



# **SCALABLE HTTP MEDIA STREAMING USING DYNAMIC CONNECTIONS**

By

**Samar Ibrahim Ali Farag**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
Electronics and Communications Engineering

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2016**

# **SCALABLE HTTP MEDIA STREAMING USING DYNAMIC CONNECTIONS**

By

**Samar Ibrahim Ali Farag**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
Electronics and Communications Engineering

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Neamat Sayed AbdelKader**

Professor of Engineering

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Dr. Mohmoud Hamed Ismail**

Associate Professor

Electronics and Communication Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Dr. Ahmed Hamdy Zahran**

Assistant Professor

Electronics and Communication Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY**

**GIZA, EGYPT**

**2016**

# **SCALABLE HTTP MEDIA STREAMING USING DYNAMIC CONNECTIONS**

By

Samar Ibrahim Ali Farag

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
Electronics and Communications Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee:

---

Prof. Dr. Neamat Sayed AbdelKader, Thesis Main Advisor

---

Associate Prof. Yasmine Aly Fahmy, Internal Examiner

---

Prof. Dr. Abdelhalim Abdelnaby Zekry, External Examiner  
(Ain Shams University)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2016

**Engineer's Name:** Samar Ibrahim Ali Farag  
**Date of Birth:** 10/04/1989  
**Nationality:** Egyptian  
**E-mail:** samarali.cairouniv@gmail.com  
**Phone:** 01140917029  
**Address:** Assuity st., Imbaba, Giza, Egypt  
**Registration Date:** 01/10/2012  
**Awarding Date:** --/2016  
**Degree:** Master of Science  
**Department:** Electronics and Communications Engineering



**Supervisors:**

Prof. Dr. Neamat Sayed AbdelKader  
Dr. Mohmoud Hamed Ismail  
Dr. Ahmed Hamdy Zahran

**Examiners:**

Prof. Dr. Abdelhalim Abdelnaby Zekry (Ain Shams University)	(External Examiner)
Associate Prof. Yasmine Aly Fahmy	(Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Neamat Sayed AbdelKader	(Thesis Main Advisor)

**Title of Thesis:**

SCALABLE HTTP MEDIA STREAMING USING DYNAMIC  
CONNECTIONS

**Key Words:**

Media Streaming; Video Encoding; Multiple Connections; Enhancement  
Layer Selection Policy; Video quality

**Summary:**

This thesis identifies the main components of the adaptive HTTP client and proposes a streaming heuristic over dynamic multiple connections. The key parameters of the streaming client are: the properties of the requested data, the connection management, and the enhancement policy used to improve the video quality. Our results show that the algorithm successfully achieves interruption free streaming under all the tested bandwidth and link configurations. Additionally, the usage of multiple connections results in noticeable improvements in the achieved streaming quality for large link delays.

# Acknowledgment

**I**<sup>N</sup> The name of Allah the most merciful the most gracious; All thanks to Allah the Lord of the Heavens and Earth and peace be upon Mohamed and his companions. I

wish to express my gratitude to my supervisors, **Prof. Dr. Neamat Abd El-Kader, and Dr. Ahmed Hamdy Zahran** who were helpful and offered invaluable assistance, support and guidance. I am also genuinely blessed to have **Dr. Mahmoud Hamed Ismail** as a member of the supervisory committee, for his great efforts and constant care. I consider myself as a lucky person to work under their supervision because I have learnt a lot from them, both in scientific and personal aspects. Actually, this work would not have been developed in this form without their guidance, scientific support, and fruitful discussions.

My deepest gratitude to my family and friends. Without their encouragement, I would not have gone this far.

*Samar Ali*

# Dedication

*This dissertation is dedicated to  
my parents,  
my sisters,  
and all my family.*

# Table of Contents

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>List Of Symbols And Abbreviations</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Thesis contribution . . . . .	1
1.3 Thesis Outline . . . . .	2
<b>2 Background and Related Work</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Video Coding Overview . . . . .	3
2.1.1 Non-Scalable Video Coding . . . . .	4
2.1.2 Scalable Video coding . . . . .	4
2.1.3 Multiple Description Video Coding . . . . .	7
2.2 Streaming Strategy . . . . .	7
2.2.1 RTP/UDP . . . . .	7
2.2.2 HTTP/TCP . . . . .	8
A MPD Manifest File . . . . .	9
B Segment Format . . . . .	9
C Client Operation . . . . .	10
2.3 Related work . . . . .	11
2.3.1 HTTP Streaming Performance Evaluation . . . . .	11
2.3.2 HTTP Streaming in Heterogeneous Networks . . . . .	13
2.3.3 Segment Size Design . . . . .	14
2.3.4 HTTP Streaming Rate Adaptation . . . . .	14
2.3.5 Caching HTTP Streams . . . . .	18
2.3.6 HTTP Streaming and Multicast . . . . .	18
2.3.7 HTTP Streaming Standards . . . . .	19
2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	20
<b>3 Scalable Video Coding Dynamic Adaptive Streaming Over HTTP using Multiple connections (SVC-DASH-M) Implementation</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1 Server . . . . .	21
3.2 Client . . . . .	23
3.2.1 Streaming Client Overview . . . . .	23
A Layer-Segment Requester . . . . .	23
B Connection Manager Design . . . . .	25
C Enhancement Layer Selection policy . . . . .	25
3.2.2 Streaming Algorithm Implementation . . . . .	28
3.3 Conclusion . . . . .	32

<b>4</b>	<b>SVC-DASH-M Performance Evaluation</b>	<b>33</b>
4.1	Testbed Setup . . . . .	33
4.1.1	Pre-processing . . . . .	33
A	The Streaming Server . . . . .	33
B	The Emulated Network . . . . .	34
C	The client . . . . .	37
4.1.2	Post-Processing . . . . .	37
A	The Decoding Methods . . . . .	38
A.1	JSVM Decoder . . . . .	38
A.2	Proposed Method: Received Video Construc- tion Using Extra Pre-Processing Steps . . . . .	38
A.3	OpenSVCD decoder . . . . .	40
B	Evaluation for the video quality . . . . .	41
B.1	The subjective video quality Metric: Mean Opinion Score . . . . .	41
B.2	The objective video quality Metric: PSNR . . . . .	41
4.2	Experiments . . . . .	43
4.3	Performance Results . . . . .	44
4.3.1	The DASH client using the whole network resources . . . . .	45
A	Horizontal Enhancement Layer Selection Policy . . . . .	45
B	Diagonal Enhancement Layer Selection Policy . . . . .	54
4.3.2	The Cross Traffic Emulation . . . . .	60
A	Horizontal Enhancement Layer Selection Policy . . . . .	61
B	Diagonal Enhancement Layer Selection Policy (Slope =1) . . . . .	64
4.3.3	The Quality and PSNR for different video sequences . . . . .	65
4.4	Conclusion . . . . .	66
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Conclusions . . . . .	71
5.2	Future work . . . . .	72
	<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>74</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>75</b>
	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>79</b>



# List of Tables

3.1	Algorithm Functions . . . . .	24
4.1	The Buffer Parameters . . . . .	37
4.2	The Tested Videos . . . . .	44
4.3	KPI . . . . .	44
4.4	Bandwidth requirement for different qualities for Paris video . . . . .	46
4.5	KPIs for different link bandwidths (256Kbps, 512Kbps, 1Mbps, and 2Mbps)	49
4.6	KPIs for different link bandwidths (4Mbps, and 8Mbps) . . . . .	50
4.7	Key performance indices for different link delays . . . . .	51
4.8	KPIs for different bandwidths(256Kbps, 512Kbps, 1Mbps, and 2Mbps) .	57
4.9	KPIs for different bandwidths (4Mbps, and 8Mbps) . . . . .	58
4.10	KPIs for different link delays . . . . .	59
4.11	Interruption information for different connection configuration using diag- onal policy . . . . .	65
4.12	Information about tested video sequences . . . . .	65

# List of Figures

2.1	An example of MPEG coding with GOP (9, 3) [22] . . . . .	4
2.2	Illustrative example of scalability dimensions in H.264/SVC [19]. . . . .	5
2.3	An example of temporal video coding [22] . . . . .	6
2.4	Caching and usage of network resources for AVC and SVC[36] . . . . .	6
2.5	Streaming between an HTTP server and a streaming client[40] . . . . .	9
2.6	MPD layout [40] . . . . .	10
2.7	Media reception procedure . . . . .	11
2.8	An example of heterogeneous wireless networks . . . . .	13
2.9	Pull-Patching system Architecture . . . . .	19
3.1	SVC-DASH-M System . . . . .	21
3.2	The Extraction of the .264 Layer file . . . . .	22
3.3	MPD Preparation . . . . .	23
3.4	Vertical Layer Selection Policy . . . . .	27
3.5	Horizontal Layer Selection Policy . . . . .	27
3.6	Diagonal Layer Selection Policy . . . . .	28
3.7	SVC-DASH-M client implementation . . . . .	29
4.1	Testbed Architecture . . . . .	33
4.2	JSVM BitstreamExtractor output showing the properties of scalable video layers for a certain segment . . . . .	38
4.3	JSVM BitstreamExtractor output showing the properties of scalable video layers when the fps of Qcif and cif are equal . . . . .	40
4.4	PSNR caculation when the reference and received videos have different temporal scalability . . . . .	42
4.5	PSNR caculation when the received and reference videos have different spatial scalability . . . . .	43
4.6	Average Video Quality Versus Bandwidth for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	45
4.7	Segment Quality versus segment number for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	47
4.8	Throughput versus Maximum number of connections for different network Bandwidth for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	50
4.9	Average Video Quality versus Link Delay for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	52
4.10	Throughput versus Maximum number of connections for different link delay for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	53
4.11	Average Video PSNR versus Bandwidth for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	53
4.12	Average Video PSNR versus Link Delay for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	54
4.13	Segment Quality versus segment number for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy . . . . .	55

4.14	Average Video Quality Versus Bandwidth for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy . . . . .	56
4.15	Average Video Quality versus Link Delay for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy . . . . .	56
4.16	Average Video PSNR versus Bandwidth for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy . . . . .	58
4.17	Average Video PSNR versus Link Delay for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy . . . . .	60
4.18	Setup for the cross traffic experiment . . . . .	61
4.19	Settled BW vs. Cross Traffic BW . . . . .	61
4.20	Settled BW vs. Cross Traffic BW when The cross traffic is existed during the streaming period . . . . .	62
4.21	Segment Quality Vs. Segment Number at 2 Mbps UDP cross traffic for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy .	62
4.22	Segment Quality Vs. Segment Number at 4 Mbps CBR UDP cross traffic for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy	63
4.23	Average Video Quality for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) at the two different values of the Cross Traffic BW for the Horizontal Policy	67
4.24	Average Video Quality at the cross traffic equals 50%, and 90% of the settled BW for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	67
4.25	Segment Quality Vs. Segment Number at 2 Mbps CBR UDP cross traffic for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy	68
4.26	Segment Quality Vs. Segment Number at 4 Mbps CBR UDP cross traffic for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) for the Diagonal Policy	68
4.27	Average Video Quality for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) at the two different values of the Cross Traffic BW for The Diagonal Policy	69
4.28	Average Video Quality for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) at the cross traffic equals 50%, and 90% of the settled BW for the Diagonal Policy . . . . .	69
4.29	Average Video quality for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) at 2Mbps BW, and 10ms link delay for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	70
4.30	Average Video PSNR for different connection configurations ( $n_c^{min}, n_c^{max}$ ) at 2Mbps BW, and 10ms link delay for the Horizontal Policy . . . . .	70

# List Of Symbols And Abbreviations

HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
DASH	Dynamic Adaptive Streaming Over HTTP
SVC	scalable video coding
PSNR	Peak Signal to Noise Ratio
IPTv	Internet Protocol TV
NAT	Network Address Translation
RTP	Real time protocol
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
TCP	transmission control protocol
AVC	advanced video coding
MPEG	Moving Picture Experts Group
SVC-DASH-M	Scalable Video Coding Dynamic Adaptive Streaming Over HTTP using Multiple Connections
JPEG	Joint Photographic Experts Group
I-frame	intra-coded frame
P-frame	predictive-frame
B-frame	bi-directionally predictive-coded frame
GoP	Group of Pictures
Did	spatial scalability layer (Multiple resolutions)
Tid	temporal scalability layer(Multiple frames per second)
Qid	quality scalability layer
MDC	Multiple description coding
MPD	Media Presentation Description
SAP	Stream Access Point
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
QoS	quality of service
QoE	quality of Experience
MOS	Mean Opinion Score
$T_{init}$	initial buffering time

$T_{rebuf}$	mean rebuffering duration
$f_{rebuf}$	rebuffering frequency
OSMF	Open Source Media Framework
RTSP	Real Time Streaming Protocol
HetNets	Heterogeneous Networks
RAN	Radio Access Network
WiMAX	Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access
WiFi	wireless fidelity
E-UTRAN	Evolved Universal Mobile Telecommunications System Terrestrial Radio Access Network
LTE	Long Term Evolution
LTE-A	Long Term Evolution-Advanced
HAS	HTTP Adaptive Streaming
CDN	Content Distribution Network
SFTM	Segment fetch time metric
MSD	media segment duration
SFT	segment fetch time
RSFT	remaining segment fetch time, and real time buffering status of DASH
TBMT	target buffered media time
$t_{ns}$	playback time-stamp of the first frame of the next segment
$t_{s0}$	current playback time-stamp at the time instant of requesting the next segment
$\tilde{B}$	estimated bitrate of the next segments
$SFT_{av}$	available time to fetch the next segment without suffering from any playout interruption
$n_p$	number of parallel receiving segments
$b_{s_k}$	received bits of segments $s_k$
$ft_{s_k}$	fetch time from requesting $s_k$ to the current time
$d_{ns}$	denotes the next segment duration
PFTM	portion fetch time metric
NS2	Network Simulator-version 2
AHS	Adaptive HTTP Streaming
ISAVS	Intelligent Bit-rate Switching based Adaptive Video Streaming
$\lambda$	represents an appreciation weight for the quality variations
$T_c$	segment inter-arrival time
$T_R$	segment duration
$[f_{T_c}(t)]_i$	probability density function of inter-fragment time for the $i$ th quality level
yuv	yuv model defines a color space in terms of one luma (Y)

	and two chrominance (UV) components
JSVM	Joint Scalable Video Model
Seg_file	segment file
NALU	Network Abstraction Layer Unit
AWK	interpreted programming language
$B_{min}$	low threshold for the data to be maintained in the buffer to accommodate network condition variations
$B_{target}$	represents a target buffer level that the application should be operating around
$SD$	duration of the received segment
$SFT$	duration over which segment is fetched
$\mu$	Network indication ratio
Kb/s	Kilo bit per second
$\epsilon$	application demand ratio
$r_{next}$	rate of the next two segments to be requested
$r_{prev}$	rate of the received segment
MIN	minimum buffer level
TARGET	Target buffer level
CIF	Common Intermediate Format
QCIF	Quarter CIF
FIFO	first-in first-out scheduler
RR	Round-Robin algorithm
TBF	Token Bucket Filter
HZ	Hertz
mpu	Minimum Packet Unit
MTU	Maximum transfer Unit
$Bw$	Bandwidth
I/O	Input/output
TSO	Tcp-Segmentation-Offload
NIC	network interface controller
GSO	generic-segmentation- offload
GRO	generic-receive-offload
API	Application Program Interface
OS	Operating System
$MSE$	mean squared error
$fps$	number of frames per second
SNR	Signal to Noise Ratio
$t_d$	download time

KPI	Key Performance Index
ms	milliseconds
Mbps	Mega bit per second
CBR	Constant Bit rate
ICT	International Conference on Telecommunications
$n_i$	The number of interrupts
$n_c$	The number of opened connections
$n_{cc}$	The number of closed connections due to low bandwidth
$d_v$	The application downloaded data
$t_d$	The time at which the application stops downloading more layer-segments
$\gamma$	The application goodput
$q$	The average quality