



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
CAIRO – EGYPT**

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department

Self-Diplexed Integrated Microstrip Antenna Front-End Transceiver for Wireless Applications.

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the degree of **Master of
Science in Electrical Engineering**

Submitted by

Mohamed Shaker Abd Elfattah El-gendy

Electronics and Communications Eng. Dept.
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Esmat Abdel-Fattah Abdallah

Microstrip Department
Electronics Research Institute

Prof. Dr. Hadia Mohamed El-Henawy

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Hany Mohamed Taher

Microstrip Department
Electronics Research Institute



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
CAIRO - EGYPT**

Examiners Committee

Name: **Mohamed Shaker Abd Elfattah El-gendy**

Thesis: **Self-Diplexed Integrated Microstrip Antenna Front-End Transceiver
for Wireless Applications.**

Degree: **Master of Science in Electrical Engineering (Electronics and
Communications Engineering)**

Title, Name and Affiliation

Signature

1. **Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Ahmed Salem**

Former Director – Technical Military collage

.....

2. **Prof. Dr. Magdy Mahmoud Ibrahim**

Electronics and Communications Eng. Dept.

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

.....

3. **Prof. Dr. Esmat Abdel-Fattah Abdallah**

Microstrip Department,

Electronics Research Institute

.....

4. **Prof. Dr. Hadia Mohamed El-Hennawy**

Electronics and Communications Eng. Dept.

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

.....

Date: / /2011

STATEMENT

This Thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the degree of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Electronics and Communications Engineering, Ain Shams University.

No part of this Thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institute.

Name : Mohamed Shaker Abd Elfattah El-gendy

Signature:

Date :



جامعة عين شمس
كلية الهندسة
قسم هندسة الالكترونيات و الاتصالات الكهربائية

هوائي شريطي مزدوج الدخول متكامل مع مقدمة مرسل / مستقبل للتطبيقات اللاسلكية

رسالة ماجستير
مقدمة من

المهندس/ محمد شاکر عبد الفتاح الجندي
قسم هندسة الالكترونيات والاتصالات
كلية الهندسة – جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

أ.د. / عصمت عبد الفتاح عبد الله
أستاذ بقسم الدوائر الشريطية
معهد بحوث الالكترونيات

أ.د. / هادية محمد الحناوي
أستاذ بكلية الهندسة
جامعة عين شمس

د. / هاني محمد طاهر
باحث بقسم الدوائر الشريطية
معهد بحوث الالكترونيات

القاهرة 2011

Abstract

Due to the advent of the unlicensed wireless communication systems such as Bluetooth and WLAN, in many communication devices, the demand upon microwave and millimeter - wave communication system applications increases. The primitive communication systems which have the capability to transmit and receive at the same time, consists of two isolated circuits. One circuit is used for transmitting and consists of a transmitter and a radiating antenna. Another circuit is used for receiving and consists of a receiver and a receiving antenna. Such system has some problems such as increasing the overall number of components in the circuit and the transmitting and the receiving antennas must be placed far enough apart that the transmitter signals don't interfere with the receiver side. The concept of the transceiver systems is becoming the solution to decrease the cost, size, and weight. In transceiver systems the transmitting and the receiving circuits are integrated together by using a common shared antenna for transmitting and receiving at the same time. Although the transceiver system reduces the overall number of components in the circuit, it faces the problem of poor isolation between the transmitting and the receiving bands.

Conventionally, the transceiver system consists of a common shared antenna and a duplexer or a circulator placed between the radio transmitting and the radio receiving circuits, which is used to provide high isolation performance between them. The main function of duplexer in the transceiver circuits is to protect the receiver from damage if a high level RF signal, like those directly from a transmitter output is applied to the receiver antenna. Although the duplexer provides high isolation between the transmitter and the receiver, it increases the circuit size and cost. In addition, it needs a matching network.

This thesis presents high port isolation for dual-band of frequency, orthogonally polarized proximity coupled rectangular microstrip patch antenna operating at 2.5 GHz band signal at the transmitting port and 2.0 GHz band signal at the receiver port. The proposed system consists of a proximity coupled rectangular microstrip patch antenna with two orthogonal feeding lines. The two orthogonal feeding lines are used as filters. By this technique one can achieve high degree of port isolation between the transmitting and the receiving ports of the microstrip patch antenna. The later system can be achieved by placing a lowpass filter (LPF) at the transmitting port of antenna for suppressing the

higher order harmonic frequency components generated by the integrated power amplifier and a bandstop filter (BSF) at the receiving port of antenna for passing the desired receiving frequency band signal operating at 2.0 GHz and filter out/ suppression of unwanted transmitting frequency band signal operating at 2.5 GHz band at Rx port. The two filters were designed utilizing two different shapes of defected ground structure (DGS) units where, placed underneath the two microstrip feeding lines. A parametric study for both the DGS units is presented. Also, a design formula for getting the 3dB cut off frequency for both DGS units is obtained. The design and simulation of the aforementioned system (i.e. the antenna and the microstrip filters integrated with DGS units) was achieved by using electromagnetic simulation IE3D Zeland ver. 12. The fabrication of the proposed circuit was carried out on RT/Duroid 5880 dielectric substrate with dielectric constant $\epsilon_r=2.2$ and substrate thickness of 31mil. The measured and simulated results of the proposed dual frequency proximity coupled patch antenna shows a high port isolation than the conventional dual frequency proximity coupled patch antenna by about 20dB.

Table of contents

	Page
Table of contents	II
List of Tables	VI
Lists of Figures	VII
List of symbols	XII
List of abbreviations	XIV
Acknowledgement	XVI
Abstract	XVII
Chapter 1: Introduction.	1
1.1 Preface.	1
1.2 Thesis Objective and Achievements.	2
1.3 The Ready Made Simulator Zeland IE3D.	4
1.4 Thesis Organization.	5
Chapter 2: The Duplexers, an Overview.	7
2.1.Introduction	7
2.2.Definition of duplexer.	7
2.3.Duplexer operation.	8
2.4.Traditional duplexing filters.	9
2.4.1 Types of Duplexers.	9
2.4.1.1 Band-pass duplexer.	9
2.4.1.2 Band-reject or notch duplexers.	9
2.4.1.3 Vari-notch type duplexers.	9
2.4.2 Types of Filters.	9
2.4.2.1 Lumped element (LC) filters.	10
2.4.2.2 Cavity filters.	11
2.4.2.3 Ceramic Filters.	12
2.4.2.4 SAW Filters.	14
2.4.2.5 Microstrip filters.	16
Chapter 3: Microstrip Filter Analysis.	24
3.1 Introduction.	24
3.2 Filter Definition.	24
3.3 The History of Filters in Telecommunication.	25
3.4 Microwave Filters Applications.	26
3.4.1 Military Applications	27
3.4.2 Satellite Communication.	27
3.4.3 Cellular Radio.	28
3.5 Filter networks.	29
3.6 Filter Transfer function.	30
3.6.1 General Response.	30
3.7 Filter Design Methods.	31
3.8 Ideal Low-pass Filter Characteristics.	32
3.9 The approximation Functions of Filter Response.	33
3.9.1 Butterworth Function (Maximally Flat Response).	33
3.9.2 Chebyshev Function (Equal Ripple Response).	35

3.9.3 Elliptic Function Response.	37
3.9.4 Gaussian (Maximally Flat Group-Delay) Response.	39
3.10 Process of Filter Design by Insertion Loss Method.	40
3.11 Lowpass Filter Prototypes.	41
3.11.1 Butterworth Lowpass Prototype Filters.	42
3.11.2 Chebyshev Lowpass Prototype Filters.	43
3.11.3 Elliptic Function Lowpass Prototype Filters.	44
3.11.4 Gaussian Lowpass Prototype Filters.	47
3.12 Frequency and Element Transformations.	47
3.12.1 Lowpass Transformation.	48
3.12.2 Highpass Transformation.	49
3.12.3 Bandpass Transformation.	50
3.12.4 Bandstop Transformation.	51
3.13 Richard's Transformation.	52
3.14 Kuroda's Identity	53
Chapter 4: Microstrip Antenna.	56
4.1 Introduction.	56
4.2 Antenna History.	57
4.3 Antenna applications.	58
4.4 General Description of the Microstrip Antenna.	58
4.5 Advantages and Disadvantages of Microstrip Antennas.	59
4.6 Various Microstrip Antenna Configurations.	61
4.6.1 Microstrip Patch Antennas.	61
4.6.2 Microstrip Dipole Antennas.	62
4.6.3 Printed Slot Antennas.	63
4.6.4 Microstrip Traveling-Wave Antennas.	64
4.7 Various Microstrip Feeding Techniques.	65
4.7.1 Microstrip Feeding Line.	65
4.7.2 Coaxial Feeding.	66
4.7.3 Proximity-coupled microstrip Feeding.	67
4.7.4 Aperture Coupled Feeding.	68
4.7.5 Coplaner Waveguide Feed (CPW).	70
4.8 Impedance Matching.	71
4.9 Methods of Analysis.	72
4.9.1 Transmission Line Model.	73
4.9.2 Cavity Model.	73
4.9.3 Multiport Network Method.	75
4.9.4 Full Wave Solutions-Method of Moments (MoM).	75
4.9.5 Finite-Element Method (FEM).	76
4.9.6 Spectral-Domain Technique (SDT).	76
4.9.7 Finite-Difference Time Domain Method (FDTD).	76

Chapter 5: Defected Ground Structures (DGS)	78
5.1 Introduction.	78
5.2 Photonic bandgap structure (PBGs).	79
5.3 Different configurations of the DGS unit cell.	80
5.4 Comparison of different DGS Unit Cells.	80
5.4.1 Rectangular Slot.	82
5.4.2 Circular Head Slot.	82
5.4.3 Arrow Head Slot.	83
5.4.4 Square Head Slot.	83
5.5 Spiral shaped DGS.	83
5.6 The spiral shaped DGS Modeling	84
5.7 The Spiral DGS parametric study.	85
5.7.1 The influence of the square lattice dimension ($A=B$).	85
5.7.2 The influence of the number of turns (N).	86
5.7.3 The influence of the distance between the two coupled lines (d).	88
5.7.4 The influence of the width of the coupled lines (s).	89
5.7.5 The influence of the gap width (g).	90
5.7.6 The influence of the substrate height (h).	92
5.8 Design formula.	93
5.9 Dumb-Bell shaped DGS.	96
5.10 Dumb-Bell shaped DGS modeling.	96
5.11 Equivalent circuit and parameter extraction for Dumb-Bell shaped DGS.	97
5.12 Dumb-Bell DGS parametric study.	99
5.12.1 Influence of the Square Lattice Dimension ($A=B$).	99
5.12.2 Influence of the gap width (g).	100
5.12.3 Influence of the head separation (ℓ).	102
5.13 Design formula.	103
5.14 Periodic Defected ground structure.	104
5.15 The Conventional Design and Analysis Methods of DGSs	105
Chapter 6: Modern Isolation of Dual-band Proximity Coupled Microstrip Antenna Front-end Transceiver.	107
6.1 Introduction.	107
6.2 Overview on Recent Trends of Duplexers.	107
6.2.1 2-D uniplanar compact photonic bandgap UC-PBG.	108
6.2.2 A periodic array of circular patches grounded by vias electromagnetic bandgap (EBG) substrate.	111
6.2.3 Using different feeding structures with multilayer stacked microstrip patch antenna.	116
6.2.3.1 High Isolation Proximity Coupled Multilayer Patch Antenna for Dual-Frequency Operation.	116
6.2.3.2 Dual-Band and Dual-Polarization Patch Antenna with High Isolation Characteristic.	120
6.3 Proposed dual-band proximity coupled microstrip antenna front-end Transceiver.	122
6.3.1 Proposed design and analysis of microstrip filters.	123
6.3.1.1 Proposed spiral-shaped DGS bandstop filter (BSF).	123

6.3.1.2 Proposed dumb-Bell shaped DGS lowpass filter (LPF).	125
6.3.2 Proposed dual band and dual polarized microstrip patch antenna with high port isolation.	126
Chapter 7: Conclusions and Suggestions for Future work	131
7.1 Conclusion.	131
7.2 Suggestions for Future work.	134
References	135

List of Tables

	Page
Table 3.1	Element values for elliptic function lowpass prototype filters ($g_0 = g_{n+1} = 1.0$, $\Omega_c = 1$, $L_{Ar} = 0.1$ dB).
Table 3.2	Element values for Gaussian lowpass prototype filters ($g_0 = g_{n+1} = 1.0$, $\Omega_s = 1$)
Table 5.1	Performance comparison of various slots shapes.
Table 5.2	Effect of the square head (A) on f_c, f_0 and f_n.
Table 5.3	Effect of the number of turns (N) on f_c, f_0 and f_n.
Table 5.4	Effect of the distance between the two coupled line (d) on f_c, f_0 and f_n.
Table 5.5	Effect of the width of the coupled lines (s) on f_c, f_0 and f_n.
Table 5.6	Effect of the gap width (g) on f_c, f_0 and f_n.
Table 5.7	Effect of the substrate height (h) on f_c, f_0 and f_n.
Table 5.8	a_{tmn} as in equation (5.2).
Table 5.9	b_{tmn} as in equation (5.3).
Table 5.10	Effect of the square head (A) on f_c, f_0, L and C.
Table 5.11	Effect of the gap width (g) on f_c, f_0, L and C.
Table 5.12	Effect of the head separation (ℓ) on f_c, f_0, L and C.
Table 5.13	The values of the coefficients a_{mn}.
Table 5.14	The values of the coefficients b_{mn}.

List of Figures

	Page
Fig.2.1	The duplexer in transceiver system. 8
Fig. 2.2	Lumped element filters (a) T-section LC filter, (b) π-section LC filters. 10
Fig.2.3	Cut-away views of typical band-pass and band-reject cavities. 11
Fig.2.4	(a) A six-cavity duplexer, (b) The frequency response. 12
Fig. 2.5	Dual-mode ceramic puck resonator loaded cavity. 13
Fig. 2.6	Construction of a ceramic coaxial resonator. 13
Fig. 2.7	Surface acoustic wave filters. 14
Fig.2. 8	The construction of the SAW filter. 15
Fig. 2.9	Schematic diagram of SAW duplexers. 16
Fig. 2.10	Microstrip line structure. 17
Fig. 2.11	Strip line structure. 17
Fig. 2.12	Outline of the two dual resonators. (a) Upper band (transmitter band), (b) Lower band (receiver band). 18
Fig. 2.13	Transfer function of the filter of transmitter (solid line) and the filter of receiver (dashed line) 19
Fig. 2.14	Design of the duplexer, two filters connected with the antenna. 20
Fig. 2.15	The fabricated microstrip duplexer. 20
Fig. 2.16	The simulated (dotted line) and measured (solid line) isolation between the transmitter and receiver. 20
Fig. 2.17	Simulation (dotted line) and measurement (solid line) insertion loss from transmitter to antenna (black) and from antenna to receiver (grey). 21
Fig.2. 18	The layout of the published microstrip duplexer. 22
Fig.2.19	Simulated performances of the structure, (a) S_{21}, S_{31}, and S_{11}, and (b) S_{22}, S_{33}, and S_{23} 22
Fig.2.20	Simulated and measured performances of the microstrip duplexer,(a) Input return loss at port1 (S_{11}), (b) Output return loss at port 2, 3 (c) Isolation performance (S_{23}), (d) Insertion loss S_{31} and S_{21}. 23
Fig. 3.1	RF front-end of a cellular radio base-station. 29
Fig. 3.2	Ideal low-pass filter characteristics. 33
Fig. 3.3	Butterworth (maximally flat) lowpass filter response. 33
Fig. 3.4	Butterworth (maximally flat) lowpass filter response. 34
Fig. 3.5	Pole distribution for Butterworth (maximally flat) response. 35
Fig. 3.6	Chebyshev lowpass response. 36

Fig.3.7	Low-pass Chebyshev responses ($\varepsilon = 0.5$), (a) n odd and (b) n even.	36
Fig. 3.8	The pole distribution for chebyshev response.	37
Fig.3.9	Elliptic Function response.	38
Fig.3.10	Transfer Function of Elliptic Function response.	38
Fig.3.11	Gaussian (maximally flat group-delay) response: (a)Amplitude, (b) Group delay.	40
Fig.3.12	General Filter design steps chart by insertion loss method.	41
Fig.3.13	Lowpass prototype filters for all-pole filters with (a) A ladder network structure and (b) Its dual.	41
Fig. 3.14	Lowpass prototype filters for elliptic function filters with (a) Series parallel-resonant branches, (b) Its dual with shunt series-resonant branches.	45
Fig. 3.15	Lowpass prototype to lowpass transformation.	49
Fig. 3.16	Lowpass prototype to highpass transformation.	50
Fig. 3.17	Lowpass prototype to bandpass transformation.	51
Fig. 3.18	Lowpass prototype to bandstop transformation.	52
Fig. 3.19	Equivalent distributed elements of Richard's Transformation.	53
Fig. 3.20	Equivalent distributed elements of Kuroda identities Transformation.	54
Fig. 4.1	Top and cross-sectional view of a rectangular microstrip patch.	59
Fig. 4.2	(a) Basic microstrip patch antenna shapes commonly used in practice, (b) Other possible geometries for microstrip patch antennas.	62
Fig.4.3	Configuration of some microstrip and printed dipoles. (a) Proximity coupled strip dipole. (b) Double sided strip dipole.	63
Fig.4.4	Basic printed slot antenna shapes with feed structures.	64
Fig.4.5	Some of printed microstrip traveling-wave antenna configuration.	64
Fig.4.6	Microstrip Patch Antenna with inset feed.	66
Fig. 4.7	Probe or coaxial feeding for rectangular microstrip patch antenna.	66
Fig. 4.8	Proximity-coupled feeding.	68
Fig. 4.9	Aperture-coupled feeding.	69
Fig.4.10	Coplanar waveguide feed of the microstrip patch antenna.	70
Fig.4.11	Coplanar waveguide feed of the microstrip patch antenna. (a) Inductive coupling by splitting the coupling slot into two by the CPW, (b) Capacitive coupling between the patch and the slot, (c) Coupling through an annular slot to reduce backward radiation from the slot.	71

Fig. 4.12	(a) Current distribution on the patch surface, (b) Voltage V, current I and impedance Z distribution along the patch's resonant length.	72
Fig. 4.13	(a) Top view of antenna, (b) Side view of antenna.	73
Fig.4.14	Charge distribution and current density creation on the microstrip patch	74
Fig. 5.1	Three-dimensional view of the PBGs for circular DGS.	79
Fig.5.2	Different configurations of the DGS. (a) Rectangular-shaped, (b) Dumbbell-shaped, (c) Arrow-shaped, (d) Circular-shaped (e) Spiral-shaped, (f) Dog bone-shaped .	80
Fig .5.3	Equivalent circuits of the DGS slot.	80
Fig. 5.4	Spiral configuration DGS.	84
Fig.5.5	The return loss S_{11} and the insertion loss S_{21} versus frequency.	84
Fig.5.6(a)	S_{11} against frequency for different values of A.	85
Fig.5.6(b)	S_{21} against frequency for different values of A	86
Fig.5.7(a)	S_{11} against frequency for different values of N.	87
Fig.5.7(b)	S_{21} against frequency for different values of N.	87
Fig.5.8(a)	S_{11} against frequency for different values of d.	88
Fig.5.8(b)	S_{21} against frequency for different values of d.	88
Fig.5.9(a)	S_{11} versus frequency for different values of s.	89
Fig.5.9(b)	S_{21} versus frequency for different values of s.	90
Fig.5.10(a)	S_{11} versus frequency for different values of g.	91
Fig.5.10(b)	S_{21} versus frequency for different values of g.	91
Fig.5.11(a)	S_{11} versus frequency for different values of h.	92
Fig.5.11(b)	S_{21} versus frequency for different values of h.	92
Fig. 5.12	Dumb-Bell configuration.	96
Fig. 5.13	EM simulation of a square dumb-bell shaped DGS.	97
Fig. 5.14	Circuit simulation result Using ADS software package for the equivalent LC circuit resonator of the square dumb-bell shaped DGS.	98
Fig. 5.15	One pole Butterworth-type low pass filter	98
Fig. 5.16	S_{11} and S_{12} against frequency for different values of A (g=0.2 mm, ℓ=2.4 mm).	100
Fig. 5.17	S_{11} and S_{12} against frequency for different values of g (A=5 mm, ℓ=2.4 mm).	101
Fig. 5.18	S_{11} and S_{12} against frequency for different values of ℓ (A=5 mm, g=0.2 mm)	102
Fig. 5.19	Transmission line with horizontal periodic DGS (HPDGS).	104
Fig. 5.20	Transmission line with vertical periodic DGS (VPDGS).	105
Fig. 5.21	Conventional design and analysis method of DGS.	106

Fig. 6.1	(a) An anisotropic UC-PBG pattern, (b) Frequency response of 2-D PBG along x direction (above) and Frequency response of 2-D PBG along y direction (bottom).	109
Fig. 6.2	(a) Microstrip duplexer antenna prototype (Top view). (b) Prototype of anisotropic UC-PBG microstrip diplexer antenna (ground plane).	110
Fig. 6.3	Comparison of measured return loss and isolation of various antennas.	110
Fig. 6.4	Electromagnetic bandgap Structure. (a) Top layer of EBG structure, (b) Bottom layer of EBG structure, (c) Side view layer of EBG structure.	111
Fig. 6.5	First, modified design of the top layer of the EBG structure; the bottom layer is as shown in Fig. 6.4(b).	113
Fig. 6.6	Effect of the spacing δ between adjacent patches of the EBG surface on the operating frequency of a square, patch antenna (1200mil side length)	113
Fig. 6.7	Effect of the radius r_p of circular patches of the EBG surface on the operating frequency of a square, patch antenna (1200mil side length).	114
Fig. 6.8	Second, modified design (a) top layer (b) bottom layer	115
Fig. 6.9	Simulations of S-parameters of square, patch antenna on EBG substrate.	115
Fig. 6.10	Measurements of S-parameters of square, patch antenna on EBG substrate	116
Fig. 6.11	Configuration of the multilayer patch antenna with the EBG filtering feeding lines (a) top view and (b) side view.	117
Fig. 6.12	Simulation result of the isolated filtering lines shown in Fig. 6.11(a). S_{11} (solid line) and S_{12} (dotted line) for filter 2 (port 2) left and filter 1 (port 1) right.	118
Fig. 6.13	Measured isolation between ports for the reference antenna with conventional feeding lines and simulated versus measured EBG-filters design.	119
Fig. 6.14	Measured return losses for the reference antenna and comparison between simulations and measurements for the design with the EBG-filters, for port 1 (left) and port 2 (right).	119
Fig. 6.15	Configuration of Dual-Band and Dual-Polarization Patch Antenna with High Isolation Characteristic.	120
Fig. 6.16	(a) Configuration of the CPW resonant cell. (b) Measured S-parameters for the CPW resonant cell.	121
Fig. 6.17	Measured S-parameter for the dual-band and dual- polarization patch antenna.	122
Fig. 6.18	Structure of spiral-shaped DGS bandstop filter.	123
Fig. 6.19	Measured and simulated S_{11} and S_{21} against frequency of the spiral-shaped DGS-BSF.	124
Fig. 6.20	The fabricated circuit of the spiral-shaped DGS bandstop filter,	124