



Ain-Shams University
Faculty of Science, Geology Department

HYDROLOGIC ASSESSMENT OF WADI SUDR AND VICINITIES, SOUTH SINAI, EGYPT

A Thesis Submitted To

The Geology Department

Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

For the degree of Ph. D. in Science Geology (Hydrogeology)

By

Nouria El Hadi A. Aboshaala

B. Sc. (In Geology, 1997)

MSc. (In Hydrogeology, 2007)

Supervised By

**Prof. Dr. Ezzat Ali
Korany**

*Emeritus Professor of Hydrogeology
Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department*

**Prof. Dr. Salah Mohamed
Abdel Mogheeth**

*Emeritus Professor of Hydrology
Desert Research Center
Hydrology Department*

**Dr. Hassan Kamel Fathi
Garamoon**

*Assistant Professor–Hydrogeology
Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department*

**Dr. Nahla Abdel Moneim
Morad**

*Researcher of Hydrology
Desert Research Center
Hydrology Department*

2016

APPROVAL SHEET

**THESIS Title: “HYDROLOGIC ASSESSMENT OF WADI
SUDR AND VICINITIES, SOUTH SINAI, EGYPT”**

By: Nuria Al Hady Abo Shaala

**This Thesis for Ph. D. in Science in Geology (Hydrogeology)
has been approved by:**

Prof. Dr.: **Hossam Hamdi Elewa** -----
professor and head of engineering applications and Water National
Authority for Remote Sensing Division of Sensing and Space
Sciences.

Prof. Dr.: **Gamal Abd Allah** -----
Professor of Hydrogeology and Secretary
General of the Water Resources Research Institute

Prof. Dr.: **Ezzat Ali Korany** -----
Emeritus Professor of Hydrogeology,
Ain Shams University, Faculty of Science, Geology Department

Date of Examination: 1 / 12 / 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All gratitude is due to Almighty **Allah** who guided and aided me in presenting this thesis in suitable form.

I wish to express my thanks to Emeritus **Prof. Dr. Ezzat Ali Korany**, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his direct supervision, encouragement, guidance, valuable advice, useful discussion and critical reading and revising of the manuscript.

I wish to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to Emeritus **Prof. Dr. Salah Mohamed Abdel Mogheeth** Hydrology Department, Desert Research Center, for suggesting this point of research, supervising and planning the work, constructive orientation, continuous encouragement and generous assistance during all the stages of the study.

My deep gratitude and cordial thanks are due to **Dr. Hassan Garamoon**, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his continuous advice, close reading and revising the thesis.

My deep gratitude to **Dr. Nahla Abdel Moneim Morad**, Hydrology Department, Desert Research Center, for her sincere helping, continuous encouragement, fruitful discussions and great effort in the field work.

My sincere thanks are due to all colleges in the Geology Department of Ain Shams University, especially **Prof. Dr. Abd AL-Mohsen Morsi**, Head of the Geology Department, Ain Shams University for their encouragement and offering unlimited facilities and **Dr. Ahmad Gad**, for his kind assistance during the proceeding of this work.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deep thanks to my children, Saif, Mohamed, Abd El Rahman and Aysha and to my husband Fathi Abo khdir, and to my family in Libya for their patient and unlimited support.

Nuria Al Hady Abo Shaala

ABSTRACT

Wadi Sudr and vicinities includes three main Wadis in South Sinai running from northeast to southwest namely from north to south; Wadi Lahata (260 km²), Wadi Sudr (743 km²) and Wadi Wardan (1385 km²). The study area is bounded by Longitudes 32°37'00" and 33°24'6"E and Latitudes 29°20'53" and 29°52'59"N. It is bounded in the east by Wadi El-Ariesh, in the west by the Gulf of Suez, in the north by Ayun Mousa area and by Wadi Gharandal in the south. The study area depends on groundwater as a main source for agricultural and other purposes.

The surface slopes generally towards the Suez Gulf. It is surrounded at the upstream part by a number of mountains representing the main watershed area receiving storms causing runoff. Its elevation ranges from 600m above mean sea level (in Gebel El Raha) to 916m above mean sea level (in Gebel Dahak). The sedimentary sequences are built of rock units belonging to geologic ages range from Upper Cretaceous to Quaternary. The study area is greatly influenced by several structural trends W-S, E-W, NW-SE and NNE-SSW comprising normal and reverse faults dipping 75°-85° E.

GIS techniques (by using ArcHydro Tool in ArcGIS-9.3 software) are used in the preset study to trace the basins boundaries, water divides, the drainage patterns and the morphometric parameters. The morphometric analysis and hazard degree calculations show that Wadi Wardan has highly hazard, while Wadi Sudr and Wadi Lahata are of moderately hazard. Sudr and Wardan basins are selected for simulation to explain the relationship between rainfall and runoff using **HEC-HMS (Hydrologic Engineering Center-Hydrologic Modeling System)**. The synthetic hydrographs are constructed for both Sudr and Wardan basins,

where the runoff volume of Sudr basin (743 km^2) is estimated by 2243.3×10^3 Cubic meters, while it reaches 6260×10^3 Cubic meters for Wardan basin (1385 km^2). The maximum flow peak for Wadi Sudr basin reaches $95.3 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ with total excess rainfall is 3.97mm. While for Wardan basin the maximum flow peak reaches $251.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and the total excess rainfall is 5.9mm.

Groundwater of the study area are available from three main aquifers belonging to Upper Cretaceous (fractured limestone), Miocene (sandstone and evaporites) and Quaternary (Wadi fill and deltaic deposits). They are mainly recharged by direct rainfall, infiltration from runoff water coming from the upstream eastern areas and the upward leakage from the deeper aquifers.

The main factors controlling the chemical characteristics, as well as its hydrogeochemical evolution are interpreted. 57 groundwater samples were collected in June 2014 for chemical analysis to determine the major and minor components.

Groundwater salinity changes widely from 1170 ppm to 14000 ppm, with an increase towards the west. The distribution of groundwater salinity is related to the well depth, distance from the shore line, absolute water level, depth of wells below the sea level and pumping rates. The higher rate of recharge in Wadi Wardan leads to less groundwater salinity, while in Wadi Lahata, where the rate of recharge is very low causing higher groundwater salinity. The temporal variation in salinity in the study area indicates an increase in the groundwater salinity -during the last 15 years- from 2000 ppm to 8500 ppm. It is attributed to over pumping and shortage of recharge by meteoric water. The geochemical classifications indicate that the chemical evolution of the groundwater from upstream to downstream areas is responsible for the change in the

chemical characteristics of each aquifer. Sodium chloride (NaCl) and sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4) are the dominant water types in the study area indicating the chemical impact of the marine and continental deposits, respectively. Most of the groundwater samples in the wells influenced by sea water intrusion. They are not recommended for irrigation under normal conditions. However, it is probably suitable for the salt tolerant crops under high permeable soils and proper irrigation management.

Isotopes analyses for ^{18}O and ^2H contents are carried out for selected nine groundwater samples. The groundwater samples are depleted in ^2H and ^{18}O to the Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW). They displayed an isotopic signature close to that of meteoric water with d-excess values (5.7 - 20.08 ‰) indicating present-day precipitation over the region. Moreover, the areal distribution of oxygen-18 and deuterium in the studied samples indicate that the central part of delta of Wadi Sudr has the highest depletion and surrounded by relative enriched values all the way around and toward the Gulf coast. This may reflect the evaporation effect on shallow water horizon.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL SHEET	- I -
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	- II -
ABSTRACT	- III -
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	- VI -
LIST OF FIGURES	- XII -
LIST OF TABLES	- XX -
INTRODUCTION.....	- 1 -
1. General Statement.....	- 1 -
2. Aim and objectives.....	- 4 -
3. Review of Previous Works	- 4 -
4. Materials, methods and study plan	- 12 -
a) Materials and methods	- 12 -
Data Review and Collection.....	- 12 -
Geologic and Topographic Maps and Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	- 13 -
Available software.....	- 13 -
b) Study plan	- 13 -
Field Work.....	- 14 -
Laboratory Work	- 14 -
5. Thesis structure.....	- 15 -

CHAPTER I

GEOLOGIC AND GEOMORPHOLOGIC SETTINGS, WADI SUDR AND VICINITIES

I.1 Geologic Setting	- 16 -
1. Upper Cretaceous rocks.....	- 16 -
1.a Cenomanian.....	- 16 -
1.b Turonian	- 19 -
1.c Santonian-Coniacian.....	- 19 -
1.d Lower Senonian.....	- 19 -
1.e Maestrichtian	- 19 -
2. Tertiary rocks	- 20 -
2.a Paleocene Rocks.....	- 20 -

2.b	Eocene Rocks	- 20 -
2.c	Miocene Rocks	- 21 -
2.d	Pliocene Rocks	- 23 -
3.	Quaternary Deposits	- 23 -
I.2	Geological Structure	- 24 -
I.3	Geomorphologic Setting	- 28 -
1.	Regional Geomorphology	- 28 -
2.	Local Geomorphology and landforms.....	- 32 -
3.	Morphometric analysis of catchment area.....	- 35 -
A.	Linear aspects of the drainage network	- 36 -
(1)	Stream Order (u) and Stream Number (Nu)	- 36 -
(2)	Stream Length (Lu)	- 39 -
(3)	Bifurcation Ratio (Rb).....	- 41 -
(4)	Weighted Mean Bifurcation Ratio (Rbwm)	- 43 -
B.	Areal Aspects of the Drainage Basins	- 44 -
(1)	Basin Length (Lb)	- 44 -
(2)	Valley length (Lv)	- 45 -
(3)	Basin width (W)	- 45 -
(4)	Basin Area (A).....	- 45 -
(5)	Basin Perimeter (P)	- 46 -
(6)	Texture Ratio (Tr)	- 46 -
(7)	Elongation ratio (Re)	- 47 -
(8)	Circularity ratio (Rc)	- 48 -
(9)	Form Factor Ratio (Fr)	- 48 -
(10)	Sinuosity Index (Si).....	- 49 -
C.	Drainage Texture Analysis	- 50 -
(1)	Stream Frequency (Fs)	- 50 -
(2)	Drainage Density (D)	- 51 -
(3)	Length of Overland Flow (Lo)	- 52 -
(4)	Drainage Pattern (Dp)	- 52 -
D.	Relief Aspects.....	- 55 -
(1)	Basin relief (R)	- 55 -
(2)	Relief ratio (R_f)	- 55 -
(3)	Relative relief (R_i).....	- 56 -
(4)	Ruggedness Number (Rn)	- 56 -
(5)	Slope Index (Si).....	- 57 -
E.	Determination of Relative Flood Hazard Degrees	- 58 -

CHAPTER II

HYDROLOGICAL CONDITIONS, WADI SUDR AND VICINITIES

1. General outline.....	- 61 -
2. Climatic Conditions.....	- 62 -
2.a Air temperature.....	- 62 -
2.b Relative Humidity	- 63 -
2.c Wind Velocity	- 65 -
2.d Rainfall Analysis	- 66 -
Annually and monthly distribution of Rainfall	- 67 -
Seasonal rainfall distribution.....	- 71 -
The maximum rainfall in one day	- 73 -
Probability and return period analysis.....	- 74 -
2.e Evaporation and Evapotranspiration	- 78 -
2.f Aridity Index	- 83 -
2.g Moistur Balance	- 84 -
3. Storm Characteristics and Analysis.....	- 87 -
4. Application of HEC-HMS software	- 93 -
Model Input Data.....	- 95 -
i) Description of Catchment Wadis	- 95 -
ii) Rainfall data	- 99 -
iii) Losses Determinations.....	- 102 -
iv) Runoff Transformations.....	- 108 -
v) Routing Method	- 110 -
HEC-HMS Model Application.....	- 111 -
5. Discussion of Results	- 112 -

CHAPTER III

HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONDITIONS, WADI SUDR AND VICINITIES

General outline	- 116 -
1. Aquifers in Wadi Lahata hydrographic basin.....	- 119 -
Quaternary Alluvial Aquifer	- 119 -
2. Aquifers in Wadi Sudr hydrographic basin	- 124 -
i. Upper Cretaceous Limestone Aquifer (Senonian)	- 124 -
ii. Quaternary Alluvial Aquifer	- 126 -
a. Quaternary Wadi fill Deposits Aquifer	- 126 -
b. Quaternary Deltaic Deposits Aquifer	- 129 -

3. Aquifers in Wadi Wardan hydrographic basin	- 137 -
i. Upper Cretaceous Limestone aquifer (Senonian)	- 137 -
ii. The Sandstone and Evaporites Aquifers (Lower Miocene)-	139 -
iii. Quaternary Alluvial Aquifer	- 140 -
Flactuations of groundwater level changes by time in Wadi Sudr.-	143 -

CHAPTER IV

HYDROGEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF GROUNDWATER AND EVALUATION FOR IRRIGATION USES

General outline	- 148 -
Groundwater Chemistry of the studied basins	- 154 -
1. Hydrogeochemical characteristics of groundwater in Wadi Lahata	- 155 -
1.1 Salinity content.....	- 155 -
1.2 Distribution of the selected major anions (Cl ⁻ and SO ₄ ²⁻).....	- 157 -
1.3 The relationship between TDS and major anions (Cl ⁻ and SO ₄ ²⁻)	- 158 -
1.4 Changes of groundwater salinity with time.....	- 160 -
1.5 Ion dominance and water types	- 161 -
1.6 The hypothetical salt assemblages	- 161 -
1.7 The hydrochemical coefficients (ion ratios).....	- 162 -
2. Hydrogeochemical characteristics of groundwater in Wadi Sudr	- 164 -
Salinity content.....	- 165 -
2.2 Distribution of the selected major anions (Cl ⁻ and SO ₄ ²⁻).....	- 168 -
2.3 Changes of groundwater salinity with time.....	- 169 -
2.4 The relationships between TDS and major anions (Cl ⁻ and SO ₄ ²⁻)	- 171 -
2.5 Ion dominance and water types	- 173 -
2.6 The hypothetical salt assemblages	- 175 -
2.7 The hydrochemical coefficients (ion ratios)	- 177 -
2. Hydrogeochemical characteristics of groundwater in Wadi Wardan	- 180 -
3.1 Salinity content.....	- 180 -
3.2 Distribution of the selected major anions (Cl ⁻ and SO ₄ ²⁻).....	- 182 -

3.3	The relationships between TDS and major anions (Cl ⁻ and SO ₄ ²⁻)	184 -
3.4	Ion dominance and water types	186 -
3.5	The hypothetical salt assemblages	188 -
3.6	The hydrochemical coefficients (ion ratios).....	190 -
	Hydrogeochemical Classification.....	193 -
a)	Trilinear diagram or Piper diagram	194 -
b)	Schoeller's diagram.....	197 -
c)	Chadha Diagram.....	199 -
	Trace Elements distributions	203 -
	Lateral change of water quality (Hydrochemical profiles).....	206 -
a)	Lahata profile (A-A').....	206 -
b)	Sudr profile (B-B').....	206 -
c)	Wardan profile (C-C')	206 -
d)	South-North profile (D-D')	207 -
	Evaluation of groundwater quality for irrigation uses.....	212 -
a)	Total dissolved solids (TDS).....	212 -
b)	Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	214 -
c)	Toxic Metal Content.....	216 -
	Stable Isotopes analysis.....	219 -
	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS...	228 -
1.	Summary	228 -
2.	Conclusion.....	240 -
3.	Recommendations	246 -
	REFERENCES	248 -
	APPENDICES	267 -

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. (1): Location map of the study area showing the distribution of water points in the studied Wadies	- 3 -
Fig. (2): Geological map of the studied area (After the Geological Survey of Egypt (1994) Scale 1:250000).....	- 17 -
Fig. (3): Geological Cross section A-B of Wadi Sudr and Wadi Lahata (After the Geological Survey of Egypt (1994) Scale 1:250000 For legend, see table 1).....	- 17 -
Fig. (4): The tectonic map of Sinai (After Neev, 1975).....	- 26 -
Fig. (5): Structural subdivisions of Sinai (After Shata, 1956) showing the location of the study area	- 27 -
Fig. (6): Rose diagram interpreted from surface geology showing that, the study area is characterized by one main structural trend is in the NW–SE direction related to the Gulf of Suez trend and minor trends in the E–W and NE–SW directions (After, Selim, 2012)	- 28 -
Fig. (7): Regional geomorphologic map of South Sinai, (After JICA, 1999).....	- 31 -
Fig. (8): Digital Elevation Model (DEM) showing the main geomorphologic units (based on ASTER DEM with 30m resolution)	- 34 -
Fig. (9): Drainage Map of Lahata basin (by using ArcHydro Tool)...	- 37 -
Fig. (10): Drainage Map of Sudr basin(by using ArcHydro Tool)	- 38 -
Fig. (11): Drainage Map of Warden basin (by using ArcHydro Tool)	- 38 -
Fig. (12): The relationship between stream numbers (Nu) and stream orders (U) in the study area.....	- 39 -

Fig. (13): The relationship between the total stream length (Lu) and stream orders (U) in the study area	- 40 -
Fig. (14): The relationship between the total stream number (Nu) and stream orders (U) for each Wadi.....	- 41 -
Fig. (15): The dendritic drainage patterns in the studied Wadis networks of the study area.....	- 54 -
Fig. (16): Rose-diagram showing surface wind directions, (a) at Ras Sudr station, (b) at Abu Rudeis station (Atlas of Sinai Peninsula after El Othman, 2011, in Arabic).....	- 66 -
Fig. (17): Mean monthly rainfall at Ras Sudr, Nekhel, Abu Rudeis and Suez stations	- 69 -
Fig. (18): Isohyetal contour map for annual rainfall of the study area.....	- 69 -
Fig. (19): The season's rainfall ratio from annual average for studied stations	- 71 -
Fig. (20): The highest amount of rainfall in the study area that recorded in one day.....	- 74 -
Fig. (21): Monthly mean of daily evaporation in the study area (mm/day).....	- 80 -
Fig. (22): Potential evapotranspiration (mm/day) - Precipitation (mm) relationship Nekhel Station.....	- 85 -
Fig. (23): Potential evapotranspiration (mm/day) - Precipitation (mm) relationship Suez Station	- 85 -
Fig. (24): Potential evapotranspiration (mm/day) - Precipitation (mm) relationship Ras Sudr Station.....	- 86 -
Fig. (25): Potential pvapotranspiration (mm/day) - Precipitation (mm) relationship Abu Rudeis Station	- 86 -

Fig. (26): Isohyetal map of 17-18 th January (2010) storm over Sinai (NASA data obtained from Cools et al., 2012)	- 88 -
Fig. (27): photographs showing flash flood damages in Abu Swira village and Ras Sudr City (January, 2010)	- 89 -
Fig. (28): Depth-time curve.	- 92 -
Fig. (29): Accumulation Depth with time relationship (mass curve)	- 92 -
Fig. (30): Intensity-Duration Curve..	- 93 -
Fig. (31): Selected hydrographic basins in the study area for application of hydrologic model (HEC-HMS)	- 94 -
Fig. (32): Location map of the selected sites for infiltration test in Wadi Sudr (Modified from Morad, 2000 and El-Sayed, 2006). This figure indicates that the infiltration rates increase toward the delta of the Wadi where the soil is sandy	- 99 -
Fig. (33): Hyetograph of Sudr and Warden basins based on the SCS method	- 101 -
Fig. (34): Rock classification and curve number value in Sinai Peninsula (After El-Sayed, 2012, in Arabic).....	- 107 -
Fig. (35): Typical Hydrograph showing the different parameters (After Ponce, 1989)	- 109 -
Fig. (36): Computed runoff hydrograph for measured storm of Sudr catchment	- 114 -
Fig. (37): Computed runoff hydrograph for measured storm of Warden catchment	- 114 -
Fig. (38): Simulated hydrograph for measured storm and excess rainfall of Sudr catchment	- 115 -

- Fig. (39):** Simulated hydrograph for measured storm and excess rainfall for Somar sub-basin in Wardan catchment - 115 -
- Fig. (40):** Well location map with the water bearing rock units of the study area, South Sinai Egypt - 118 -
- Fig. (41):** Hydrogeological map of the Study area, South Sinai, Egypt (JICA, 1999). - 121 -
- Fig. (42):** Photograph showing a vertical section of the deltaic deposits in the delta of Wadi Lahata. (11m thick) consist mainly of ill-sorted sands and gravels with clay and sandstone interbeds - 122 -
- Fig. (43):** Depth to water contour map, Quaternary alluvial aquifer, delta of Wadi Lahata, where the depth to groundwater increases toward the east - 123 -
- Fig. (44):** Water table contour map, Quaternary alluvial aquifer, delta of Wadi Lahata, where the groundwater flows due south and southeast..... - 123 -
- Fig. (45):** Photograph showing, Sudr Spring at the upstream of Wadi Sudr, where the water flows naturally from the Upper Cretaceous aquifer - 125 -
- Fig. (46):** Photograph showing, the alluvial loess deposition in the main channel of Wadi Sudr, where it is composed of calcareous gravel and sand (more than 5m thick)..... - 127 -
- Fig. (47):** Photograph showing Abu Ragem spring at the upper reaches of Wadi Sudr, where the water flow naturally within the Alluvium deposits. - 128 -
- Fig. (48):** Photographs showing Umm Garef well in the midstream of Wadi Sudr. It is issued from the alluvium deposits (wadi fill aquifer)..... - 128 -