# Diagnostic Pitfalls In Anatomic Pathology

# Thesis Submitted for Fulfillment of Master Degree in Pathology

Submitted by **Dalia Magdy Ismail**M.B.B.Ch

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

#### Prof. Thanaa El-Sayed A.Helal

Professor of Pathology Faculty of Medicine -Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Sahar Saad El-Din A.Zaki

Professor of Pathology Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Nermine Mohamed Abd-Raboh

Lecturer in Pathology
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University Faculty of Medicine 2012

# أخطاء تشخيص عينات الباثولوجيا التشريحية

رسالة توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في الباثولوجي

مقدمة من الطبيبة داليا مجدى إسماعيل بكالوريوس الطب و الجراحة

تحت إشراف الأستاذة الدكتورة/ ثناء السيد أحمد هلال أستاذ بقسم الباثولوجي كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس الأستاذة الدكتورة/ سحر سعد الدين أحمد

زكى

أستاذ بقسم الباثولوجي كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة/ نيرمين محمد عبد ربه

مدرس بقسم الباثولوجى كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس جامعة عين شمس 2012

## Summary

Errors in surgical pathology occur at a low rate. They range from those having no or minimal impact on patient care to those causing great harm to patient care up to death.

Our aim was reviewing the literature to discuss why errors occur in surgical pathology diagnosis, the common pitfalls in various systems and the suggested strategies to eliminate or minimize these errors.

In our retrospective study, we studied the consultation cases referred to our parthology departments at Ain Shams university hospitals over a ten- year period (2001-2010) in order to assess the error rate in pathology practice.

Consultation cases were 477 (0.58%) from which 167 (35%) were excluded from the study mainly due to unavailable initial diagnosis. So the final number of consultation cases analyzed in this work was 310 (65%).

The results were much higher than other studies discussing the same issue. Total diagnostic disagreement was demonstrated in 125 cases (40.3%) and clinically significant disagreement in 75 cases (24.2% of all cases reviewed). The frequency of total disagreement (12 of 23, 52.2%) and clinically significant disagreement (7 of 23, 30.4%) was higher in cytopathology cases than that noted in all other surgical

### Acknowledgements

First and foremost thanks to ALLAH, the most merciful and greatest beneficent.

17 was indeed an honor to have been supervised by one of the notable professors of pathology in our department, Professor Dr. Thanaa El-Sayed Ahmed Helal, professor of pathology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University. Words vanish when I try to express my deep appreciation and sincere thanks for her valuable supervision, continuous support, tireless efforts, precious instructions, patience and encouragement in all aspects of this study. I really owe her so much. This thesis would not have been possible without her supervision.

I have had the good fortune to have been under supervision of Professor Dr. Sahar Saad El-Din Ahmed Zaki, professor of pathology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University. I thank her greatly for her advice, continuous support and guidance throughout the accomplishment of this study.

I would like also to express my great thanks to Dr. Nermine Mohamed Abd Raboh, lecturer of pathology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University for her cooperation and wise advices and unlimited help in many steps in this study despite her difficult circumstances.

I owe my greatest gratitude to Assistant Professor Dr. Manal Salman, assistant professor of pathology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help for me in completing the results and statistical analysis of data in addition to her guiding suggestions.

I am grateful for my loving family especially my mother, my father and my dear busband for whom I owe so much for their continuous support in the bard times throughout my work.

I am also indebted to many of my colleagues who belped me in various ways during the completion of this work. I offer them my regards and blessings.

## List of Contents

Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
<b>Review of Literature:</b>	
• Chapter (1): Errors in surgical pathology diagnosis	4
■ Chapter (2): Second Opinion In Surgical Pathology	26
• Chapter (3): Common Pitfalls in Surgical Pathology	32
■ Chapter(4): Medicolegal aspects in surgical pathology	78
• Chapter (5): Quality assurance in the practice of anatomic pathology	y90
Material and Methods	102
Results	106
Discussion	127
Conclusion & Recommendations	135
Summary	136
References	138
Arabic Summary	

# list of figures

Figure	Title	Page
1	Error Types and Test Cycle Phases	17
2	Distribution of Consultation Cases Included in the Study	106
3	Total and Major Diagnostic Disagreement in Various Organ System Cases	108

## List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
(1)	Benign, Usually Indolent Oral Lesions that may Resemble Aggressive Processes them	64
(2)	Quality Assurance Monitors That May be Implemented Listed by the Phases of the Test Cycle	99
(3)	Total, Major and Minor Diagnostic Disagreement in Various Organ System Cases	107
(4)	Categories of Minor Disagreements in Various Organ Systems	109
(5)	Categories of Major Disagreements in Various Organ Systems	110
(6)	Distribution of the 19 Diagnostic Disagreements in the Lymph Node Cases	112
(7)	Distribution of the 25 Diagnostic Disagreements in the Respiratory System Cases	114
(8)	Distribution of the 17 Diagnostic Disagreements in the Digestive system Cases	116
(9)	Distribution of the 9 Diagnostic Disagreements in Female Genital System (FGS) Cases	118
(10)	Distribution of the 12 Diagnostic Disagreements in Bone Cases	119
(11)	Distribution of the 12 Diagnostic	

	Disagreements in Cytology Cases	121
(12)	Distribution of the 8 Diagnostic Disagreements in Central Nervous System (CNS) Cases	122
(13)	Distribution of the 8 Diagnostic Disagreements in Soft Tissue Cases	123
(14)	Distribution of the 4 Diagnostic Disagreements in Urogenital system Cases	124
(15)	Distribution of the 5 Diagnostic Disagreements in Mediastinum and Peritoneum Cases	125
(16)	Distribution of the 6 Diagnostic Disagreements in Endocrine system Cases	126
(17)	Comparison of the Present Study with Previous Studies	131

### Abbreviations

AL Atypical Lipoma
ALCL Anaplastic large-cell lymphoma
ALH Atypical Lobular Hyperplasia
ALK Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase
ADH Atypical Ductal Hyperplasia
BAL Broncho Alveolar Lavage
BCL B Cell Lymphoma
BL Burkitt lymphoma
<b>CA 125</b> Cancer Antigen 125
CAP College of American Pathologists
CCE Clear Cell Ependymoma
CD Cluster of Differentiation
CHL Classical Hodgkin's Lymphoma
CK Cytokeratin
CNS Central Nervous System
CSL Complex Sclerosing Lesion
CT Computed Tomography
DCIS Ductal Carcinoma In Situ
DLBCL Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma
DMM Desmoplastic Malignant Melanoma
<b>DNT</b> Dysembryoplastic Neuroepithelial Tumor

**DOG1.....** Discovered On GIST 1

e.g..... Exempli gratia

EBV..... Epstein-Barr virus

EMA..... Epithelial Membrane Antigen

Etc ..... Et cetera

FA..... Fibroadenoma

FH ..... Fibrous Histiocytoma

FNA...... Fine Needle Aspiration

FNAC ...... Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology

FS ..... Frozen Section

GBM...... Glioblastoma Multiforme

GIST..... Gatsrointestinal Stromal Tumor

**gp100.....** glycoprotein 100

**H&E.....** Hematoxylin and Eosin

HL ..... Hodgkin lymphoma

HPF..... High Power Field

**i.e.** ..... id est

Ig ..... Immunoglobulin

IHC..... Immunohistochemistry

**IMN.....** Infectious Mononucleosis

**IPCs.....** Interinstitutional pathology consultations

LCIS..... Lobular Carcinoma In Situ

LGFMS..... Low Grade Fibromyxoid Sarcoma

**LLH.....** Lipoma-like Hibernoma

MA ...... Malpractice Action

MDs..... Medical Doctorates

MFH...... Malignant Fibrous Histiocytoma

MGA ...... Microglandular Adenosis

MM ..... Medical Malpractice

MS...... Multiple Sclerosis

NC ...... Neurocytoma

NCI...... National Cancer Instituit

No...... Number of

NK cells..... Natural Killer cells

NLPHL ..... Nodular Lymphocyte-Predominant Hodgkin's Lymphoma

NMM...... Nevoid Malignant Melanoma

PT...... Phylloides Tumor

PXA..... Pleomorphic Xanthoastrocytoma

QA..... Quality Assurance

QI ...... Quality Improvement

**RFH.....** Reactive Follicular Hyperplasia

**RS cells.....** Reed Sternberg cells

**RSL** ..... Radial Sclerosing Lesion

RVU's...... Relative Value Units

SA..... Sclerosing Adenosis

SCL ..... Spindle Cell Lipoma

**SFT.....** Solitary Fibrous Tumor

SPTL ...... Subcutaneous Panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma

TAT..... Turnaround Time

TCRBCL... T-Cell Rich B-Cell Lymphoma

UK ...... United Kingdom

US..... United States

USA ...... United States of America

**UEH** ....... Usual Epithelial Hyperplasia

vs ...... Versus

VSTP...... Virtual Slide Telepathology

VSTP-QA.. Virtual Slide Telepathology-Quality Assurance

WDLS ...... Well Differentiated Liposarcoma

WHO...... World Health Organization

**WT-1** ...... Wilm's Tumor 1

#### Introduction

In recent years there has been an increasing awareness of patient safety issues in medicine (**Frable**, **2006**). Diagnostic accuracy is crucial in anatomic pathology, including surgical pathology and cytopathology, and remains a subject of considerable research interest (**Renshaw & Gould**, **2006**).

The clinically significant diagnostic error rate in surgical pathology reported in the literature varies from 0.26% to 1.2% (Safrin & Bark, 1993 and Renshaw et al., 2003)

There are many ways to define error in surgical pathology, including cognitive versus operational error, clinically significant versus academic errors (differences in classification, nomenclature, grading) (**Renshaw**, **2001**).

From the medicolegal aspect of view, error is defined as patient injury resulting from medical negligence. Negligence is defined as medical practice that falls below the standard of care (**Troxel**, 2006).

In order to eliminate errors, there must be a good understanding of how and why errors occur in surgical pathology (Nakhleh, 2008).

Nakhleeh (2008) reported that the most important reasons of errors in surgical pathology are:1)Misleading or

#### Introduction and Aim of the Work

incomplete clinical information,2)Complexity; surgical pathology has numerous steps in receiving, processing and reporting a specimen,3)Inconsistency in the level of training,4)Human intervention; humans do poorly at routine repetitive tasks, since they are susceptible to distraction,5)Time constraints; batch work and deadlines may force individuals to work in a hurried mode.

Recently, some strategies have been used to prevent errors in surgical pathology (Zarbo et al., 2005).