): TYPICAL DIMENSIONS AND BOLTS ARRANGEMENT .....	89
FIGURE (5-2): SAMPLE OF MODEL DEFORMED SHAPE AT FINAL LOAD .....	91
FIGURE (5-3): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H120 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HEA140).....	94
FIGURE (5-4): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H140 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HEA140).....	94
FIGURE (5-5): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H160 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HEA140).....	94
FIGURE (5-6): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H200 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HEA140).....	95
FIGURE (5-7): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H240 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HEA140).....	95
FIGURE (5-8): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H120 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HC140) .....	95
FIGURE (5-9): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H140 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HC140) .....	96
FIGURE (5-10): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H160 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HC140) .....	96
FIGURE (5-11): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H200 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HC140) .....	96
FIGURE (5-12): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H240 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT GRADES(HC140) .....	97
FIGURE (5-13): VON MISSES STRESS DISTRIBUTION OVER 8.8 BOLT FOR H240, HEA140 .....	97
FIGURE (5-14): VON MISSES STRESS DISTRIBUTION OVER 10.9 BOLT FOR H240, HEA140 .....	97
FIGURE (5-15): M- $\Phi$ CURVES FOR H120 FOR DIFFERENT BOLT DIAMETERS (HEA140) .....	98