

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية







شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

# قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٥٠ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٠٠% To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of 15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%



# بعض الوثائـــق الإصليــة تالفــة



# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالإصل

2917

# "Study in Agricultural Mechanization"

# EFFECT OF LASER AND TRADITIONAL LEVELLING METHODS UTILIZATION ON PRODUCTIVITY OF RICE CROP UNDER LOCAL CONDITIONS

# BY

# ISMAIL FOUAD SAYEDAHMED ALI

B. Sc. in Agric., Mech., Faculty of Agricultural, Kafr El-Sheikh Tanta University, 1991.

# **Thesis**

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

# AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION

Agricultural Mechanization Department,
Faculty of Agricultural,
Kafr El-Sheikh,
Tanta University,
1998

# EFFECT OF LASER AND TRADITIONAL LEVELLING METHODS UTILIZATION ON PRODUCTIVITY OF RICE CROP UNDER LOCAL CONDITIONS

# BY

# Ismail Fouad SayedAhmed Ali

This Thesis for the M.Sc. Degree in Agricultural Sciences (Agricultural Mechanization) has been approved by:

my in more twall

PROF. DR. METWALLI M. MOHAMED,

Professor of Agric. Eng., and Head of Agric. Mech. Dept., Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

# 

Professor of Agric. Eng., Agric. Mech. Dept., Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University.

# PROF. DR. SAYED M. SHARAF Shara & S. M.

Professor of Agric. Eng., and Head of Agric. Eng. Dept., Faculty of Agric., Menoufiya University.

DR. ISMAIL A. ABD EL-MOTALEB . I. Abdefinitale

Associate Prof. of Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University.

Date: 9/11/1998

# SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

# Prof. Dr.

### Metwalli Metwalli Mohamed

Professor of Agric., Eng., and Head of Agric, Mech., Dept., Faculty of Agricultural, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

### Dr.

# Ismail Ahmed Abd El-Motaleb

Associate Professor of Agric., Eng., Agric., Mech., Dept., Faculty of Agricultural, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

# Prof. Dr.

# Ahmed Mohamed El-Serafy

Head of Research,
Rice Research and Training Center, Sakha,
Field Crop Research Institute,
Agricultural Research Center

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I would thank the Almighty ALLAH for giving me the strength and determination to carry out this study.

The author wishes to express his deep and sincere thanks and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Metwalli Metwalli Mohamed**, Professor and head of Agricultural Mechanization Department, Faculty of Agricultural, Kafr El- Sheikh, Tanta University, for his continuous encouragement valuable consultation, constant interest shown, supervision, scientific help, kind guidance, and revising the manuscript.

The author also wishes to express his deep and sincere thanks to **Dr. Ismail Ahmed Abd El-Motalb**, Associate Professor of Agric., Mech., Fac., of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., for his supervision guidance the encouragement and continuous scientific help.

Special thanks and great indebtedness to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed El-Serafy**, head of Research, Rice Research and Training Center, Sakha, Kafer El-Sheikh, for Valuable advice constant help, encouragement, and revising the manuscript.

Sincere thanks also to **Dr. Hamdy El-Mowafi**, Researcher, Rice Research and Training center for his continuous cooperation and help in data analysis.

I would like to express my sincere and deep thanks to all the staff members of Agric., Mech., Dep., Fac., of Agric., Kafer El-Sheikh Tanta Univ., for their helpful comments and encouragement.

I would like to express my sincere and deep thanks to all the staff members of Moubarak Center for Agric., Eng., Sakha and Rice Mech., Center Meet El-Deepa, for continuous encouragement and help.

I would also like to acknowledge the dedicated support and sacrifice of my mother, my sister, my wife, and my family.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this thesis to the memory of my father.

# CONTENTS

	Page
1. Introduction	1
2. Review of literature	3
2.1. Land levelling method	3
2.2. Effect of land levelling on:	5
2.2.1. Soil physical properties	5
2.2.1.1. Soil bulk density and total porosity	5
2.2.1.2. Soil compaction	6
2.2.2. Farm machinery performance	7
2.2.3. Crop yield	8
2.3. Power requirement	ğ
2.4. Cost of sequential farm operations	12
2.4.1. Tillage system costs	12
2.4.2. Levelling costs	14
2.4.3. Present annual costs	15
3. Materials and methods.	17
3.1. Materials	17
3.1.1. Tractors	17 17
3.1.2. Ploughs	20
3.1.3. Laser controller device components	20
3.1.3.1. Transmitter unit	21
3.1.3.2. Receiver unit	23
3.1.3.3. Control box	25 25
3.1.3.4. Electric mast	25
3.1.3.5. Telescoping grade rod	28
3.1.3.6. Laser eye receiver	28
3.1.4. Conventional hydraulic land levelling	28 28
3.1.5. Planting machine (seed drill)	
3.1.6. Harvesting machine	29
3.1.7. Measuring instruments	32
3.1.7.1. Penetrometer	33
3.1.7.2.Stop Watch	33
3.1.7.3. Balances	36
3.1.7.4. Calibrated flask	36
3.1.7.5. Electrical oven	36
	36
3.1.7.6. Fuel consumption apparatus	36
3.1.7.7. Surveying instruments	36
3.1.9. Rice variety GIZA 177	36
3.2. Method s	37
3.2.1. Laboratory experiments	37
3.2.1.1. Soil physical properties	37
3.2.1.1.1. Soil structure	37
3.2.1.1.2. Moisture content	37
3.2.1.1.3. Bulk density	38
3.2.2. Field experiments	38
3.2.2.1. Measurements carried out during field test	39
3.2.2.1.1.Slip ratio	39
3.2.2.1.2. Determination of the speeds	39
<b>3.2.2.1.3.</b> Rate of fuel consumption	39

3.2.2.1.4. Soil penetration resistance	- [
3.2.3. Determination of the field efficiency	- 1
OI UIC HOU ETHOROUS	
3.2.3.1. Theoretical field capacity	
3.2.4. Cost analysis	
3.2.5. Statistical analysis	
3.2.6. Total yield	
4. Results and discussion	
4.1. Soil physical properties:	
4.1.1. Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller	
forward speed on some soil physical properties after levelling	
operation	
4.1.1.1. Bulk density	
4.1.1.2. Total porosity	
4.1.1.3. Soil penetration resistance	
4.1.2. Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
speed on some soil physical properties after harvesting operation	-
4.1.2.1. Bulk density	
4.1.2.2. Total porosity	İ
4.1.2.3. Soil penetration resistance	
4.2. Field performance characteristics	
4.2.1. Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
speed on the field performance characteristics of levelling operation	
4.2.1.1. Fuel consumption	
4.2.1.2. Slip ratio	
4.2.1.3. Actual field capacity	
4.2.2. Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
speed on the Field performance characteristics harvesting operation -	
4.2.2.1. Fuel consumption	ł
4.2.2.2. Slip ratio	
4.2.2.3. Actual field capacity	
4.3. Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
speed on the yield components	
4.3.1. Plant height	
4.3.2. Panicle length	
4.3.3. Number of tillers per square meter	
1.3.4. Number of panicles per square meter	
1.3.5. 1000- Grain mass	
I.3.6. Total yield	
1.4 Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller	
forward speed on the total cost	
Summary and conclusions	
References	
Appendix	
Arabic summary	

### LIST OF FIGURES

	No. of	Title OF FIGURE	Don
ĺ	Figure		Page
	Fig. 1:	Ursus tractor	10
	Fig. 2:	John Deere tractor	18
	Fig. 3:	Moldboard plough	18
Ī	Fig. 4:	Disc harrow	22
1	Fig. 5:	Transmitter unit of LASER land levelling	22
	Fig. 6:	Receiver unit of LASER land levelling	24
l	Fig. 7:	Control box of LASER land levelling	1
	Fig. 8:	Electric mast of LASER land levelling	27
l	Fig. 9:	Telescoping grade rod of LASER land levelling	30
	Fig. 10:	Laser eye receiver of LASER land levelling	30
	Fig. 11:	Hydraulic scraper of LASER land levelling	31
	Fig. 12:	Seed drill	31
	Fig. 13:	Yanmar combine harvester	31
	Fig. 14:	Penetrometer	35
	Fig. 15:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	33
		speed on the bulk density after levelling	15
	Fig. 16:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	45
		speed on the total porosity after levelling	10
	Fig. 17:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
		speed on the soil penetration resistance after levelling	53
	Fig. 18 :	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
		speed on the bulk density after harvesting.	57
	Fig. 19 :	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
,		speed on the total porosity after harvesting	59
J	Fig. 20:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
	D. A.	speed on the soil penetration resistance after harvesting	63
j	Fig. 21 :	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
	m	speed on Fuel consumption	66
J	Fig. 22:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	'
	7. 00	speed on the slip ratio	69
ł	Fig. 23:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
	3. 0.4	speed on actual field capacity	72
ţ	Fig. 24:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
T	Pi- 05.	speed on Fuel consumption	76
Ţ	Fig. 25:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
T	ia 26.	speed on the slip ratio	78
Ľ	ig. 2 6:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
L	ig. 27 :	speed on actual field capacity	83
Α.	ig. 27.	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	2.5
L	ig. 28 :	speed on the plant height	86
	.g. 20 .	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward speed on the panicle length	00
K	ig. 29 :	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	88
_	- <b>5</b> / ·	speed on the number of tillers per meter square	01
F	ig. 30 :	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	91
	ð v ·	speed on the number of panicles per meter square	93
F	ig. 31 :	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	73
		speed on the total yield	97
Fi	ig. 32 :	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	7,
		speed on net profit	101
_			~~~

# LIST OF TABLE

	TITLE OF TABLE	Page
Table 1:	Technical specification of Ursus tractor	10
Table 2:	Technical specification of the John Deer tractor	10
Table 3:	Technical specification of moldboard plough and disk harrow	21
Table 4:	rechnical data and specifications of transmitter unit	23
Table 5:	Specification of the receiver unit	25
Table 6:	Specification of the control box unit	26
Table 7:	Specification of the electric mast unit	26
	Specification of the LASER eye receiver unit	28
Table 9:	Levelling implementation design and operation parameters	29
Table 10:	Technical Functional data of planting machine (seed-drill)	29
Table 11:	Technical specification of the Yanmar combine harvester	32
Table 12:	Technical data and specification of penetrometer	33
Table 13:	Some chemical and physical characteristics of soil before caring out	
Table 14:	ploughing operation	37
Table 14:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	
	speed on bulk density, g/cm3 and total porosity after levelling operation, %	
Table 15 :	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and ploughing	44
Tubic 15.	denth on bulk density of the levelling method and ploughing	
Table 16:	depth on bulk density after levelling operation, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	46
Tubic 10.	Effect of land levelling method, leveller forward speed, and ploughing depth on bulk density after levelling operation, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
Table 17:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and ploughing	47
	depth, on total porosity after levelling operation, %	
Table 18:	Effect of land levelling method, forward around and aloughing the	48
Tubic 10.	Effect of land levelling method, forward speed and ploughing depth on total porosity after levelling operation, %	
Table 19:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth, and leveller forward	50
14010 17.	speed on soil penetration resistance, kg/cm <sup>2</sup> levelling operation	
Table 20:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and forward speed	51
	on soil penetration resistance after levelling operation, kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	50
Table 21:	Effect of land levelling method, forward speed, and ploughing depth	52
	on soil penetration resistance after levelling operation, kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	53
Table 22:	Effect of interaction between ploughing depth and forward speed on	55
	soil penetration resistance after levelling operation, kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	54
Table 23:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and ploughing	34
	depth, on soil penetration resistance after levelling operation, kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	54
Table 24:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	34
	speed on bulk density, g/cm <sup>3</sup> and total porosity, % after harvesting	
	operation	56
Table 25:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and planting	
	method on the bulk density after harvesting operation, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	58
Table 26:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and planting	
	method on total porosity after harvesting operation, %	60
Table 27:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	
	speed on soil penetration resistance, kg/cm <sup>2</sup> after harvesting operation	62
Table 28:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and planting	
	method on soil penetration resistance after harvesting operation.	ł
	kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	64

Table 29	. FCC . C.	
Table 25		T
ļ	L/II dilu siin ratio attar lavalline	·
Table 30		
Table 30	The second of th	- 65
75 11 04		65
Table 31	- I micracion occuping land levelling mother in the	1
	1 A Train specural fuel conglimation for lovelling and the second	
Table 32	- 1 1 Clariful octween minimum denth and from 1	
Table 33:	- 1 de la	j.
	speed on actual field capacity fed/h levelling oneset:	
Table 34:	Effect of land levelling method, ploughing depth and, leveller forward	70
į	speed on actual field capacity of levelling machine, fed/h	
Table 35:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and leveller	73
	forward speed on actual field consider the state of the s	
Table 36:	forward speed on actual field capacity of levelling machine, fed/h	73
	2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Table 37:	opood on actual field capacity of levelling machine for the	74
	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	1
	speed on supratio, % and fuel consumption. I hafter harvesting	
Table 38:	operation	75
1	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and leveller	1
Table 39:	forward speed on fuel consumption for harvesting operation, L/h	77
Table 5).	1 Effect of failu levelling method, planting method, and levelled to	
Table 40:	speed on slip ratio for narvesting machine %	79
1 4016 40:	Entert of interaction between land levelling method and levelling	'
Table 41:	101 ward speed on SIID ratio for harvesting machine 9/	80
Table 41:	Effect of interaction between planting method and leveller forward	
Table 42	speed on stip ratio for narvesting machine %	80
Table 42:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method planting method are	00
T 11 10	supration for harvesting machine. %	80
Table 43:	Effect of faild levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	00
	speed on actual field capacity harvesting operation feet/h	82
Table 44:	Direct of faild levelling method, planting method, and levellar formers	02
	speed on planting neight, cm and panicle length cm	85
Table 45:	Litect of faild levelling method, planting method, and levelles from 1	0.5
	speed on number of panicle/m <sup>2</sup> and number of tillers/m <sup>2</sup>	89
Table 46:	Effect of interaction between land levelling method and planting	09
	method on number of panicles per square meter	02
Table 47:	Direct of faild levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	92
	speed on 1000 grain mass, g and total yield Ma/fed	0.5
Table 48:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	95
	speed on total cost, total yield price, and net profit, L.E/fed in season	
	1770	00
Table 49:	Effect of land levelling method, planting method, and leveller forward	99
ľ	speed on total cost, total yield price, and net profit, L.E/fed in season	
	1997	100
Table 50:	Mean of square land levelling method, L, ploughing depth, D, and	100
}	forward speed of levelling machine, S, on bulk density, g/cm <sup>3</sup> ; total	
1	porosity, %, and soil penetration resistance, kg/cm2after levelling	
	operation,	
Table 51:	Mean of square of land levelling method, L, planting method, P, and	114
	method, E, planting method, P, and	
	·	

	forward speed of levelling machine, S, on bulk density, g/cm3; total porosity, %, and soil penetration resistance, kg/cm2 after harvesting operation	
Table 52:	Mean of square land levelling method, L, ploughing depth, D, and forward speed of levelling machine, S, on fuel consumption, L/h, slip	115
Table 53:	Mean of square land levelling method, L, planting method, P, and forward speed of levelling machine. S, on fuel consumption, L/h, allered	116
Table 54:	Mean of square of land levelling method, L, planting method, P, and forward speed of levelling machine. S, on plant height, cm; periods	117
Table 55:	length, cm, and number of tillers/m <sup>2</sup> ,	118
	y o was y sora, mg/leu	119