Ultra Morphological and Chemical Characterization of an Acid-Base Resistance Zone Adjacent to the hybrid layer at Different Time Intervals

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University

In partial fulfillment of Doctor's Degree ''Operative dentistry''

BY
Anwaar Attia Saber
B.D.S. 2003 (AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY)
M.S. 2009 (CAIRO UNIVERSITY)

Supervisors

DR.HEBA SALAH EL-DIN HAMZA

Professor of Operative Dentistry

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

DR.AHMED ZOHEIR EL-HOSHY

Assistance Professor of Operative Dentistry

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University

To my parents

For their endless support and encouragement

To my husband, sister and kids

For their endless love and patience

First, grace and foremost thanks to *GOD* for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a little part of his generous help throughout our life.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and respect to *DR. HEBA SALAH EL-DIN HAMZA*, Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her continuous guidance and supervision, her kind encouragement and support throughout the entire period of the study.

I am deeply grateful to *DR. AHMED ZOHEIR EL-HOSHY*, Assistance Professor of Operative Dentistry, Cairo University, for his continuous supervision, great help and effort.

I would like also to acknowledge all the staff members of the Operative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University.

List of Contents

•	List of Tables	i
•	List of Figures	ii
•	Introduction	1
•	Review of literature	4
•	Aim of the study	60
•	Materials and Methods	61
•	Results	94
•	Discussion	140
•	Summary and Conclusions	148
•	References	150
•	Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

		į.	Page
Table ((1):	Variables of the study	61
Table ((2):	Interaction between variables for the grey scale surface roughness and test	62
Table ((3): [Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of group on Ca weight % within each time	129
Table ((4):	Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of time on Ca weight % within each group	130
Table ((5) : D	escriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of group on P weight % within each time	131
Table ((6) : D	Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of time on P weight % within each group	132
Table ((7) : D	Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of group on µ-Tensile Stress (MPa) within each time	136
Table ((8) : C	Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of time on µ-Tensile Stress (MPa) within each group	137
Table ((9) : D	escriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of group on flexural bond strength (MPa) within each time	138
Table	(10):	Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of time on flexural bond strength (MPa) within each group	139

List of Figures

	P	age
Figure (1):	CLEARFIL™ Protect Bond (Kuraray Medical, Tokyo, Japan)	67
Figure (2):	CLEARFIL™ <i>SE</i> Bond (Kuraray Medical, Tokyo, Japan	67
Figure (3):	Adper™ Single Bond Adhesive (EB; 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA)	67
Figure (4):	Filtek ™ Z350 XT Universal restorative(EB; 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA).	68
Figure (5):	Bluephase ^R C8 (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan/liechtensein, Austria	
Figure(6):	The buffered demineralizing solution	68
Figure (7):	Human molars were collected and thoroughly examined to be free from caries, hypocalcification or any restoration.	77
Figure (8):	The coronal portion of each tooth was removed using a low speed diamond saw under water cooling (Isomet 1000, Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA) to expose a flat midcoronal dentin surface	77
Figure(9):	The coronal portion of each tooth was removed using	77
Figure(10):	Silicon Carbide abrasive paper	78

Figure (11): Putting the primer of clearfil protect bond	78
Figure (12): Putting the adhesive of clearfil protect bond	78
Figure (13): Applying Clearfil Protect Bond primer	79
Figure (14): Gently air blow the primer of Clearfil protect Bond	79
Figure(15): Applying Clearfil Protect Bond adhesive	79
Figure (16): Gently air blow the adhesive of Clearfil protect Bond	80
Figure (17): The specimen was light cured for 10 seconds using the light curing system bluephase ^R C8	80
Figure(18): The primer and adhesive of Clearfil SE bond	80
Figure(19): Putting the primer of Clearfil SE bond	81
Figure(20): Putting the adhesive of Clearfil SE Bond	81
Figure(21): Applying Clearfil SE Bond primer	81
Figure (22): Gently air blow the primer of Clearfil SE Bond	82
Figure(23): Applying Clearfil SE Bond	82
Figure(24): Gently air blow the adhesive of Clearfil SE Bond	82
Figure(25): The specimen was light cured for 10 seconds using the light curing system bluephase ^R C8	83

Figure(26): Applying scotchbond etchant 35% Phosphoric acid	83
Figure(27): Washing the etchant with water	83
Figure(28): Gently air blow the excess water	84
Figure (29): Applying Single Bond two coats	84
Figure (30): Gently air blow Single Bond adhesive	84
Figure (31): The specimen was light cured for 10 seconds using the light curing system bluephase ^R C8	85
Figure(32): Building up the composite	85
Figure(33): Using auto matrix for building up the composite	85
Figure(34): Filtek Z-350 was built up in one increment approximately 1-mm thick for SEM testing	86
Figure(35): 1mm ² increment was light cured for 40 seconds using the light curing system bluephase ^R C8	86
Figure(36): The fifth increment was applied	86
Figure(37): Putting the matrix down and curing	87
Figure(38): Light curing the fifth increment	87
Figure(39): Clearfil Protect Bond specimen for Microtensile strength test	87
Figure(40): Clearfil SE Bond specimen for Microtensile strength test	88

Figure (41)	Single Bond specimen for Microtensile strength test:	88
Figure(42):	The cutting machine with diamond disc mounted	88
Figure(43):	The tooth mounted on the cutting machine for separating into two halves	89
Figure(44):	Diamond disc mounted with separating wheel spacer	89
Figure(45):	The prepared specimen stored in buffering solution for 90 minutes	89
Figure(46): (Gold sputtering for the SEM specimens	90
Figure(47):	The Scanning Electron Microscope for 2 samples. Using SEM Model Quanta FEG attached with EDAX Unit	90
Figure (48)	: The tooth after cutting into beam sticks and before separating the beams. Some central beams which had the pulp chamber underneath were freely dropped before separating the beams	90
Figure (49):	A beam after separation consisting of a composite and dentin parts	91
Figure(50):	Beam width and breadth measurement before µTBS testing 91	
Figure (51):	The attachment jig (front view)	91
Figure (52):	Rear surface of the attachment jig: the arrow points to the firm plastic sheet	92
• • •	Diagram showing the components of the attachment jig:A: is the fixed part; while B: is the moving component	92

Figure (54):	The attachment jig face showing a glued beam in the orientation groove during testing	92
Figure(55):	Lloyd universal testing machine	93
Figure (56):	Flexural Bond strength (Three point bend test set up)	93
Figure (57):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	98
Figure(58):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	98
Figure(59):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	98
Figure (60):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	99
Figure (61):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	99
Figure (62):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	99
Figure (63):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	100
Figure(64):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	100

Figure (65):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	100
Figure (66):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (500x)	101
Figure(67):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	101
Figure(68):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (7000x	101
Figure(69):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	102
Figure(70):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	102
Figure(71):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (7000x)	102
Figure(72):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	103
Figure(73):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	103

Figure(74):	Clearfil Protect Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (7000x)	103
Figure(75):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x),	104
Figure(76):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	104
Figure(77):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil Protect Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (5000x),	104
Figure(78):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	105
Figure(79):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	105
Figure(80):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	105
Figure(81):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	106
Figure(82)	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for	

	Clearfil SE Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	106
Figure(83):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after 24 hours subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	106
Figure(84):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	107
Figure(85):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	107
Figure(86):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	107
Figure(87):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	108
Figure(88):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (2000x)	108
Figure(89):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after three months subjected to acid-base challenge (3500x)	108
Figure(90):	SEM observations of the adhesive-dentin interfaces for Clearfil SE Bond after six months subjected to acid-base challenge (1000x)	109