# Distraction Osteogenesis in Limb Salvage Following Tumor Resection

### Essay

Submitted in fulfillment of the M.Sc. degree in orthopedics

By

Sherif Galal Mohammed M.B.B.Ch., Cairo University

### **Supervisors**

Prof. Dr . Mohammed Abdelhaleem Kaddah

Professor of orthopedics Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

Prof.Dr. Walid Atef Ebeid

Professor of orthopedics Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

Cairo University 2008

### Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to *Allah*, the most kind and the most merciful.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Mohammed Kaddah* Professor of Orthopedic surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his gracious supervision, valuable guidance, generous help, support and continuous encouragement through the whole research. I am deeply affected by his noble character, perfection, care and consideration.

I also would like to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Walid Ebeid*, Professor of Orthopedic surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his great help, valuable guidance, support and continuous encouragement through the whole research. I am very much privileged and honored to have him as my supervisor. To him I owe much more than I can express.

Last but not least I would like to thank *My Family* for their continuous support and help.

#### **Abstract**

Limb salvage surgery is a new technique and became very popular since the 1980's and this is contributed to the advances in several fields, including imaging techniques, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy. Through these advances, as well as the advances in soft tissue reconstruction, patients with primary malignant bone tumours gained a chance for sparing their limbs from amputation. Efficient chemotherapy has made long-term survival possible after excision of malignant limb tumors, and has helped to reduce the margin of surgical excision. One of the new methods for limb salvage and reconstruction is Distraction Osteogenesis. Distraction Osteogenesis is a biologic process of new bone formation between the surfaces of bone segments that are gradually separated by incremental traction. Specifically, this process is initiated when distraction forces are applied to the callus tissues that connect the divided bone segments, and continues as long as these tissues are stretched. The traction generates tension that stimulates new bone formation parallel to the vector of distraction. The living bone regenerated in distraction osteogenesis eventually provides sufficient biomechanical strength, stability, durability and attachment for tendons, ligaments and muscles [4]. It also helps in expanding the tumor free margin by physeal distraction prior to resection and addresses the problem of limb-length discrepancy that follows tumor resection in skeletally immature patients. The effect of chemotherapy on bone regenerated by distraction osteogenesis is not serious. Age is no limitation as long as the patient has the potential to heal a fracture. Distraction osteogenesis is beneficial for patients with a good long-term prognosis and for growing children. It will become more attractive if the duration of the treatment can be shortened.

#### Keywords:

Distraction Osteogenesis Limb Salvage Tumor Resection

# **CONTENTS**

	PAGES
Introduction	1
Aim of the essay	3
<b>Distraction Osteogenesis</b>	4
External fixators	33
Applications	46
Difficulties	64
Outcome	79
<b>Future directions</b>	84
References	90
Summary	109
Arabic Summary	_

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.		Page
1	Roentgenographic sequence of bony callus formation during the callotasis process	8
2	The roentgenographic sequence of bone formation during physeal distraction process	10
3	Histological preparation through the radiolucent zone	12
4	Distraction osteogenesis, week 1	13
5	Distraction osteogenesis, week 2	14
6	Distraction osteogenesis, week 3	15
7	Consolidation phase	16
8	Histology of the distal femoral epiphysis in the rabbit during and after distraction epiphysiolysis	17
9	Histology of the distal femoral epiphysis in the	19-20
10	rabbit in chondrodiastasis Comparison of distraction index of different osteotomies	21
11	Histological specimens from a rabbit receiving methotrexate, undergoing distraction osteogenesis	27
12	Radiograph and histological specimen from a methotrexate treated animal	28
13	Longitudinal section from the 15-Gy irradiation group after 28 days of distraction.	30
14	Longitudinal section from the 15-Gy irradiation group 28 days after completion of distraction	31
15	Micro-angiograms of the distracted site.	32
16	The unilateral external fixator	38
17	The Ilizarov frame	38
18	Unilateral external fixator stability diagram	40
19	The technique of corticotomy in callotasis	44
20	Diagrammatic classification of local resection	48
21	Diagrams to show the steps of physeal distraction for tumors of the femur and of the tibia	50
22 23	Bone transport Low-grade osteosarcoma in the mid-tibia treated by	51 52
24	distraction osteogenesis Shortening-distraction	52
25	Radiograph of a low-grade osteosarcoma in the proximal tibia treated by distraction osteogenesis	53
26	Diaphyseal defect reconstruction in the femur and	54

27	the tibia	
27	metaphyseal defect in the femur and the tibia	55
28	Epiphyseal defects in the femur and the tibia	56
29	Subarticular defect in the proximal tibia	57
30	Knee arthrodesis	58
31	Distraction osteogenesis used to salvage failed vascularized fibular graft	59
32	Anteroposterior roentgenogram of a total knee arthroplasty used in limb salvage	60
33	Distraction osteogenesis used to salvage failed artroplasty	60
34	Articular allograft used in limb salvage after resection of synoviol sarcoma of elbow	61
35	Ilizarov frame used to salvage failed allograft	62
36	Solid arthrodesis is the final outcome after salvage failed allograf	62
37	Distraction osteogenesis used to correct LLD resulted after tumor resection	63
38	Mechanism of joint subluxation	66
39	Radiological diagnosis of knee subluxation	67
40	Technique of prevention of axial deviation	68
41	Technique of treatment of valgus deviation	69
42	Technique of treatment of procurvatum	70
43	Premature consolidation	73
44	Pin site soft tissue infection	75
45	Refracture after distraction osteogenesis	77
46	Maintained full range of motion after callotasis.	83
47	Ratcheting maneuver in Albizzia nail.	86
48	The Fitbone SAA nail.	88
49	The Fitbone TAA nail.	88
50	A Fitbone TAA with control and transmitter unit.	88

# **List of Tables**

1	The outcome of 17 patients having skeletal tumors	80
	treated by callotasis.	
2	the outcome of 5 patients having giant-cell tumor of	81
	proximal tibia treated by Ilizarov method	
3	Outcome of juxta-articular reconstruction	83

# **List of Abbreviations**

• **DO** : Distraction Osteogenesis

• TZ : Target Zone

• FIZ :Fibrous Interzone

• **HBS** : Host Bone Surface

• **PMF** : Primary Mineralization Front

• MCF : Micro-Column Formation

• **DG** : Distraction Gap

• **DCT** : Distraction Consolidation Time



# Introduction

#### Introduction

There has been a dramatic improvement in the survival rate of patients with bone tumors and in the success of limb salvage, as a result of progress in chemotherapy, radiological evaluation, surgical techniques and the technology of materials and implants. The challenge of providing long-lasting survival and function of limbs after reconstruction is now being met with biological solutions using living bone. The ideal reconstruction should have biological affinity, resistance to infection, sufficient biomechanical strength and durability [1].

Bone defects created by limb salvage procedures may be reconstructed by a variety of methods namely: auto-grafts, allo-graft, bone transport and prosthetic replacement. However the incidence of complications such as fractures, nonunion, deformity and infection is high and is directly related to the size of the defect and the use of chemotherapy [2].

The living bone regenerated in distraction osteogenesis eventually provides sufficient biomechanical strength, stability, durability and attachment for tendons, ligaments and muscles [4]. It also helps in expanding the tumor free margin by physeal distraction prior to resection and addresses the problem of limb-length discrepancy that follows tumor resection in skeletally immature patients [12].

Chemotherapy has definite adverse effects on auto-grafts and allografts in delaying union and incorporation due its effect on healing and revascularization [1], the effect of chemotherapy on bone regenerated by distraction osteogenesis is not serious, as shown in the studies done by **Jarka** *et al* (1998) using radiological, histological and chemical tests on the effect of methotrexate on distraction osteogenesis in rats [5].

**Subasi** *et al* (1998) studied the effect of a chemotherapeutic regimen consisting of high dose methotrexate, bleomycin and cyclophosphamide on distraction osteogenesis in rabbits; they observed that these agents have no significant negative effects on distraction osteogenesis [7]. Nevertheless, distraction osteogenesis may potentiate the effect of chemotherapeutic agents, because with distraction osteogenesis the regional blood flow may be kept within the normal range or even increased [6].

Distraction osteogenesis is used in producing unlimited quantities of living bone directly from a special osteotomy or fracture by controlled mechanical distraction. The new bone spontaneously bridges the gap and rapidly remodels to a normal macrostructure for the local bone [18].

The highly modular fixators can direct the new bone formation in any plane, as the distraction osteogenesis always follows the vector of applied force [14, 15]. Age is no limitation as long as the patient has the potential to heal a fracture.



# Aim of the Essay

## Aim of the essay

Distraction osteogenesis has been widely used for the treatment of leg length discrepancy, non- union, traumatic bone defects, deformity and osteomyelitis. Its use in musculoskeletal tumors has not been studied in details. The aim of our study is to review the literature regarding the advantages, applicability, surgical techniques, case selectivity and drawbacks of this technique used to bridge bone defects created following tumor resection.



# **Distraction Osteogenesis**

## **Distraction Osteogenesis**

#### **Definitions**

- <u>Distraction osteogenesis</u> is a method of producing unlimited quantities of living bone stimulated by the application of controlled mechanical distraction to bone, which could occur at the physeal plate or between vascular bone surfaces created by a special osteotomy. [48]
- <u>Corticotomy</u> is a low energy osteotomy of the cortex, planned to preserve the local blood supply to both periosteum and medullary canal. [48]
- <u>Latency</u> is the period of time after a corticotomy when the initial healing response bridges the cut bone surfaces, before initiating distraction. [48]
- <u>Rate</u> is the number of millimeters per day at which the bone surfaces are distracted apart. [48]
- **Rhythm** is the number of distractions per day, in equally divided increments, that total the rate. [48]
- **Bone transport** is the regeneration of intercalary bone defects by distraction osteogenesis. [48]
- <u>Healing index</u> means the number of months from operation to full, unaided weight bearing for each centimeter of new bone length.

  [48]

### Historic background

Alessandro Codivilla, former director of the Rizzoli Institute in Bologna, Italy, is recognized as the father of distraction osteogenesis. In 1905 he reported lengthening shortened extremities by applying traction in stages with a calcanean pin after a femoral osteotomy, he stressed the need to gradually elongate the extremity [48].

In 1912, Codivilla's student **Vittorio Putti** described the "osteoton", a device used to lengthen the femur, after a femoral osteotomy pins were placed in the proximal and distal fragments of the femur and interconnected with a spring loaded mechanism that permitted gradual distraction. He too stressed the need for slow distraction [48].

In 1932, **Haboush** and **Finkelstein** described the use of Kirschner wires rather than pins, they also described a technique of osteotomy designed to maximally preserve the periosteum in order to promote healing [48].

In 1938, **Bosworth** was the first to recommend a post-osteotomy latency period before beginning distraction, he recommended 10 days which is unlike the latency period used today[48].

In 1963, the **Wagner** technique was introduced. <u>The first</u> of three sequential operations included a diaphyseal osteotomy and