

Development and Application of
Arabic Low -Verbal Early Speech
Perception Test

**Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Master
Degree in**

Audiology

By

Ashraf Elsayed Ali Mourgan

M.B., B.ch.,

Under supervision of
Prof. Dr. Iman Sadek El Danasoury

Professor of Audiology

E.N.T. Department

Ain Shams University

Dr. Wafaa Abdel Hai El Kholi

Assist. Prof. of Audiology

E.N.T. Department

Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams Universty

2005

Acknowledgment

First of all thanks to God who guides me to straight path and gave me the strength to finish up this work.

It is great honor to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to ***Prof. Dr Iman Sadek***, Professor of audiology, ENT Department, Ain Shams University, for her sensational care, expert advice, enthusiastic help and precious guidance. I appreciate her everlasting support to achieve this work.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and great appreciation to ***Dr. Wafaa El Kholi***, Assistant Professor of audiology, ENT Department, Ain Shams University, who offered me a lot of time, generous efforts, valuable advice and helpful instructions throughout this work.

I would like to express my great thanks to ***Prof. Dr. Ayman El Sharabasy***, Professor and Head of Audiology Unit, ENT Department, Mansoura University. He provided me with valuable advice, continuous encouragement and great help.

I must express my sincere gratefulness and appreciation to my all staff members, Audiology unit, ENT Department, Mansoura University for their great effort, dedicated help, close supervision and advice.

Last but not least to my wife and sons Ahmed and Omar, to whom I dedicate this work, with their encouragement and help this work was accomplished.

Ashraf Morgan

2005

Contents

Acknowledgment

List of abbreviations

List of tables

Introduction and Rationale1

Aims of the work..... 3

Review of Literature:

Chapter (1): Language disorders in children

- Definitions and Terminology4
- Etiology of language disorders in children5
- Considerations in hearing evaluation of language-impaired child.....20

Chapter (2): Speech perception in children

- Speech perception.....32
- Rationale for speech perception assessment33
- Classification of speech perception tests in children35
- Low-verbal speech perception tests42
- Clinical application of speech perception tests in children51

Methodology..... 68

Results..... 78

Discussion.....90

Conclusion.....106

Recommendation.....107

Summary.....108

References.....111

Appendix143

Arabic Summary

List of Abbreviations

AAMD: American Association of Mental Deficiency

ABR: Auditory Brainstem Response

ADHD: Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorders

ASHA: American-Speech-Language-Hearing Association

BDMH: Brain Damaged Motory Handicapped child

BKB: Bench-Kowal-Bamford

BOA: Behavioral Observational Audiometry

CID: Central Institute for Deaf

CIS: Continuous-Interleaved-Sampler

CNC: Consonant-Nucleus-Consonant

CP: Common Phrase

CPA: Conditioned-Play-Audiometry

CUNY: City University of New-York

DAS: Developmental Apraxia of Speech

DSM: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

ESP: Early Speech Perception

GAEL-P: Grammatical Analysis of Elicited-Language-Presentation
Level

GFW: Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock

HINT: Hearing in Noise Test

HYB: Hybrid

ID: Individual's Inter-disciplinary

LNT: Lexical Neighborhood Test

MLNT: Multi-Lexical Neighborhood Test

MMN: Mismatch Negativity

MPEAK: Multi-PEAK

MR: Mental Retardation

MTS: Monosyllabic-Trochee-Spondee
NU-CHIPS: Northwestern University-Children's Perception of Speech
OAEs: Otoacoustic Emissions
OSCAR: Optimal Speech Communication Assistance for Residual Abilities
PBK: Phonetically Balanced Kinder-garden
PDD: Pervasive Developmental Disorders
PDD-NOS: Pervasive Developmental Disorders Not Otherwise Specified
PPS: Paired Pulsatile Sampler
PSI: Pediatric Speech Intelligibility
QPS: Quadruple Pulsatile Sampler
SAS: Simultaneous Analog Stimulation
SCIPS: Screening Inventory of Perceptual Skills
SDT: Speech Detection Threshold
SERT: Sound Effect Recognition Test
SLI: Specific Language Impairment
SPAR: Sensitivity Prediction from Acoustic Reflex
SPIN: Speech Perception in Noise
SRT: Speech Reception Threshold
SSI: Synthetic Sentence Identification
TROCA: Tangible-Reinforcement Operant-conditioning
Audiometry
VRA: Visual-Reinforcement Audiometry
WDRC: Wide Dynamic Range Compression
WIPI: Word Intelligibility by Picture Identification

List of tables

Table	Title	Page
Table (1)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of average pure tone threshold (dB) of study subgroups	79
Table (2)	Distribution of patients among the study subgroups	79
Table (3)	Chronological age (in months) and gender distribution in study subgroups	80
Table (4)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of age at hearing aid fitting (in months) in study subgroups	80
Table (5)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of absolute and relative duration of hearing aid use in study subgroups	81
Table (6)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of duration of rehabilitation (in months) study subgroups	82
Table (7)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of language age (in months) and language quotient in study subgroups	82
Table (8)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of unaided pure tone thresholds in dB HL in study subgroups	83
Table (9)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of aided pure tone thresholds in dB HL in study subgroups	84
Table (10)	Mean (X) standard deviation (SD) and range of low-verbal ESP Test scores in the study	85

	subgroups	
Table (11)	The effects of variables on the low-verbal Pattern Perception Subtest scores	86
Table (12)	The effects of variables on the low-verbal Trochee Identification Subtest scores	87
Table (13)	The effects of variables on the low-verbal Monosyllabic Identification Subtest scores	88
Table (14)	The effect of study subgroup on low-verbal ESP Test scores using Duncan's Multiple Range Test	89
Table (15)	Partial regression coefficient to study the effect of variables on the low-verbal Pattern Perception Subtest scores	90
Table (16)	Partial regression coefficient to study the effect of variables on the low-verbal Trochee Identification Subtest scores	91
Table (17)	Partial regression coefficient to study the effect of variables on the low-verbal Monosyllabic Identification Subtest scores	92
Table (18)	Correlation among low-verbal ESP subtests	93
Table (19)	Results of test-retest repeatability of the low-verbal ESP test using Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA)	93
Table (20)	Results of test-retest repeatability of the low-verbal ESP test using Duncan's Multiple Range Test	94

Introduction and Rationale

The ability to communicate meaningfully is one of the most important vital features of human life. All communication disorders carry the potential to isolate individuals from their social and educational surroundings (ASHA, 2000).

The first four years of a child's life are critical in terms of developing speech and language skills that will last a life time (Amie and Gordon, 2001). Hearing loss is the most frequently overlooked disorder affecting speech development. Any degree of hearing impairment can negatively impact acquisition and perception of speech and language, academic achievement, social, and emotional development (Jennifer and Wang, 2000).

Many hearing-impaired subjects can benefit from aural rehabilitation, the most popular rehabilitative procedure being hearing aids. Recently, cochlear implants can restore hearing and improve communication for children with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss who gain little or no benefit from hearing aids (Kara, 2001).

Recent advances in hearing aids and other sensory aid technology have highlighted the need for development of age-appropriate sensory-level speech perception tests (El Kholi, 2001). Speech audiometry, in conjunction with pure-tone

Introduction and Rationale

The ability to communicate meaningfully is one of the most important vital features of human life. All communication disorders carry the potential to isolate individuals from their social and educational surroundings (ASHA, 2000).

The first four years of a child's life are critical in terms of developing speech and language skills that will last a life time (Amie and Gordon, 2001). Hearing loss is the most frequently overlooked disorder affecting speech development. Any degree of hearing impairment can negatively impact acquisition and perception of speech and language, academic achievement, social, and emotional development (Jennifer and Wang, 2000).

Many hearing-impaired subjects can benefit from aural rehabilitation, the most popular rehabilitative procedure being hearing aids. Recently, cochlear implants can restore hearing and improve communication for children with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss who gain little or no benefit from hearing aids (Kara, 2001).

Recent advances in hearing aids and other sensory aid technology have highlighted the need for development of age-appropriate sensory-level speech perception tests (El Kholi, 2001). Speech audiometry, in conjunction with pure-tone

audiometry, can help in determining the degree and type of hearing loss, also provide information regarding discomfort or tolerance to speech stimuli and speech-discrimination abilities. In addition information gained by speech audiometry can help in determination of the proper gain and maximum output of hearing aids and other amplifying devices and facilitates audiological rehabilitation (**Walter, 2001**).

The Early Speech Perception (ESP) test is a test of speech perception for profoundly deaf children as young as 3 years of age who have enough linguistic abilities to perform the tasks required in the standard ESP test battery. The standard ESP battery consists of a pattern perception subtest and two word identification subtests including spondee and monosyllable identification subtests (**CID, 2000**).

Very young children below this age are unable to perform the tasks required in the standard ESP battery because of their limited verbal abilities. As a consequence of the recent and effective aural rehabilitative program and the expanded use of cochlear implant in children as young as 2 years of age, there is a need to develop an age appropriate speech perception test aiming to evaluate the level of speech processing of this age group (**Staller and Arcaroli, 2002**). Accordingly, this work is designed to develop an Arabic version of a low-verbal ESP test and apply it on hearing impaired Egyptian children.

Language Disorders in Children

Definition and Terminology:

The American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) has proposed defining language disorders as impairment in “comprehension and/or use of spoken, written, and/or other symbol system. The disorder may involve (a) the form of language (phonologic, morphologic and syntactic systems), (b) the content of language (semantic system), and/or (c) the function of language in communication (pragmatic system), in any Combination” (1993).

According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV; American Psychiatric Association, 1994), language impairment is impairment of expressive and/or receptive language development. The most common feature associated with an expressive language disorder in younger children is a phonological disorder. The linguistic features of expressive language disorder include a limited amount of speech, a limited vocabulary range, difficulty in acquiring new words, vocabulary errors, shortened sentences, simplified grammatical structures, limited varieties of grammatical structures, limited varieties of sentence structures, the omission of critical parts of sentences, and the use of unusual word orders. Receptive language impairment is characterized by difficulty in understanding words, sentences, or specific types of words. The linguistic features of a mixed receptive–expressive language disorder include receptive

language impairment in combination with phonological and expressive language problems (**Willinger, 2003**).

The change over the years in how language disorders are conceptualized have influenced the terms used to label them. A variety of names have been given to the problem including language impairment, language disability, language disorder, language delay, language deviance and childhood aphasia or dysphasia. The world health organization has defined three terms we can make good use of in describing the child's language. The term impairment is the most neutral as it simply states that there is some difference between the child with impairment and other children (**Wood, 1980, Paul, 2001**). The term disability has additional aspect to its meaning. It refers to the child's needs for functioning in real world environment, particularly in school (**Nelson, 1998**). The term handicap should be used only in special circumstances to address the opportunities available to the child to participate in important situations, such as the classroom (**Paul, 2001**).

Etiology of language disorders in children

Several models were used to discuss language disorders in children, of which is the categorical model. This approach to classification organizes language disorders on the basis of the syndromes of behavior they accompany. In this model, language disorders would be defined as those associated with mental retardation, sensory deprivation (hearing and visual impairment), environmental disorders, psychiatric disorders,

known neurological damage and those with no known concomitant, which are referred to as specific language impairment (**Paul, 2001**).

Mental retardation (MR):

The American Association of Mental Deficiency (AAMD) defined MR as a significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning resulting in or associated with concurrent impairment in adaptive behavior and manifested during the developmental period, that is before the age of 18 years (**Kaplan et al., 1994**).

Coulter (1996) classified the causative factors of MR into:

- 1) Pre conceptual disorders as single gene abnormalities (e.g., inborn errors of metabolism, chromosomal abnormalities e.g., x- linked disorders and fragile x syndrome).
- 2) Early embryonic disruptions as infections (e.g., cytomegalo virus, rubella, toxoplasmosis and human immune deficiency virus), teratogenes (e.g., alcohol, radiation and placental dysfunction).
- 3) Peri-natal difficulties as extreme prematurity, hypoxic ischemic injury, intra-cranial hemorrhage and metabolic disorders.
- 4) Post-natal insults as infections by meningitis or encephalitis, trauma, asphyxia and malnutrition.

Mental retardation is the most common cause of language delay, accounting for more than 50 percent of cases (**Rosman, 2000**). Limitation in communication skills is often one of the first symptoms of MR. The sequence of language skills in MR, in general, follows that of normal children, although some difference can be identified. For many children with MR, communicative skills are less mature than would be expected, based on their non-verbal mental age (**Paul, 2001**). **Miller and Chapman (1984)** reported that about one half of individuals with various kinds of retardation have language skills on par with cognitive levels. In the rest of children with MR, two patterns of language disorders were identified: 25% diagnosed with MR had comprehension skills on par with mental age, but production skills were poorer. The other 25% had deficits in both production and comprehension relative to mental age.

Sensory deprivation: including hearing and visual impairment

Hearing impairment

Hearing loss is the most frequently overlooked disorder affecting speech and language development. Some studies showed the prevalence of hearing loss in the children with language impairment to be as low as 0% to as much as 50%. The lower the criterion for hearing impairment (16 dB HL versus 26 dB HL), the older the subjects in the study, and the lower the cognitive functioning of the individuals in the study, the greater the prevalence of hearing impairment (**Ray, 2002**).

Multiple handicaps are common in the hearing-impaired child, with 13% to 33% of children with hearing loss suffering from some other handicap (**Shepard et al., 1981 and Levitt et al., 1998**). **Kirk, (2004)** reported that approximately one third of American children with hearing loss has additional disabilities. Although the cause of hearing loss had a relatively small influence on language development, the presence of other handicaps tend to slow aspects of speech and language development that employ higher level speech processing abilities (**Pyman et al., 2000**).

Quigley and Krestchmer (1982) found that a variety of factors influence communication development in children with hearing loss. These factors include not only the degree of hearing loss but also the age at onset, the audiometric slope of loss, the age of identification and amplification and the amount and type of habilitation. In spite of that, intensive special education of children with hearing impairment makes slow but steady progress in communication skills (**Levitt and McGarr, 1998**).

Children with hearing impairment have been found to use at least partially rule-governed phonological system. They use phonological processes as young children with normal hearing, although more processes are used (**Kretschmer, 2001**). Consonant delusions are common, distorted vowels and affected prosody, in addition to, delayed and slower rate of semantic, syntactic and pragmatic development (**Radziewicz and Antoellis, 1997**).