تقييم المخاطر البيئية والتوصيف الجزيئي لبعض المستخلصات النباتية المستخدمة في مكافحة دودة ورق القطن.

رسالة مقدمة من شيماء عيد عبد الغنى إبراهيم علام كالوريوس علوم زراعية (وراثة)، جامعة عين شمس 2002

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول علي درجة الماجستير في العلوم البيئية

> قسم العلوم الزراعية معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية جامعة عين شمس

ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDOUS AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION BY SOME PLANT EXTRACTS FOR CONTROLLING OF SPODOPTERA LITTORALIS (BOSID.).

BY

SHIMAA EID ABDEL -GHANY IBRAHEEM

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics.), Ain Shams University, 2002

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of
The Requirements for the Master Degree
in
Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences Institute of Environmental Studies and Research Ain Shams University

صفحة الموافقة على الرسالة

تقييم المخاطر البيئية والتوصيف الجزيئي لبعض المستخلصات النباتية المستخدمة في مكافحة دودة ورق القطن.

رسالة مقدمة من شيماء عيد عبد الغنى إبراهيم عيد عبد الغنى إبراهيم بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (وراثة)، جامعة عين شمس 2002

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول علي درجة الماجستير في العلوم البيئية قسم العلوم الزراعية

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة والموافقة عليها اللجنسسة

1- أ.د/ / سندس عبد التواب محمد.

أستاذالحشرات ورئيس قسم بحوث دودة ورق القطن, معهد بحوث وقايه النباتات.

2- أ.د/ على زين العابدين عبد السلام.

أستاذ الوراثة المتفرغ بكلية الزراعة,جامعة عين شمس

3- أ.د/ سعدية محمد عبد النبى البرماوى.

أستاذ فسيولوجيا الحشرات بكلية التربية جامعة عين شمس (مشرفا)

4- أ.د/ سمير عبد العزيز إبراهيم

أستاذ ورئيس قسم الوراثة, كلية الزراعه ,جامعة عين شمس (مشرفاً رئيسياً)

APPROVAL SHEET

ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDOUS AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION BY SOME PLANT EXTRACTS FOR CONTROLLING OF SPODOPTERA LITTORALIS (BOSID.).

BY

SHIMAA EID ABDEL -GHANY IBRAHEEM

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics.), Ain Shams University, 2002

This thesis for M. Sc. degree in environmental science has been approved by:

Name: Signature

Prof. Dr. Sondos Abdel-Tawab Mohamed.

Professor of Entomology and Chief of Cotton Leaf worm Department, Plant Protection Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center.

Prof. Dr. Ali Z. E. Abdelsallam

Professor Emeritus of Genetic, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Prof.Dr. Saadya Mohamed El-Bermawy.

Professor of Insect physiology of the Department of Biology and Geology, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Samir Abdel Aziz Ibraheem

Professor of Genetic and Head of the Department of Genetic, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

تقييم المخاطر البيئية والتوصيف الجزيئي لبعض المستخلصات النباتية المستخدمة في مكافحة دودة ورق القطن.

رسالة مقدمة من

شيماء عيد عبد الغنى إبراهيم

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (وراثة)، جامعة عين شمس 2002

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول علي درجة الماجستير في العلوم البيئية قسم العلوم الزراعية

تحت إشراف/

اد/. سمير عبد العزيز إبراهيم

أستاذ ورئيس قسم الوراثة - كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس.

ا.د/ سعدية محمد البرماوي.

أستاذ فسيولوجيا الحشرات, قسم العلوم البيولوجية والجيولوجية, كلية التربية, جامعة عين شمس.

ا.د/. إبراهيم أبو اليزيد إبراهيم.

أستاذ البيولوجيا الجزيئية والهندسة الوراثية بقسم التطبيقات البيولوجية, مركز البحوث النووية, هيئة الطاقة الذرية, أنشاص.

ختم الأجازة

أجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ / 2010/

موافقة مجلس المعهد

2010/ /

موافقة مجلس الجامعة / 2010/

ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDOUS AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION BY SOME PLANT EXTRACTS FOR CONTROLLING OF SPODOPTERA LITTORALIS (BOSID.).

\mathbf{BY}

SHIMAA EID ABDEL -GHANY IBRAHEEM

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics.), Ain Shams University, 2002

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of
The Requirements for the Master Degree
in
Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences

Under the supervision of:

1- Prof. Dr. Samir Abdel Aziz Ibraheem

Professor of Genetic and Head of the Department of Genetic, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

2- Prof.Dr. Saadya Mohamed El-Bermawy.

Professor of Insect physiology of the Department of Biology and Geology, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.

3- Prof.Dr. Ibrahim Abulyazid Ibrahim.

Professor of Molecular Biology and Genetic engineering, Nuclear Research Center - Enshas.

ABSTRACT

Shimaa Eid Abdel-Ghany Ibraheem. Assessment of environmental hazardous and molecular characterization by some plant extracts for controlling of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Bosid.). Unpublished M.Sc. thesis in Environmental Agricultural Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies and Research, Ain Shams University, 2010.

This experiment was conducted on the 2nd larval instar of Spodoptera littoralis (Bosid), in order to investigate the effect of two botanical extracts (Castor oil and Gossypol) on different biological and biochemical aspects of the 2nd larval instar. The data obtained from the present study revealed that the Castor oil extract proved more effective on larval mortality, fecundity, egg hatching, adult emergency, pupation, and sex ratio when applied on the 2nd larval instar than Gossypol. Both of the two botanical extracts affected the sex ratio of the produced adults, where a shift to male side was recorded. It is worthy to note that the treatments with both botanical extracts have greatly influenced the genetic background of the resulted first generation individuals and henc malformations have been also noticed in the individuals of the second generation. The degree of malformation was higher in the first generation than that of the second one. Total protein, lipid and carbohydrate were affected in all the stages studied. The biochemical data showed a distinguishable pattern between treatments and control. Molecular genetic fingerprinting was carried out using 10 random primers. The obtained data suggested that the primer C13 was the most powerful primers in regarding generating specific unique band.

Key word

Spodoptera littoralis, Castor oil, Gossypol, biological and biochemical aspects, plant extracts, Molecular characterization, DNA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, gratitude and thanks to **ALLAH** who always helps and guides me.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and sincer appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Samir A. Ibraheem.**, Professor of Genetic and Head of the Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for supervising this work. His valuable criticisim, reading the manuscript, and useful suggestions throughout this investigation are greatly appreciated.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Saadya M. El-Bermawy** Professor of physiology of the Department of Biology and Geology, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the plan of this work, supervision, useful advices, and great help offered during the investigation period.

Sincere thanks and appreciation are due to **Prof. Dr. Ibraheem Aboul-Yazied.**, Professor of Molecular Biology and Genetic engineering, Nuclear Research Center - Enshas. for his supervision, authentic help, revising the manuscript, valuable advice and encouragement during this study.

I would like also to thank all staff member in the Department of Biology, Faculty of Education

Many great appreciations are due to **Ms. Marwa EL-Sabagh** for her continuous support and help among the time course of this study.

Finally, especial thanks and gratitude are also offered to **my parents**, **my husband**, **my daughter**, **my son and my sisters** for their great encouragement and support during the period of my investigation.

CONTENTS

т	TNITTI	DODII	OTTONI
I.			CTION
II.	REV	IEW O	OF LITERATURE
	2.1.	Biolog	gical effect of botanical extracts on insect
	2.2.		emical effect of botanical extracts on insect
	2.3.	Molect	ular effect of on insect
III.	MAT		S AND METHODS
	3.1.	Rearin	g technique
	3.2.	Tested	botanical extracts
	3.3.	Biolog	gical studies
	3.4.	Bioche	emical studies
		3.4.1.	Sample Preparation
		3.4.2.	Determination of total proteins.
		3.4.3.	Preparation of protein reagent
		3.4.4.	Preparation of standard protein solution
			3.4.4.1. Procedure
			3.4.4.2. Calculation
		3.4.5.	Determination of total carbohydrates
			3.4.5.1. Preparation of carbohydrate reagent
			3.4.5.2. Preparation of standard glucose solution
			3.4.5.2.1 Procedure
			3.4.5.2.2 Calculation
		3.4.6.	Determination of total lipids
			3.4.6.1. Preparation of lipid reagents
			3.4.6.2. Preparation of standard lipid solution
			3.4.6.2.1 Procedure
			3.4.6.2.2 Calculation
		3.4.7.	Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis.
			3.4.7.1. Non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophore-
			sis
			3.4.7.2. Native protein bands
			3.4.7.4 Glycoprotein bands
			3.4.7.4. Lipoprotein bands
		2.40	3.4.7.5. SDS- PAGE
		3.4.8.	Isozymes Analysis.
			3.4.8.1. Esterases (α – and β Est)
			3.8.1.1. Reagents
			3.8.1.2. Procedure
			3.4.8.2. Molecular Studies
			(RAPD) analysis

			3.4.8.2.2 Gel electrophoresis
3.5.		ical analys	is
. RESU			
4.1.			S
	4.1.1		castor oil extract on some biological aspects on fist
	4.1.2	Effect of	castor oil extract on some biological aspects on eneration
	4.1.3	Effect of	gossypol on some biological aspects in first genera-
	4.1.4	Effect of	gossypol on some biological aspects in second gen-
	4.1.5		nental events
4.2.			lies
	4.2.1.	Changes	in the protein contents after treatment with botanical
	4.2.2.	Changes	in the lipid contents after treatment with botanical
	4.2.3.	Changes	in the total carbohydrate content after treatment anical extracts
	4.2.4.	Separation	on of protein bands electrophoresis. Native protein patterns
		4.2.4.2.	
	4.2.5.		s patterns
		4.2.5.1.	Esterase patterns by using (α-naphthyl - acetate as substrate
		4.2.5.2.	Esterase patterns by using β-naphthyl acetate as substrate
		4.2.5. 3.	Lipoprotein patterns
		4.2.5.4.	Glycoprotein pattern
4.3.	Molec	ular Studie	·S
. DISCU	USSION	V	
I. SUM	MARY		
II. REF	EREN	CES	
	AR	ABIC SU	MMARY

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
No.	District a second of the section 1 of second C. I. A.	8
(1)	Biological parameters for the cotton leaf moth <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> after 2 nd larval instar treatments with different concentrations of Castor oil F1	51
(2)	Biological parameters for the cotton leaf moth <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> after 2 nd larval instar treatments with different concentrations of Castor oil F2	54
(3)	Biological parameters for the cotton leaf moth <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> after 2 nd larval instar treatments with different concentrations of Gossypol F1.	57
(4)	Biological parameters for the cotton leaf moth <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> after 2 nd larval instar treatments with different concentrations of Gossypol F2	60
(5)	Changes in total protein in different larval instars of <i>S. littoralis</i> after treatment of the 2 nd inster larvae with castor oil and gossypol.	70
(6)	Changes in total lipid in different larval instars of <i>S. littoralis</i> after treatment of the 2 nd inster larvae with castor oil and gossypol	73
(7)	Changes in total carbohydrates in different larval instars of S. littoralis after treatment of the 2 nd inster larvae with castor oil and gossypol	76
(8)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Native protein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	82
(9)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	82
(10)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Native protein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	84
(11)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	84
(12)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Native protein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed	04
	though PAGE	86

Table No.		P
(13)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	
(14)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of SDS-protein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	
(15)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2^{nd} larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	
(16)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of SDS-protein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	
(17)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	
(18)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of SDS-protein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	
(19)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> .	
(20)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of α -Esterase pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 2^{nd} larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	,
(21)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2^{nd} larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	
(22)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of α -Esterase pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	
(23)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> .	

Table		Page
No.		- " S·
(24)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of α -Esterase pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 6^{th} larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE	105
(25)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	105
(26)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of β -Esterase pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	110
(27)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	110
(28)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of β -Esterase pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	112
(29)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	112
(30)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of β -Esterase pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 6^{th} larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	114
(31)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	114
(32)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Lipoprotein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	119
(33)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	119
(34)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Lipoprotein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though	119
	PAGE	121

Table		Page
No.		- 45
(35)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	121
(36)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Lipoprotein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	122
(37)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> .	123 123
(38)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Glycoprotein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	123
(39)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	128
(40)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Glycoprotein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE.	130
(41)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 4 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	130
(42)	Relative fragmentation (RF) and amount of Glycoprotein pattern for both Castor oil and Gossypol extract treated samples and control 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> as revealed though PAGE	132
(43)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 6 th larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i>	132
(44)	RAPD-PCR Products in the 6 th instar larvae of <i>S. littoralis</i> after treatment with castor oil and gossypol compared control using random primers.	138
(45)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (1)	
	ireated 2 I laivai iiistai oi 3 iiitoralis iii Priiiier (1)	143

Table		Dogo
No.		Page
(46)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (2)	143
(47)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (3)	144
(48)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and un-	
(49)	treated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (4)	144
(50)	treated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (5)	145
(50)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (6)	145
(51)	Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G. d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and un-	1.46
(52)	treated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (7) Similarity index (S.I.) and genetic distance (G.d.) between treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and un-	146
(53)	treated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (8)	146
(54)	treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (9)	147
` /	treated samples with Castor oil and Gossypol extract and untreated 2 nd larval instar of <i>S littoralis</i> in Primer (10)	147
(55)	Common band and unique band random PCR with ten pri-	157
	mer	101