CHARACTERISTICS OF BRUCELLOSIS IN AB-BASEYA FEVER HOSPITAL

Thesis for the partial fulfilment of M.SC in Tropical Medicine.

BY
Rehab Sayed Abdullah Saad
M.B, B.Ch.

Under supervision of

Professor Or. Maissa El Said El Raziky
Professor of Tropical Medicine
Cairo University

Ass Professor Dr. Ahmed Foad Soliman
Assistant professor of Tropical Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Wafaa El Akel
Consultant of Tropical Medicine
Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University (2010)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

 $m{F}$ irst and foremost, I feel always in depth to $m{Allah}$ the most Merciful the most Gracious. Thanks to $m{Allah}$ who has lightened my path to become a humble student of a noble profession and granted me the ability to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to *Dr Maissa El Said Alraziky Professor of Tropical Medicine Cairo University;* for her close supervision, support, guidance, patience, valuable instructions and advices, continuous help. I learned from her many not only in medicine but also in life.

I am profoundly grateful to Dr Ahmad Fuad Soliman Assistant professor of Tropical Medicine Cairo University for his guidance, valuable comments and careful supervision. He has generously dedicated much of his valuable time and effort to present this work in an ideal form.

My sincere thanks go to Dr Wafaa El Akel Consultant of Tropical medicine Cairo University; for her kind supervision and faithful guidance. She generously did the statistical part of this work in supportive, patience and educative way.

 $m{I}$ am forever grateful to all $m{my}$ professors and $m{Colleagues}$ $m{I}$ owe them very much for their constant education and advices.

M any thanks for my *parents, brother and sister*, for their love, support, patience, and understanding &always praying for me.

Last but not least, no words ever can express my feeling of admiration and extreme thanks to *the patients*, without their help, this work could not have seen the light.

ABSTRACT

The Demographic, clinical, laboratory findings during the active course of this infection. Inclusion criteria included a compatible clinical picture with either brucella (SAT) antibody titer of 1/360 and or a positive blood culture for brucella organism.

KEY WORDS

Characteristics

Brucellosis

Hospital

CONTENTS

| Acknowledgment | i |
|--|-----|
| List of abbreviations | iii |
| List of figures | V |
| List of tables | vi |
| Chapter 1 | |
| > Introduction | 1 |
| Chapter 2 | - |
| > Historical Perspective for brucellosis | 3 |
| > History of using Brucella as a biological weapon | 7 |
| Chapter 3 | |
| PATHOGENESIS of brucellosis | 8 |
| * Causative organism and taxonomy | 8 |
| * Virulence and Pathogenesis | 11 |
| * Source of infections and modes of transmission | 15 |
| Chapter 4 | |
| > The epidemiology and Hazards | 17 |
| Chapter 5 | |
| Diagnosis of brucellosis | 32 |
| *Clinical manifestations | 32 |
| * Laboratory Diagnosis | 40 |
| Chapter 6 | |
| > Treatment | 52 |
| Chapter 7 | |
| Prevention & control of brucellosis | 64 |
| Patients and Methods | 68 |
| | nx |

| The results | 79 |
|-----------------|-----|
| The discussion | 104 |
| Summary | 116 |
| Recommendations | |
| References | |
| Arabic summary | |

List of tables

| No. | Title | Page |
|-------|---|-----------|
| I | Taxonomic characteristics of Brucella species | 10 |
| II | Antibiotics Used in the Treatment of Brucellosis in Humans. | 65 |
| a | The Pre-designed sheet for data collection – page 1 | 70 |
| b | The Pre-designed sheet for data collection – page 2 | 71 |
| c | The Pre-designed sheet for data collection – page 3 | 72 |
| 1 | Demographic data of the studied patients. | 79 |
| 2 | The distribution of the patients geographically. | 80 |
| 3 | Seasonal distribution of Brucella patients | 82 |
| | presented to the hospital. | |
| 4 | Symptoms of studied patients. | 84 |
| 5 | character of fever of the studied patients | 85 |
| 6 | Signs detected in the patients. | 86 |
| 7 | Past history of related illness | 87 |
| 8 | Exposure to Risk factors. | 88 |
| 9 | Frequency of occupational exposures among studied patients with high risk jobs. | 90 |
| 10 | The numbers of patients exposed to multiple risk factors. | 91 |
| 11 | Relation of normal &high Liver enzymes. | 91 |
| 12 | Percentage of presence of anemia. | 92 |
| 13a&b | presence of thrombocytopenia & Leucopenia | 92 |
| 14 | SAT titer at diagnosis | 93 |
| 15 | Comparison between low and high titer in | 94 |
| | relation with demographic data. | |
| 16 | Fever characteristics in relation to SAT titer. | 94 |

| 17 | Clinical pattern: symptoms in relation | 95 |
|------------|--|-----------|
| | tohigh&low SAT titer. | |
| 18 | Clinical pattern Examination findings in relation | 95 |
| | to high &low SAT titer | |
| 19 | Comparison of Baseline liver function tests and | 96 |
| | blood counts in relation to SAT titer. | |
| 20 | Baseline lab results in relation to SAT titer. | 97 |
| 21 | Exposure to variable risk factors & single risk | 97 |
| | factor in relation to titer level. | |
| 22 | Exposure to non viable tissue in relation to titer | 97 |
| | level. | <i>.</i> |
| 23 | Exposure to viable tissue in relation to titer | 98 |
| | level. | 70 |
| 24 | Occupational exposure to in relation to SAT titer | 98 |
| 4 7 | level. | 70 |
| 25 | Baseline lab results in relation to occupational | 99 |
| 23 | exposure to brucellosis. | 77 |
| 26 | • | 99 |
| 20 | ` ' | 99 |
| 27 | Bilirubin) in Correlation between SAT titer. The first line of treatment in patients of | 100 |
| 27 | r | 100 |
| 20 | brucellosis. | 100 |
| 28 | The second line of treatment in non responding | 100 |
| 20 | patients | 101 |
| 29 | Cross tabulation of first& second line of | 101 |
| | treatment in relapsed patients. | |
| 30 | Follow up standard agglutination test (SAT) titer | 101 |
| | in 22 patients. | |
| 31 | Comparison between laboratory findings on | 102 |
| | admission and on follows up after 6 months. | |
| 32 | Comparison of lab results on follow up in | 102 |
| | relation to SAT titer on admission. | - |
| 33 | Complications occurred in some patients | 103 |
| | Company of the manner of the | |

LISTS OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Title | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| I | Microscopic picture of Brucella | 7 |
| II | Schematic Representation of Major Events in the Pathogenesis of Brucellosis and the Host Immune Response | 14 |
| III | Map, The Global Incidence of Human Brucellosis | 30 |
| 1 | Geographical distribution of the patients. | 79 |
| 2 | Governorate distribution of patients | 80 |
| 3a | Distribution of the patients over the year | 83 |
| 3 b | (seasons & months) | 83 |
| 4 | Symptoms of brucella patients | 84 |
| 5 | signs of the brucella patients | 86 |
| 6 | The exposure to risk factors | 89 |
| 7 | The relation to animals as risk factor. | 89 |

List of abbreviations

2ME 2-mercaptoethanol AFI acute febrile illness

ALT Alanine aminotransferase AST Aspartate aminotransferase BAPA buffered acidified plate antigen

B.abortus
B.canis
B.melitensis
B.neotomae
Brucella abortus
Brucella melitensis
Brucella neotomae
Brucella neotomae
Brucella ovis

B.sius
B.pinnipediae
C°
Brucella sius
B.pinnipediae
Centigrade Celsius

CDC Centre for Disease Control and Prevention

CDS Communicable Disease Surveillance

CNS Central nervous system

CO2 Carbon dioxide

ELISA Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

EMB Eosin methylene blue

ESR Erythrocyte sedimentation rate

EU European Union

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization FPA Fluorescence polarization assay

FUO Fever of unknown origin HB% Hemoglobin percent HRM High resolution melt IFN- γ Interferon-gamma LPS Lipo polysaccharide

MDH Minnesota Department of Health

mg Milligram

MMWR Morbidity &Mortality weekly reported

MRI Magnetic resonance imaging

NaCl Sodium chloride

NS Non specific

OIE World Organization for Animal Health

PCR polymerase chain reaction
P value statistical significance value
PEP post exposure prophylaxis

PH Acid-base logarithm

PO Per-oral

RBC Red Blood Cells

RBPT Rose Bengal Plate Agglutination Test

RCT randomized control study rRNA Ribosomal ribonucleic acid SAT Standard agglutination test

SD Serum Dextrose

SEM standard error of the mean

SPP Species

SPSS statistical package for the social sciences

T.bil Total bilirubin

TMP-SMX Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole TNF- α tumour necrosis factor alpha

WBC White blood cell

WHO World Health organization

Introduction

Brucellosis is an old disease with minimal mortality yet human brucellosis remains the commonest and major zoonotic disease worldwide with more than 500 000 new cases annually (Corbel 1997) (Pappas et al., 2005, 2006). It is also called undulant, Mediterranean, or Malta fever (Barend et al., 2009)

Human brucellosis is an acute or chronic systemic disease, which can involve any of the organs or systems of the body (Mantur et al., 2007). It is a serious disease that primarily affects animals, which act as reservoirs for human infection (Samahaa et al., 2009)

Brucellosis in endemic and non-endemic regions remains a diagnostic puzzle due to misleading non-specific manifestations and increasing unusual presentations. Fewer than 10% of human cases of brucellosis may be clinically recognized and treated or reported (Mantur et al., 2007).

Four species, B. *melitensis*, B. *abortus*, B. *suis*, and B.*canis*, are known to cause disease in humans. Brucella species are gram-negative facultative intracellular coccobacilli (Barend *et al.*, 2009) Currently B. *melitensis* remains the principal cause of human brucellosis worldwide (Mantur *et al.*, 2007).

Brucellosis

Many countries have eradicated Brucella abortus from cattle, in some areas Brucella melitensis has emerged as a cause of infection in this species as well as in sheep and goats (Lane B et al., 1997) Brucellosis is a known cause of small household outbreaks (Celebi et al., 2007) (Almuneef et al., 2004)

A proper and prompt diagnosis is important, as the treatment requires specific and prolonged antibiotics (Solera et al., 1997).

The bacterium does not have classic virulence factors (e.g., exotoxins or endotoxins) and the pathogenicity of its lipo polysaccharide (LPS) is not typical (Pappas et al., 2005)

Prevention of brucellosis in humans still depends on the eradication or control of the disease in animal hosts, the exercise of hygienic precautions to limit exposure to infection through occupational activities, and the effective heating of dairy products and other potentially contaminated foods (Corbel 1997) Protective clothing & barriers while handling still births, products of conception and cultures can reduce occupation-related brucellosis (Young 1995) (Madkour 2001).

Current treatment regimens for brucellosis differ significantly in their effectiveness. Treatment should preferably include dual or triple regimens with the optimal treatment being doxycycline-aminoglycoside-rifampicin (Skalsky *et a.,l* 2008).

Aim of the work

This study is aiming for portraying current status of brucellosis profile; epidemiological pattern (demographic and socio economic level), risk factors and clinical presentation, laboratory profile and response to treatment in cases diagnosed in Ab-baseya fever hospital.

Historical Perspective.

Brucellosis is a zoonosis transmitted to humans from infected animals, Sir **David Bruce** (1855-1931): British Army physician and microbiologist, who discovered Micrococcus melitensis, he discovers the microorganism responsible for Malta fever on July 9, 1887. Which he called Micrococcus melitensis.

It was isolated from the spleen of a British soldier who had died of the disease. He later established goats as the main reservoir for infection by identifying the organism in their blood, urine and milk. This discovery helped explain the epidemiology of the disease (**Bruce 1887**).

Bernhard Bang (1848-1932): Danish physician and veterinarian discovered at 1897 that Bacterium abortus could infect cattle, horses, sheep and goats, while investigating contagious abortion that had been affecting cattle in Denmark for over a century. He also discovered that the organism affected horses, sheep, and goats. Thus the disease becomes known as "Bang's disease".

The connection between animals and humans was discovered by **Alice Evans**, an American bacteriologist in the 1920's.

The morphology and pathology of the organism was very similar between Bang's Bacterium abortus and Bruce's Micrococcus melitensis.