Comparison of the Cyclic Fatigue Resistance of Rotary Nickel Titanium Endodontic Instruments Manufactured From Two Different Alloys

Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

For Partial Fulfillment of Requirements of the Master Degree in Endodontics

By

Marwa Mohammad Aly Taha Abou Seeda

B.D.S.

(Ain Shams University)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ehab Al-Sayed Hassanien

Professor of Endodontics Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams University

Dr. Shehab El-din M. Saber

Lecturer of Endodontics Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams University

Dr. Dalia Yahia Ibrahim

Researcher of Dental Biomaterial National Research Center

مقارنة مقاومة الاجهاد الدورى لآلات علاج الجذور (النيكل ـ تيتانيوم) الدوارة و المصنعة من سبيكتين مختلفتين

مشروع بحثى مقدم لكلية طب الفم و الأسنان جامعة عين شمس لاتمام متطلبات درجة الماجستير

مقدم من مروة محمد على طه ابو سعدة بكالوريوس طب و جراحة الفم و الأسنان جامعة عين شمس

المشرفون

ا.د / ايهاب السيد حسنين استاذ علاج الجذور كلية طب الأسنان جامعة عين شمس

د/ شهاب الدين محمد صابر مدرس علاج الجذور كلية طب الأسنان جامعة عين شمس

> د/ داليا يحيى ابراهيم باحث المواد الحيوية المركز القومي للبحوث

The aim of this study was to evaluate the cyclic fatigue resistance of ProFile GT Series X rotary endodontic files fabricated from M-Wire and compare it to ProFile GT rotary files fabricated from traditional super-elastic Nickel-Titanium alloy.

A total of one hundred and twenty rotary endodontic files, sixty file of each type of file systems were used in this study. Samples were divided into six groups, three for each system according the taper. Each system had three tapers tested 0.04, 0.06, 0.08 all of ISO tip size 30. Furthermore, each group was subdivided into subgroup according to the angle of curvature tested.

A specially designed cyclic fatigue testing device was fabricated for this study. Cyclic fatigue testing was performed by rotating instruments in an artificially constructed stainless steel canals with a 5 mm radius and 45° and 60° angle of curvature .The time to failure was recorded, and the total number of cycles to failure was calculated and compared for a total of 120 samples. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance and the Tukey test for individual comparisons (confidence level of $P \le 0.05$).

Dedication

To My Dearest Father

To My Lovely Mother

To My Sweet Sisters

To My Faithful Fiancé

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep gratitude to *Professor Doctor Ehab Hassanein* Professor of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his valuable guidance and effort throughout my work.

I am proud to be supervised by *Doctor Shehab M. Saber*, lecturer of endodontic department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his sincere help, co-operation and helpful remarks during this study.

My deep appreciation to *Doctor Dalia Yahia*, researcher of dental biomaterials in National Research Center, for her effort, support and encouragement throughout this study.

Special thanks to my dear sister *Maie Mohammad Aly* for her great assistance in AUTOCAD work.

I am extending a very special thank you to *Yasser Momtaz* endodontic specialist in National Research Center for his great effort in making this device comes to the real life.

List of Contents:

| List of Figures | ii |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| List of Tables | vi |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Review of literature | 3 |
| o Rotary Nickel-Titanium instruments | 3 |
| o limitations | 6 |
| o cyclic fatigue Resistance | 17 |
| Aim of the study | 29 |
| Materials and methods | 30 |
| Results | 45 |
| Discussion | 76 |
| Summary and Conclusion | 87 |
| D - £ | 0.1 |

| List of | <u>Figures</u> |
|----------|---|
| Figure | 1: Photograph showing the profile gt file30 |
| Figure | 2: Photograph of profile gt with different tapers30 |
| Figure | 3:Photograph showing the ProFile GTX file31 |
| Figure | 4: Photograph of profile GTX with different tapers used in the study |
| Figure | 5: Showing one of the two blocks used in the study showing the three artificial canals having a 60 ° angle of curvature35 |
| Figure (| Showing the other block used in the study showing the three artificial canals having a 45 ° angle of curvature36 |
| Figure 7 | 7: Showing the canals as designed by the AUTOCAD software showing the 60 $^{\circ}$ angled canals with different tapers36 |
| Figure | 8 : Showing the canals as designed by the AUTOCAD software showing the three canals angled 45° with different tapers37 |
| Figure ! | 9: Diagram showing pruett's method for measuring angle of curvature illustrating how two curved roots can have same angle but with different radii of curvature |
| Figure | 10: The AutoCAD diagram showing the method of measuring both the radius and angle of curvature in the first block in this study according to pruett's method |
| Figure | 11: The autocad diagram showing the method of measuring both theradius and angle of curvature in the second block in this study according to pruett method |
| Figure 1 | 2: Photograph showing the cyclic fatigue testing device designed and made for the study41 |
| Figure | 13: Photograph showing the cyclic fatigue testing device connected to the motor used in the |

| Figure | 14 : P hotograph showing the endodontic motor NSK's Endo-Mate DT used in the study |
|--------|---|
| Figure | 15 : Bar chart showing the NCF of profile gt in simulated root canal curvature of 45 degree |
| Figure | 16: Bar chart showing the NCF of ProFile GT in canal curvature of 60° degrees |
| Figure | 17: Bar chart showing the NCF of ProFile GTX in canal curvature of 45° degrees |
| Figure | 18: Bar chart showing the NCF of Profile GTX in canal curvature of 60° degrees |
| Figure | 19: Bar chart showing the NCF of Profile GT and Profile GTX in simulated canal curvature of 45 ° degrees |
| Figure | 20: Bar chart showing the NCF of Profile GT and Profile GTX in simulated canal curvature of 60 degrees |
| Figure | 21: Bar chart showing the mean of NCF of Profile GT in two canal curvatures 60 ° and 45 ° degrees |
| Figure | 22: Bar chart showing the NCF of ProFile GTX in two canal curvatures 60 ° and 45° degrees |
| Figure | 23: Line chart of the three way analysis of variance of the interrelation between instrument material (Profile GT and Profile GTX) and taper (0.04) |
| Figure | 24: Line chart of the three way analysis of variance of the interrelation between instrument material (Profile GT and Profile GTX) and taper (0.06) |
| Figure | 25: Line chart of the three way analysis of variance of the interrelation between instrument material (ProFile GT and ProFile GTX) and taper (0.08) |

| Figure | 26: | Line chart for the three way analysis of variance showing the interaction between the three variables, instrument's material, taper (0.04, 0.06 & 0.08) and the canal curvature of 45°65 |
|----------|-----|--|
| Figure | 27: | Line chart for the three way analysis of variance showing the interaction between the three variables, instrument's material, taper (0.04,0.06 & 0.08)and the canal curvature of 60°66 |
| Figure | 28: | Line chart showing the interaction of instrument's taper (0.04) with the instrument's material (both ProFile GT and GTX) |
| Figure 2 | 29: | Line chart showing the interaction of both instrument's material and taper (0.06) |
| Figure | 30: | Line chart showing the interaction between the instrument material and its taper (0.08)67 |
| Figure | 31: | Line chart showing the interaction between the three variables instrument's material (ProFile GT), tapers (0.04, 0.06, 0.08) and the canal curvature 45° & 60° |
| Figure | 32: | Line chart showing the interaction between the three variables instrument's material (ProFile GTX), tapers (0.04, 0.06, 0.08) and the canal curvature 45° & 60° |
| Figure | 33: | Line chart showing the triple interaction between instrument's material (ProFile GT & ProFile GTX), tapers (0.04, 0.06, 0.08) and canal curvature 45° |
| Figure | 34: | Line chart showing the triple interaction between instrument's material (ProFile GT & ProFile GTX), tapers (0.04, 0.06, 0.08) and canal curvature 60° |
| Figure | 35: | Line chart showing the interaction between instrument's taper and canal curvature for ProFile GT system70 |
| Figure | 36: | Line chart showing the interaction between instrument's taper and canal curvature for ProFile GTX system70 |
| Figure | 37: | Bar chart showing the mean NCF for all groups of the |

| Figure 38: | SEM analysis (x 400) of the fracture surface of a GTX taper 0.08 file (lateral view) showing high presence of micro-cracks near the separation tip area on the periphery of the file |
|------------|--|
| Figure 39: | SEM analysis (x 400) of the fracture surface of a GTX taper 0.06 file (lateral view) showing a major crack almost separating part of the file boundary, with NO can be observed with the irregular dimples on the surface |
| Figure 40: | SEM analysis (x 200) of the fracture surface of a GTX taper 0.06 file (lateral view) showing the fractured surface of the file with a smooth homogenous periphery and a large elevated irregular grainy structure associated with a final ductile breakage |
| Figure 41: | SEM analysis (x 140) of a fractured surface of a GTX taper 0.06 file (top view) showing areas of elevations and areas of depression all around the boundaries with multiple dimpling estimating the ductile mode of fracture |
| Figure 42: | SEM analysis (x 120) top view of a fractured surface of a GTX taper 0.08 instrument showing the homogeneity of the file boundaries and the grainy fibrous appearance at the central area Metal roll-over was also apparent |
| Figure 43: | SEM analysis lateral view (x 400) of file ProFile GT taper 0.06 showing micro-cracks along the periphery of fractured areas along the machining marks and many small dimples |
| Figure 44: | SEM analysis lateral view (x 400) of file ProFile GT taper 0.04 showing signs of plastic deformation manifested in straightening and elongation of the file fractured tip along with metal roll- over |
| Figure 45: | SEM analysis top view of file taper 0.08 ProFile GT showing the propagation of the fracture line from outside to inside (High-level line is the end stage) |
| Figure 46: | SEM analysis of a fractured part of ProFile GTX taper 0.06 top view showing many areas of elevations and depressions on fracture surface. |

| Figure | 47: SEM | analysis | of fra | cture | d par | t of a | ProFile | GT tap | er 0.04 |
|--------|----------------|------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | instru | ment top | view | (x | 160) | showing | ng the | fibrous | central |
| | structi | ire of the | fractur | e | | | | | 79 |

List of Tables:

| Table 1: | The NCF, Mean ± SD values after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile G7 rotary Nickel-titanium files in canal curvature 45° |
|----------|--|
| Table 2: | Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile G |
| | rotary Nickel-titanium files in a 45 ° simulated root cana |
| | curvature46 |
| Table 3: | The NCF, Mean ± SD values after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile Grotary Nickel-titanium files in canal curvature 60° |
| Table 4: | Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile Grotary Nickel-titanium files in a 60 ° simulated root cana curvature |
| Table 5: | The NCF, Mean ± SD values after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in canal curvature 45° |
| Table 6: | Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in a 45 ° simulated root cana curvature |
| Table 7: | The NCF, Mean ± SD values after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in canal curvature 60°53 |
| Table 8: | Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in a 60 ° simulated root cana curvature |
| Table 9: | Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GT and ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in a 45 ° simulated roo canal curvature |
| Table 10 | : Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GT and ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in a 60 ° simulated roo canal curvature |
| Table 11 | : Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile G7 rotary Nickel-titanium files in two simulated root canal curvature 45 & 60° |

| gue testing of ProFile simulated root canal60 |
|---|
| alue, mean square and test63 |

List of Tables

| Table 12: Statistical analysis for NCF after cyclic fatigue testing of ProFile GTX rotary Nickel-titanium files in two simulated root canal curvature 45 ° & 60 ° |
|--|
| Table 13: The sum of squares, degree of freedom (df) value, mean square and the P value in the three way analysis of variance test |
| Table 14: Minimum, maximum and mean values of length of fractured part ± SD (in mm) of experimental groups tested in degree of curvature 45° |
| Table 15: Minimum, maximum and mean values of length of fractured part ± SD (in mm) of experimental groups tested in degree of curvature 60° |