

**ENHANCEMENT OF THE CATHODIC
PROTECTION SYSTEM EFFICIENCY OF BURIED
METALLIC PIPES TO LIMIT ENVIRONMENTAL
POLLUTION RISKS RESULTING FROM LEAKAGE**

by

Eman Mohamed Saad Eldeen Noamy

B.Sc. in Power Engineering, Zagazig University, 1988

Diploma in Environmental Science, Ain Shams University, 2002

M.SC. in Environmental Science, Ain Shams University, 2005

A thesis submitted as Partial fulfillment
of
The Requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
in
Environmental science

**Department of Environmental Engineering
Institute of Environmental Studies and Research
Ain Shams University**

2011

**ENHANCEMENT OF THE CATHODIC PROTECTION
SYSTEM EFFICIENCY OF BURIED METALLIC PIPES
TO LIMIT ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION RISKS
RESULTING FROM LEAKAGE**

by

Eman Mohamed Saad Eldeen Noamy

B.Sc. in Power Engineering, Zagazig University, 1988

Diploma in Environmental Science, (Engineering Department)

Ain Shams University, 2002

M.SC. in Environmental Science, (Engineering Department)

Ain Shams University, 2005

A thesis submitted as Partial fulfillment
of
The Requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
in
Environmental science

Department of Environmental Engineering Science

Under the supervision of:

1- Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawki Abdel-Ghany

Professor of Mechanical Power Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

2- Prof. Dr. Saad El-Deen Mohamed Desouky

Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Production Department
Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute

2011

APPROVAL SHEET

ENHANCEMENT OF THE CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEM EFFICIENCY OF BURIED METALLIC PIPES TO LIMIT ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION RISKS RESULTING FROM LEAKAGE

by

Eman Mohamed Saad Eldeen Noamy

B.Sc. in Power Engineering, Zagazig University, 1988
Diploma in Environmental science (Engineering Department)
Ain Shams University, 2002

M.SC. in Environmental science (Engineering Department)
Ain Shams University, 2005

This Thesis Towards a Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Environmental
Science has been Approved by:

- | Name | signature |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Prof. Dr. Salah Mahmoud El Haggat
Prof. of Mechanical Engineering &
Head of Mechanical Engineering Department
American University in Cairo | |
| 2. Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Mohamed El Sayed Dohem
Prof. of Petroleum Chemistry, Department of Operational Development
Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute | |
| 3. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawki Abdel-Ghany
Prof. of Mechanical Power
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University | |
| 4. Prof. Dr. Dss El-Deen Mohamed Desouky
Prof of Petroleum Engineering, Production Department
Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute | |

2011

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express her sincere thanks and gratitude to her supervisor **Pro. Dr. Ahmed Shawki Abdel- Ghany**, Professor of Mechanical Power Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for suggesting the topic of the present research and for his supervision and constant support throughout the course of this thesis.

The author is greatly indebted to **Prof, Dr Saad El-Deen Mohamed Desouky**, Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Production Department, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, EPRI, for his help and valuable guidance.

The author expresses also her sincere thanks for **Prof. Dr Ahmed El-Sabagh**, Director Manager of the Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, EPRI for providing the experimental facilities and his support and encouragement. Thanks also extending to **Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Ibrahim**, head of production department and manager of Drilling Fluids and Oil Development Center for his support.

Special thanks for **Prof. Dr. Magda Ebeid** for her sincere love and encouragement, and all **Professors and Teaching Staffs** at the Environmental Engineering Department, Institute of Environmental Studies and Researches, Ain Shams University for their continuous help and encouragement.

Great and sincere thanks go to **Eng. Farag A. Hassan** for his help, support, encouraging and all his brilliant ideas that help put this work together.

Many thanks for our electric consultant **Dr. Eng Aly Gomaa**. And great thanks for **Eng. Hamdy A. Hassan**, Technician **Mr Abd Raboo Ramadan** for their help during the construction of this experimental testing facility.

ABSTRACT

The investigation described in this thesis is carried out - on a laboratory scale - to evaluate the effects of anodes positions relative to a condensed buried piping in a confined area on their cathodic protection performance. For this purpose, three identical sets of steel bars of two different lengths and three different diameters (representing pipes) were weighed and installed in three separate basins (on 6 different crossing levels) on identical holding frames and immersed in NaCl solution of same concentration 3.4 wt %.

Corrosion was studied on the three identical sets of bars; nominated set in basin (A), set in basin (B) and set in basin (C). Set (A) was cathodically protected utilizing uniformly distributed anodes between the bars; set (B) protected by a single anode placed close the basin middle bottom (close bed anode), while set (C) protected by a single anode installed facing the middle side of the set.

The experimental system was energized in parallel through a stabilized DC power source. Prior to system energization the polarized native potential for all bars in all basins was (0.45 V) relative to zinc reference electrode.

Daily measurements recording of the protection system parameters for the three sets were carried out, included: driving voltage and consumed current for each set, as well as potential differences between the steel bars and the electrolyte taken at four points for each bar for two conditions ON (protected current applied) and OFF (immediately after switching off protection current). Pure zinc reference electrodes were used for this purpose. The test period continued for 60 days and the main finding can

be summarized in the following:

- 1- Bars in the three basins suffered from metal loss to different degrees which indicate presence of interference current of different values in all basins. Minimum loss was for set (C) then set (A); loss in set (B) being approximately, twice that of (A) and four times of (C).
- 2- Basin (C) showed the best performance of CP system regarding potential and stability followed by set (A) and the worst was set (B).
- 3- Minimum current consumption was for set (A) then set (C). The A-h consumption for sets (B) and (C) being, approximately four and 1.65 times that for (A), respectively.
- 4- Best protection level was observed for set (C) then set (A) and minimum protection for set (B).
- 5- Maximum protection shift was observed for set (C) then set (A) then set (B).
- 6- In general, performance of set (C) was the most favorable.

In brief, the following conclusions can be made:

- In relatively small areas crowded with buried pipes close single anode, as in set (B) are absolutely not recommended since it leads to unsteady protection performance due to severe interference and proximity effect.
- Remote anode position proved to be the optimum case.
- Performance of systems with distributed anodes comes in between remote and close anode systems.

Finally, the thesis includes intensive review of the environmental impacts and damages recorded nationally and internationally caused by corrosion leaks in piping systems and similar catastrophic accidents. Direct and indirect effects, monitoring, treatment of oil spills are also included with particular emphasis placed on the relevant international treaties.

SUMMARY

The present work investigates - on a laboratory scale - the effect of anodes position relative to cathodically protected condensed buried piping area. For this reason three identical sets of steel bars of two different lengths and three different diameters (representing pipes) were weighed and installed in three separate basins on identical racks and immersed in NaCl solution of same concentration 3.4 wt %.

Three different anode configurations were applied, one for each bar set as follows: Configuration A (distributed) small anodes suspended uniformly in between steel bars oriented parallel to the long bars and connected in parallel to the positive terminal; Configuration B (close) one main anode laid on the bottom of the basin at the centre of the bar set and oriented parallel to the long bars; and Configuration C (remote) one main anode suspended on the basin side at the middle level of bars set and oriented parallel to the short bars.

The experimental system was energized in parallel through a stabilized DC source. Prior to system energization the polarized native potential for all bars in all basins was (0.45 V) relative to zinc reference electrode.

Daily recording of system parameters for the three sets was carried out, measurements included:

- (i) Potential difference between steel bars and electrolyte taken at four points for each bar for two conditions ON (protected current applied) and OFF (immediately after switching of protection current) pure zinc reference electrode was used for this purpose;
- (ii) Current consumption for each basin; and
- (iii) Driving voltage for each basin.

Elaboration of results was implemented in the following fashion:

- a-** The average potential difference of the four ON/OFF readings for each bar were calculated and plotted against time all along the test period (60 days).
- b-** The averages of daily readings for each bar were averaged to a gross average value over the test period.
- c-** The gross average ON and OFF readings were plotted on a bar diagram for each bar in each basin, in order to evaluate the degree of polarization during the test period and consequently the average potential shift.
- d-** Electric current for each basin was measured at the same time of potential measurement and the gross ampere-hour consumed during the test period was calculated for each basin separately.

By the end of test period the bars were cleaned in diluted HCL according to relevant standards and weighed. Reduction in weight represents corrosion loss.

Examination of obtained experimental results revealed the following features:

- 1-** Bars in the three basins suffered from metal loss to different degrees which indicate presence of interference current of different values in all basins. Minimum loss was in basin (C) than (A) [doubled that of (C)] then (B) four times as that of (C).
- 2-** Basin (C) showed the best performance of CP system regarding potential and stability followed by basin A and the worst was basin B.
- 3-** Minimum current consumption was in basin (A) then (C)

approximately, 1.65 that in (A) and the maximum was in basin (B) which was four times that of basin (A).

- 4- Best protection level was observed in basin (C) then (A) and minimum protection in basin (B).
- 5- Maximum protection shift was in basin (C) then Basin (A) then basin (B).
- 6- In general performance of basin (C) was the most favorable.

Potential variation with time shows the following:

- 1- The general trend of basin (C) is the increase of protection level with time along the test period which is attributed to systematic precipitation of calcium/magnesium deposits on the bars surfaces.
- 2- Regarding basin (A) the average protection level is almost the same along the test period which is an indication of steady state with constant amount of interference current flowing in between different bars.
- 3- As for basin (B) completely unsteady state was prevailing, severe interference currents flew in between different bars, most probably due to substantial proximity effects.

From above the following conclusions can be made:

- In relatively small areas crowded with pipes close single anode (as in basin B) are absolutely not recommended since it leads to unsteady protection performance due to severe interference and proximity effect.
- Remote anode position proved to be the optimum case.
- Performance of systems with distributed anodes comes in between remote and close systems.

Finally, the thesis includes intensive review of the environmental impacts and damages recorded nationally and internationally caused by corrosion leaks in piping systems. Direct and indirect effects, monitoring, treatment of oil spills are also induced with particular emphasis placed on the relevant international treaties.

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
SUMMARY	iv
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
LIST PHOTOS	xvi
ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER (1) INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER (2) LITERATURES REVIEW	14
2-1 Introduction	14
2-2 Cathodic Protection	14
2-3 Mathematical Models for Cathodic Protection...	15
2-4 Corrosion Monitoring	16
2-5 Boundary Elements for CP Systems	17
2-6 Material Loss Prediction and Measurement	19
2-7 Stray Currents (AC and DC)	19
2-8 Anode Distribution	22
2-9 Calcareous Deposit	23

2-10 Solar and Wind Photovoltaic for CP System	27
2-11 Pipeline Failure	28
2-12 CP the First Line of Defense	29
CHAPTER (3) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.....	32
3-1 Introduction	32
3-2 Oil Definitions	32
3-3 Oil Pipeline	33
3-4 Corrosion Prevention	35
3-5 Pipeline Accidents Caused by Corrosion	35
3-6 Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill	37
3-7 Environmental Impacts of Oil Spill Incidents	40
3-8 Methods of Monitoring and Detecting Oil spill ..	42
3-9 Treatment and Prevention of Oil Spill	43
3-10 Analysis of Inland Oil and Fuel Incidents in England	44
3-11 Oil Pollution and management in Egypt (the Suez Gulf Region)	47
3-11-1 Environmental Features and Risk	47
3-11-2 Oil pollution Sources in the Suez Gulf	49
3-11-4 Oil Spill Incidents and Frequency	50
3-12 Legal Frameworks for Environmental Management	53
3-13 Legal Setting Associated with Oil Pollution	53
3-14 Environmental Impact Assessment	53

3-15 Water Quality Standards for Oil and Greases ...	54
3-16 Current Management and Practices for Oil Pollution	54
3-17 Environmental Laws dealing with Oil Pollution	55
3-17-1 Oil Pollution Act (OPA) of 1990	55
3-17-2 The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) decree No 86 of 1992	55
3-18 Water Quality Laboratory	55
3-19 Clean-up Techniques	56
3-19-1 In Situ Soil Vapor Extraction	56
3-19-2 In Situ Steam Injection Vapor Extraction	56
3-19-3 Air Sparging	56
3-19-4 Pump and Treat	57
3-19-5 Vacuum-enhanced pump and treat	57
3-19-6 In Situ Bioremediation	57
3-20 Aims of the Environmental Agency	57
CHAPTER (4) EXPERIMENTAL SETUP.....	67
4-1 The Objective	67
4-2 Experimental Arrangement	67
4-2-1 The Basins	67
4-2-2 The Steel Bars (Cathode)	68
4-2-3 The Insulating Material	68
4-2-4 Bars Holder Frame	69
4-2-5 The Bars Lay out	69
4-2-6 The Anodes (Ground Beds)	69
4-2-7 The Electrolyte	70

4-2-8 Reference Electrodes	70
4-2-9 Anodes and Junction Box Supports	71
4-2-10 The Junction Box	71
4-2-11 Electrical Wiring and Equipment	71
4-2-12 The DC Power Supply	71
4-2-13 The Multi-meter	72
4-2-14 Electronic Digital Scale	72
CHAPTER (5) WORKS PROCEDURE AND RESULTS	86
5-1 The Work Procedure	86
5-2 Results	87
CHAPTER (6) DISCUSSION	112
CHAPTER (7) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	116
7-1 Conclusions	116
7-2 Recommendations	116
REFERENCES	118
Arabic Summary	129
Arabic Abstract	131