



# **SEISMIC CAPACITY ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING RC BUILDINGS IN THE SUDAN BY USING PUSHOVER ANALYSIS**

By

**MOHAMMED AHMED IBRAHIM ISMAEIL**

A thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

In

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2015

# **SEISMIC CAPACITY ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING RC BUILDINGS IN THE SUDAN BY USING PUSHOVER ANALYSIS**

By

**MOHAMMED AHMED IBRAHIM ISMAEIL**

A thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

In

**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

**Under the Supervision of**

**MOHAMED EZZAT SOBAIH**

Professor of Structures  
Structural Engineering Dept.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Cairo University

**ADEL YEHIA AKL**

Professor of Structures  
Structural Engineering Dept.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2015

# **SEISMIC CAPACITY ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING RC BUILDINGS IN THE SUDAN BY USING PUSHOVER ANALYSIS**

By

**MOHAMMED AHMED IBRAHIM ISMAEIL**

A thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

In  
**STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

**Approved by the Examining Committee**

---

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih, Thesis Main Advisor**

Professor of Structures, Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering, Structural Engineering Department

---

**Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl, Thesis Advisor**

Professor of Structures, Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering, Structural Engineering Department

---

**Prof. Dr. Abd-Alrahman Bazaraa, Internal Examiner**

Professor of Structures, Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering, Structural Engineering Department

---

**Prof. Dr. Omar El-Nawawy, External Examiner**

Professor of RC Structures, Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering, Structural Engineering Department

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2015



**Engineer:** Mohammed Ahmed Ibrahim Ismaeil  
**Date of Birth :** 1 / 7 / 1979  
**Nationality :** Sudanese  
**E-mail :** [abunama79@hotmail.com](mailto:abunama79@hotmail.com)  
[abunama77@hotmail.com](mailto:abunama77@hotmail.com)  
**Phone :** 00249912105303  
**Address :** Khartoum-Sudan- Sudan University for Science and  
Technology-Civil Engineering Department  
**Registration Date :** 1 / 10 / 2011  
**Awarding Date :** / / 2015  
**Degree :** Doctor of Philosophy  
**Department :** Structural Engineering Department  
**Supervisors :** 1-Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih  
2-Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl



**Examiners :** 1-Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih  
2-Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl  
3-Prof. Dr. Abd-Alrahman Sadek Bazaraa  
4-Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mousa El-Nawawy – Ain Shams University

**Title of Thesis :** Seismic Capacity Assessment of Existing RC Buildings in  
The Sudan by Using Pushover Analysis

**Key Words:** Pushover, Seismic capacity, Existing buildings, The Sudan.

**Summary:**

The Sudan has low-to-moderate seismic activity; most of existing buildings in The Sudan were designed only for gravity load. The aim of this thesis is to evaluate seismic performance of existing low-to-mid-rise Reinforced Concrete (RC) buildings in The Sudan. The buildings, which consist of 4, 6, 8, and 10 stories, are designed according to British standard code (BSI) and American code (ACI). Pushover analysis has been performed. Results showed that the buildings designed using the (ESEE using BSI) has a greater capability to resist seismic loads than the (IBC2012 using ACI) design.

# Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude, and I am grateful for **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih**, Professor of Structures, Structural Engineering Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for technical supervision, guidance and continuous encouragement. I wish him and his family good health and wellness. Sincere thanks and deepest appreciation for **Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl**, Professor of Structures, Structural Engineering Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for his technical supervision and guidance and continuous encouragement during the thesis preparation.

Special thanks are due to Sudan University of science and technology. I would like to take this opportunity to thank my parents, my family for their continuous support and encouragement throughout my life. I extend my sincere thanks to my wife, Samraa, my son Ahmed, and my lovely daughters Ethar and Thana for their patience.

# Table of Contents

Item No.	Item Name	Page No.
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>I</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>II</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>IV</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>VI</b>
	<b>NOMENCLATURE</b>	<b>IX</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>XI</b>
	<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Definition	1
1.3	Research Objectives	1
1.4	Justifications for Doing this Research	1
1.5	The Methodology and Work Plan	2
1.6	Thesis Organization	2
	<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	Some Seismic Studies Carried out on The Sudan	4
2.2	Some Studies on Pushover Analysis	6
	<b>CHAPTER 3: PUSHOVER ANALYSIS</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Introduction	11
3.2	Pushover Methodology	11
3.3	Application Pushover Analysis	11
3.4	Performance Levels Described by Pushover Analysis	13
3.5	Seismic Demand and Performance Point	14
3.6	Pushover curves	15
3.7	Methods of Pushover	16
3.7.1	Capacity Spectrum Method	16
3.7.2	The N2 Method	17
	<b>CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF STUDIED BUILDINGS</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1	Introduction	18
4.2	Study Cases	18
4.2.1	Building description	18
4.2.2	Numerical modelling	20
4.3	Earthquake Loads	21
4.3.1	Seismic map for The Sudan	21
4.4	Calculation of Base Forces According to ESEE	22
4.4.1	Distribution of horizontal seismic force	22
4.5	Calculation of Base Forces According to IBC 2012	24
4.5.1	Mapped acceleration parameters	26
4.5.2	Vertical distribution of base force	26
4.6	Design of Beams and Columns	28
4.6.1	Beam design	28
4.6.1.1	Beams sections design	28
4.6.2	Column design	28
4.6.2.1	Four stories building columns sections design	28
4.6.2.2	Six stories building columns sections design	30

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
4.6.2.3	Eight stories building columns sections design	31
4.6.2.4	Ten stories building columns sections design	32
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: THE RESULTS OF PUSHOVER ANALYSIS ACCORDING TO ESEE</b>		34
5.1	Introduction	34
5.2	Analysis of Building	34
5.3	Base shear versus top displacement	34
5.4	Pushover Curves Using ESEE Loads	38
5.5	The performance point	40
5.6	Comparison of Base Force and Displacement for 4, 6,8,10 stories	47
5.7	Comparison of Drift for 4, 6,8,10 Stories	48
5.7.1	Lateral drifts	48
5.7.2	Story Drift ( $\Delta$ )	49
5.8	Discussions	50
5.8.1	Capacity curve	50
5.8.2	Plastic hinges mechanism	50
5.8.3	Story drifts	50
5.9	Conclusions	50
<b>CHAPTER SIX: THE RESULTS OF PUSHOVER ANALYSIS ACCORDING TO IBC2012</b>		53
6.1	Introduction	53
6.2	Base Shear versus Top Displacement	53
6.3	Pushover Curves Using IBC2012 Loads	56
6.4	Comparison of Base Force and Displacement for 4,6,8,10 Stories	65
6.5	Comparison of Drift for 4,6,8,10 Stories	66
6.5.1	Story drift calculations ( $\Delta$ )	66
6.5.2	Deflection and drift limits	66
6.6	Discussions	68
6.6.1	Capacity curve	68
6.6.2	Plastic hinges mechanism	68
6.6.3	Story drifts	68
6.7	Conclusions	68
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN: COMPARISON BETWEEN ESEE AND IBC2012</b>		70
7.1	Introduction	70
7.2	Comparison Between ESEE and IBC2012	70
7.2.1	Performance point according to ESEE.	72
7.2.2	Performance point according to IBC2012	73
7.2.3	Plastic hinges distribution using IBC2012 loads	74
7.2.4	Plastic hinges distribution using ESEE loads	78
<b>CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>		83
8.1	Conclusion	83
8.2	Suggestions for Future Research	83
<b>APPENDIX</b>		85
<b>REFERENCES</b>		88



# List of Tables

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Table Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Table 3.1	Performance level of building	14
Table 4.1	Sections of columns and beams of the frame building.	20
Table 4.2	Lateral load distribution with height for 4 story building using ESEE 1988	23
Table 4.3	Lateral load distribution with height for 6 story building using ESEE 1988	23
Table 4.4	Lateral load distribution with height for 8 story building using ESEE 1988	24
Table 4.5	Lateral load distribution with height for 10 story building using ESEE 1988	24
Table 4.6	Mapped acceleration parameters	26
Table 4.7	Lateral load distribution for 4 stories building by using IBC2012	27
Table 4.8	Lateral load distribution for 6 stories building by using IBC2012	27
Table 4.9	Lateral load distribution for 8 stories building by using IBC2012	27
Table 4.10	Lateral load distribution for 10 stories building by using IBC 2012	28
Table 4.11	Section properties in 4-stories Building using ESEE code.	29
Table 4.12	Section properties in 4-stories using building IBC2012 code.	29
Table 4.13	Section properties in 6-stories Building using ESEE code.	30
Table 4.14	Section properties in 6-stories building using IBC2012 code.	30
Table 4.15	Section properties in 8-stories building using ESEE Code.	31
Table 4.16	Section properties in 8-stories building using IBC2012 Code.	32
Table 4.17	Section properties in 10-stories building using ESEE Code.	33
Table 4.18	Section properties in 10-stories building using IBC2012 Code.	33
Table 5.1	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 4-stories building using ESEE	36
Table 5.2	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 6-stories building using ESEE	36
Table 5.3	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 8-stories building using ESEE	36
Table 5.4	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 10-stories building using ESEE	37
Table 5.5	Tabular data for demand and capacity Pushover curves for 4-stories building using ESEE	42
Table 5.6	Tabular data demand and capacity Pushover curves for 6-stories building using ESEE	42
Table 5.7	Tabular data for demand and capacity Pushover curves for 8-stories building using ESEE	43
Table 5.8	Tabular data for demand and capacity Pushover curves for 10-stories building using ESEE	43
Table 5.9	Numbers of plastic hinges at the performance point	48
Table 5.10	Drift for 4 stories building	49
Table 5.11	Drift for 6 stories building.	49
Table 5.12	Drift for 8 stories building	49
Table 5.13	Drift for 10 stories building.	50
Table 6.1	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 4-stories building using IBC2012	54

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Table Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Table 6.2	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 6-stories building using IBC2012	54
Table 6.3	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 8-stories building using IBC2012	54
Table 6.4	Plastic hinge pattern for pushover analysis at different damage level for 10-stories building using IBC2012	55
Table 6.5	Tabular data for demand and capacity pushover curves for 4-stories building using IBC2012	63
Table 6.6	Tabular data for demand and capacity pushover curves for 6-stories building using IBC2012	64
Table 6.7	Tabular data for demand and capacity pushover curves for 8-stories building using IBC2012	64
Table 6.8	Tabular data for demand and capacity pushover curves for 10-stories building using IBC2012	64
Table 6.9	Number of plastic hinges at the performance point	65
Table 6.10	Drift for 4 stories building	66
Table 6.11	Drift for 6 stories building	66
Table 6.12	Drift for 8 stories building	66
Table 6.13	Drift for 10 stories building	67

# List of Figures

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Figure Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure 3.1	Types of seismic analysis	11
Figure 3.2	Construction of pushover curve	12
Figure 3.3	Design capacity curve	12
Figure 3.4	Inelastic idealized force-deformation relationships	12
Figure 3.5	Safe design and unsafe design	13
Figure 3.6	Performance levels	13
Figure 3.7	Determination of performance point	14
Figure 3.8	Capacity and demand	15
Figure 3.9	Plastic hinges stages	15
Figure 3.10	Graphical representation of capacity spectrum method	16
Figure 4.1	Dimensions of the studied buildings	19
Figure 4.2	2D Models for the 4, 6,8 and 10 stories buildings	21
Figure 4.3	Seismic hazard map of The Sudan	21
Figure 4.4	Lateral force distributions	23
Figure 4.5	Label of columns for 4-stories building	29
Figure 4.6	Label of columns for 6-stories building	30
Figure 4.7	Label of columns for 8-stories building	31
Figure 4.8	Label of columns for 10-stories building	32
Figure 5.1	Base forces vs. displacement curve for 4-stories building using ESEE	34
Figure 5.2	Base forces vs. displacement curve for 6-Stories Building using ESEE	35
Figure 5.3	Base forces vs. displacement curve for 8-Stories Building using ESEE	35
Figure 5.4	Base forces vs. displacement curve for 10-Stories Building using ESEE	36
Figure 5.5	Pushover Curve for 4-stories building using ESEE	38
Figure 5.6	Pushover curve for 6-stories building using ESEE	38
Figure 5.7	Pushover curve for 8-stories building using ESEE	39
Figure 5.8	Pushover curve for 10-stories building using ESEE	39
Figure 5.9	Combined pushover curve for the four buildings using ESEE	40
Figure 5.10	Performance point for 4-stories building using ESEE	40
Figure 5.11	Performance point for 6-stories building using ESEE	41
Figure 5.12	Performance point for 8-stories building using ESEE	41

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Figure Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure 5.13	Performance point for 10-stories building using ESEE	42
Figure 5.14 (a)	Hinge model at yielding 4-stories building using ESEE	43
Figure 5.14 (b)	Hinge model at ultimate state 4-stories building using ESE	44
Figure 5.15 (a)	Hinge model at yielding 6-stories building using ESEE	44
Figure 5.15 (b)	Hinge model at ultimate state 6-stories building using ESEE	45
Figure 5.16 (a)	Hinge model at yielding 8-stories building using ESEE	45
Figure 5.16 (b)	Hinge model at ultimate state 8-stories building using ESEE	46
Figure 5.17 (a)	Hinge model at yielding 10-stories building using ESEE	46
Figure 5.17 (b)	Hinge model at ultimate state 10-stories building using ESEE	47
Figure 5.18	Comparison of base force of 4, 6, 8, 10 stories at the performance point	47
Figure 5.19	Comparison of displacement of 4, 6, 8, 10 stories at the performance point	48
Figure 6.1	Base forces vs. displacement curve for 4-stories building using IBC2012	52
Figure 6.2	Base force vs. displacement curve for 6-stories building using IBC2012	53
Figure 6.3	Base force vs. displacement curve for 8-stories building using IBC2012	53
Figure 6.4	Base forces vs. displacement curve for 10-stories building using IBC2012	54
Figure 6.5	Pushover curve for 4-stories building using IBC2012	56
Figure 6.6	Pushover curve for 6-stories building using IBC2012	56
Figure 6.7	Pushover curve for 8-stories building using IBC2012	56
Figure 6.8	Pushover curve for 10-storey building using IBC2012	57
Figure 6.9	Combined pushover curve for the four building using IBC2012	57
Figure 6.10	Performance point for 4-stories building using IBC2012	57
Figure 6.11	Performance point for 6-stories building using IBC2012	58
Figure 6.12	Performance point for 8-stories building using IBC2012	58
Figure 6.13	Performance point for 10-stories building using IBC2012	59
Figure 6.14(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 4-stories building using IBC2012	59
Figure 6.14(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 4-stories building using IBC2012	60
Figure 6.15(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 6-stories building using IBC2012	60
Figure 6.15(b)	Hinge model at ultimate stat for e 6-stories building using IBC2012	61
Figure 6.16(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 8-stories building using IBC2012	61
Figure 6.16(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 8-stories building using IBC2012	83
Figure 6.17(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 10-stories building using IBC2012	62
Figure 6.17 (b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 10-stories building using IBC2012	63
Figure 6.18	Comparison of base force of 4, 6, 8, 10 stories at the performance point	64

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Figure Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure 6.19	Comparison of displacement of 4,6, 8, 10 stories at the performance point	65
Figure 7.1	Pushover curves for 4-storey building using IBC2012 and ESEE	69
Figure 7.2	Pushover curves for 6-storey building using IBC2012 and ESEE	70
Figure 7.3	Pushover curves for 8-storey building using IBC2012 and ESEE	70
Figure 7.4	Pushover curves for 10-storey building using IBC2012 and ESEE	70
Figure 7.5	Performance point of 4 and 6 stories building using ESEE	71
Figure 7.6	Performance point of 8 and 10 stories building using ESEE	71
Figure 7.7	Performance point of 4 and 6 stories building using IBC2012	72
Figure 7.8	Performance point of 8 and 10 stories building using IBC2012	72
Figure 7.9 (a)	Hinge model at yielding for 4-stories building using IBC2012	73
Figure 7.9 (b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 4-stories building using IBC2012	73
Figure7.10(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 6-stories building using IBC2012	74
Figure7.10(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 6-stories building using IBC2012	74
Figure7.11(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 8-stories building using IBC2012	75
Figure7.11(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 8-stories building using IBC2012	75
Figure7.12(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 10-stories building using IBC2012	76
Figure7.12(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 10-stories building using IBC2012	76
Figure7.13(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 4-stories building using ESEE	77
Figure7.13(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 4-stories building using ESEE	78
Figure7.14(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 6-stories building using ESEE	78
Figure7.14(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 6-stories building using ESEE	79
Figure7.15(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 8-stories building using ESEE	79
Figure7.15(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 8-stories building using ESEE	80
Figure7.16(a)	Hinge model at yielding for 10-stories building using ESEE	80
Figure7.16(b)	Hinge model at ultimate state for 10-stories building using ESEE	81

# Nomenclature

IBC	International Building Code
ESEE	Egyptian Society for Earthquake Engineering
PGA	Peak Ground Acceleration
ATC	Applied Technology Council
SAP	Structural Analysis Program
SBC	Saudi Building Code
IO	Immediate Occupancy
STAAD	Structural Analysis and Design
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineering
RC	Reinforced Concrete
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
LS	Life safety level
CP	Collapse prevention
CM	Coefficient Method
CSM	Capacity-Spectrum Method
V- $\Delta$	Base Shear – Roof Displacement
MDOF	Multi-Degree of Freedom
SDOF	Single-Degree of Freedom
N2	Nonlinear for SDOF and MDOF
AD	Acceleration–Displacement
$S_a$	Spectral pseudo-Acceleration
$S_d$	Spectral Displacement.
POA	Pushover Analysis
$V_b$	Base Shear
2D	Two Dimensions
$C_s$	Seismic Coefficient

$W_t$	Total Weight
$p$	Incidence factor
$DL$	Dead Load
$LL$	Live Load.
$h_i$	Height over the base to the level of the ( $i^{th}$ ) floor.
$W_i$	Total load on the ( $i^{th}$ ) floor
$V$	Total horizontal seismic force.
$F_i$	Part of the total horizontal seismic force assigned to the ( $i^{th}$ ) floor.
$F_t$	Additional concentrated force at top story
$H/d$	Height to width ratio of the building)
$R$	Response modification factor
$I$	Occupancy importance factor
$N$	Number of stories
$T$	Fundamental period of the structure (sec)
$F_a$	Acceleration-based site coefficient
$F_v$	Velocity-based site coefficient
$SM_s$	The maximum spectral response acceleration at short periods adjusted for site class
$SM_1$	The maximum spectral response acceleration at a period of 1 sec adjusted for site class
$SD_s$	The design spectral response acceleration at short periods
$SD_1$	The design spectral response acceleration at a period of 1 sec
$S_1$	The mapped spectral accelerations for a 1- second period
$S_s$	SS The mapped spectral accelerations for short period.
$F_x$	Applied lateral force at level 'x'
$h$	Story height
$k$	An exponent related to the structure period as follows:
MATLAB	Matrix Laboratory
ISACOL	Information Systems Application on Reinforced Concrete Columns