

PRODUCTION OF PROBIOTIC LOW-CALORIE FROZEN YOGHURT

By

MERANDA ABD EL-MEGALY TAWFEK

B. Sc. Agric. Co-operative Sc., Higher Institute of Agriculture Co-operation, 1996

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Dairy Science and Technology), Ain Shams University, 2005

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

**in
Agricultural Science
(Dairy Science and Technology)**

**Department of Food Science
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2012

Approval Sheet

PRODUCTION OF PROBIOTIC LOW-CALORIE FROZEN YOGHURT

By

MERANDA ABD EL-MEGALY TAWFEK

B. Sc. Agric. Co-operative Sc., Higher Institute of Agriculture Co-operation, 1996

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Dairy Science and Technology), Ain Shams University, 2005

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Baher Abd El-Khalik Effat

Research Prof. of Dairy Microbiology and Technology, National
Research Center

Dr. Youssef Morsey Youssef El-Kenany

Prof. of Dairy Microbiology and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Ismail Metwally

Prof. of Dairy Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Atef El-Sayed Fayed

Prof. of Dairy Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University

Date of Examination: 2 / 2 / 2012

PRODUCTION OF PROBIOTIC LOW-CALORIE FROZEN YOGHURT

By

MERANDA ABD EL-MEGALY TAWFEK

B. Sc. Agric. Co-operative Sc., Higher Institute of Agriculture Co-operation, 1996

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Dairy Science and Technology), Ain Shams University, 2005

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Atef El-Sayed Fayed

Prof. of Dairy Science and Technology, Food Science Department
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Ahmed Ismail Metwally

Prof. of Dairy Science and Technology, Food Science Department
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ehab Abd El-Baky Youssef

Senior Researcher of Dairy Science, Food Technology Research
Institute, Agricultural Research Center

ABSTRACT

Meranda Abd El-Megaly Tawfek: Production of Probiotic Low-Calorie Frozen Yoghurt. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis , Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2012.

The aim of this research was conducted to study the manufacture possibility of reduced caloric yoghurt and hence frozen yoghurt *via* proportional fat and/or lactose and even sucrose replacement in relation to the several attributes of yoghurt and frozen yoghurt made using milk inoculated whether with ordinary or probiotic bacterial starter culture (BSC).

Ultrafiltration (UF) skimmed cow's milk retentate (18% total solids, TS) was diluted to 12% TS either by UF permeate (6% TS) for T₁, sorbitol solution (6%) for T₃ or by their mixture (1:1) for T₂ to reduce the lactose content by nil, 50 or 25% respectively. Fat content was adjusted in each treatment to nil, 1.5 or 3% using fresh cream (30% fat). Conversely, simplese 100[®] was added to mimic fat at the level of 3, 1.5 or nil %, respectively. Those were expressed on the base of "each part of fat would be replaced with one part of simplese 100[®]". Potassium sorbate was added for all treatment at the level of 0.015%. Then milk preparations were heat treated to 85°C for 5 min followed by temperature adjustment to 42°C. Milk preparations were converted into yoghurt by the inoculation with 2% of freshly activated YC-X11 or ABT-2, and incubation at the same temperature degree (42°C) until complete coagulation (through about 3 h.). Thereafter, the containers were transferred to the refrigerator (5±1°C).

Frozen-yoghurt base mix (the control) was prepared to contain 3% fat, 12% milk solids not fat (MSNF), 15% sucrose, and 0.25% sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC). The previous three milk preparations, namely T₁, T₂ and T₃ were supplied with 3% of simplese 100[®] for full fat mimicking. Every milk preparation was divided into four portions. The 1st

and 2nd portions were exposed, in order, for ordinary- and bio-yoghurts as before mentioned. Then yoghurts were kept for the 2nd day at the frigid temperature. On the parallel time, the 3rd and 4th portions were used to make the complementary mixes, whereas, the milk preparation T₁ was sweetened as the control to 30% sucrose (to be finally 15% sucrose when mixed with the equal portion of analogues ordinary- or bio-yoghurt), while, T₂ was sweetened with 22.5% sucrose, 0.1% aspartame and 7.5% sorbitol solids. Whilst, T₃ was sweetened with 15% sucrose, 0.2% aspartame and 15% sorbitol solids. All complementary mixes were stabilized with 0.5% CMC, heat treated at 85°C for 5 min. then cold to 5°±1° C and aged at this temperature for the 2nd day. Thereafter, each aged complementary mix was mixed with an equal part of the analogous one-day cold ordinary- or bio-yoghurt. All frozen yoghurt mixes were flavoured with suitable amounts of Vanilla and frozen in an experimental ice cream batch freezer at -18°C. The resultant frozen product was hardened in a deep freezer at -20°C.

The results of yoghurt indicated that, the contents of protein, titratable acidity (TA), acetaldehyde (AC) and diacetyl (DA) in addition to the certain lactic acid bacteria (LAB) counts, the values of consistency coefficient (CC), yield stress (YS), dynamic viscosity (DV) and the sensory scores of consistency (body and texture) flavour as well as overall quality of yoghurt were increased, while the calorie, pH and penetration values were decreased as the fat was replaced with simplesse. The count of LAB strains, TA, AC, DA, CC, YS and DV contents of yoghurt were increased, while the values of calorie, pH and penetration were decreased as the lactose was replaced with Sorbitol. Yoghurt became containing 27-32% or 44-50% less of calories than the reference product when the fat was replaced by 50 or 100% respectively, regardless the kind of BSC used. The smaller range figures are for the higher levels of lactose replacement and *vice versa*. Ordinary yoghurt contained *Streptococcus thermophilus* count, TA, AC, DA, CC, YS and DV contents higher and pH as well as penetration values lower than those of bioyoghurt. The cold

storage period for 3 weeks led to decrease all LAB counts, penetration value, flavour, and total scores of yoghurt. While, TA%, CC, YS and DV values of yoghurt were raised.

The results of frozen yoghurt revealed that, the conversion of yoghurt into frozen mix caused a reduction in the TA % and increments in pH and DV values. Moreover, the ash, TA, AC and DA contents as well as the count of LAB strains and DV value of frozen yoghurt mix were higher, while the calorie value, specific gravity (sp. gr.) and freezing point were lower as the disaccharides were replaced. Frozen yoghurt mix became containing 24, 29 or 34% less of calories than the reference product, when the fat was totally replaced and the disaccharides were replaced by nil, 25 or 50% respectively, regardless the kind of BSC used. The frozen mix made from ordinary yoghurt possessed ash, TA, AC and DA contents as well as *Streptococcus thermophilus* count higher and pH value as well as sp. gr. Lower than that made using bioyoghurt. The freezing and hardening processes of yoghurt mixes yielded in increases in the AC content and decrease in sp. gr. and the count of all LAB strains to about the tithe but stilled conforming the figures provided, which approved an international standard as established a minimum of 10^7 /g for the starter cultures of fermented milks and a minimum of 10^6 /g for specific starter bacteria for which a claim is made for specific microorganism that has been added as supplement. The final frozen yoghurt was characterized with higher overrun % and stronger melting resentence as the disaccharides were replaced and when it was made using ordinary yoghurt *versus* the bioyoghurt. The quality of all sensory criteria of the disaccharides-replaced frozen yoghurt was evidently better than that of the control, which was made with neither fat nor disaccharides replacement.

The results led satisfactory to conclude that, yoghurt and hence frozen yoghurt beyond their ability to be probiotic food *via* its culturing with the gut strains, it could further carry more healthy benefits when it was made in the reduced calorie form *via* proportional fat and/or lactose

and rather sucrose replacement by certain advanced ingredients such as simplese and aspartame in combination with sorbitol, which known for its action as prebiotic converts the product to be synbiotic. Besides, the product would become quite suitable for diabetes and lactose intolerance people. i.e., this study introduces a product, which could contribute positively to overall health and help to maintain a healthy digestive system.

Keywords: Simplese, Aspartame, Sorbitol, Yoghurt, Synbiotic, Diabetes, Lactose intolerance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to extend my deepest appreciation and sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Atef E. Fayed**, Professor of Dairy Science and Technology, Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for the suggestion as well as initiation of this study, kind attention and greater help provided for the accomplishment of this work and for his efforts, supervising and helping me to achieve this manuscript.

I wish to find the words that can help to express my great thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed A. Metwally**, Professor of Dairy Science and Technology, Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his true guidance, encouragement during study.

Appreciation should be extended to **Dr. E.A. Essawy**, Senior Researcher, Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, for his fruitful efforts during study.

My special thanks would be expressed for **Dr. H.S. Hassan**, Senior Researcher, Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, for the facilities of Ultrafiltration retentate and permeate needed for the study.

I would like to thank all staff members of Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University as well as Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza.

Thanks also to every one who provided help or advised me to achieve this manuscript.

My deepest thanks to my parents, father, mother, brothers and sisters, for helping me to achieve this manuscript.

CONTENTS

No.		Page
	LIST OF TABLES	IV
	LIST OF FIGURES	VII
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	VIII
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
1	Preface	5
2	Especial characteristics of frozen yoghurt	6
3	Bio- <i>versus</i> ordinary frozen yoghurt	9
4	Alternative possibilities for production of light calorie frozen yoghurt	24
4.1	Calorie reduction in frozen desserts varieties <i>via</i> fat replacement	25
4.1.1	Fat substitute	26
4.1.2	Fat mimetic	27
4.1.2.1	Carbohydratic- origin fat mimetic	27
4.1.2.2	Proteinous- origin fat mimetic	27
4.1.2.2.1	Simplese® as a fat mimetic	28
4.1.3	Previous applications	29
4.2	Calorie reduction in frozen desserts varieties <i>via</i> sugar replacement	31
4.2.1	Intense sweetener	33
4.2.1.1	Aspartame as an intense sweetener	33
4.2.2	Bulking sweetener	36
4.2.2.1	Sorbitol as a balking sweetener	36
III	MATERIALS AND METHODS	42
1	Materials	42
1.1	Fresh cow's milk	42
1.2	Conventional yoghurt starter culture	42
1.3	Probiotic starter culture	42

II

1.4	Simplese [®]	42
1.5	Sugar	42
1.6	Stabilizer	42
1.7	Aspartame	43
1.8	Sorbitol	43
1.9	Vanilla	43
1.10	Potassium sorbate	43
2	Experimental procedures	43
2.1	Cream separation	43
2.2	Ultrafiltration concentration of skimmed cow's milk	43
2.3	Preparation of bacterial starter culture	44
2.4	Preparation of fermented milk	44
2.5	Preparation of frozen yoghurt	45
3	Analytical methods	47
3.1	Chemical analysis	47
3.1.1	Determination of gross composition contents	47
3.1.2	Determination of titratable acidity	47
3.1.3	Determination of aroma components contents	47
3.2	Physical analysis	47
3.2.1	Measurement of pH value	47
3.2.2	Specific gravity	47
3.2.3	Freezing point	47
3.2.4	Overrun percent	48
3.2.5	Melting resistance	48
3.2.6	Measurement of rheological properties	48
3.3	Bacteriological analysis	49
3.4	Organoleptic evaluation	49
3.5	Calculation of caloric value	50
3.6	Statistical analysis	50

III

IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	51
Part I	Caloric reduction of ordinary and bio-yoghurts <i>via</i> fat and/or lactose replacement	51
1	Gross composition of yoghurt	51
2	Dietetic aspects of yoghurt	54
3	Lactic acid bacteria population of yoghurt	57
4	Biochemical properties of yoghurt	64
4.1	Titratable acidity content and pH value of yoghurt	64
4.2	Acetaldehyde and diacetyl contents of yoghurt	67
5	Rheological properties of yoghurt	70
5.1	Firmness as a rheological property of set style yoghurt	70
5.2	Rheological properties of stirred style yoghurt	73
6	Organoleptic quality of yoghurt	74
Part II	Characteristics of reduced calorie frozen yoghurt <i>via</i> fat and partial sugar replacement	78
1	Gross composition of frozen yoghurt mix	78
2	Dietetic aspects of frozen yoghurt mix	80
3	Lactic acid bacteria population of frozen yoghurt mix	82
4	Biochemical properties of frozen yoghurt mix	82
5	Physical and rheological properties of frozen yoghurt mix	85
6	Lactic acid bacteria population of final frozen yoghurt	88
7	Biochemical properties of final frozen yoghurt	90
8	Physical properties of final frozen yoghurt	90
9	Organoleptic quality of frozen yoghurt	92
V.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	96
VI.	REFERENCES	102
VII.	ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
1	Gross composition and caloric value of ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement	52
2	Gross composition and caloric value of bioyoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement	53
3	Log counts (cfu) of <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> of ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	58
4	Log counts (cfu) of <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> of bioyoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	59
5	Log counts (cfu) of <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> ssp. <i>bulgaricus</i> in ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	60
6	Log counts (cfu) of <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> and <i>Bifidobacterium</i> sp. in bioyoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	61
7	Titrateable acidity % and pH value of ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	65
8	Titrateable acidity % and pH value of bioyoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	66
9	Acetaldehyde and Diacetyl (μ mol/g) of ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	68

10	Acetaldehyde and Diacetyl (μ mol/g) of bioyoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	69
11	Rheological properties of ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	71
12	Rheological properties of bioyoghurt as affected by fat and /or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	72
13	Organoleptic score of ordinary yoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	75
14	Organoleptic score of bioyoghurt as affected by fat and / or lactose replacement during cold storage period for 3 weeks	76
15	Gross composition as well as caloric value of frozen yoghurt mix made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and / or disaccharides replacement	79
16	Bacterial profile (log cfu/g) of frozen yoghurt mix made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and /or disaccharides replacement	83
17	Biochemistery properties of frozen yoghurt mix made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and / or disaccharides replacement	84
18	Physical properties of frozen yoghurt mix made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and / or disaccharides replacemet	86
19	Bacterial profile (log cfu/g) of final frozen yoghurt made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and / or disaccharides replacement	89

VI

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 20 | Biochemical and physical properties of final frozen yoghurt made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and / or disaccharides replacement | 91 |
| 21 | Organoleptic score of final frozen yoghurt made using ordinary (YC-XII) or bioyoghurt (ABT-2) as affected by fat and / or disaccharides replacement | 93 |

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
1	The chemical structure of aspartame [N-(L- α -Aspartyl)-L-phenylalanine,1-methylester]	34
2	The chemical structure of sorbitol [(2S,3R,4R,5R)-Hexane-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexol]	37
3	Schematic flow chart of making reduced-calorie frozen-yoghurt	46
4	Caloric load of ordinary yoghurt treatments as percentage of the control as function of fat and /or lactose replacement	55
5	Caloric load of bioyoghurt treatments as percentage of the control as function of fat and /or lactose replacement	56
6	Caloric load of frozen yoghurt mix treatments as percentage of the control as function of full fat and partial disaccharides replacement	81