



Cairo University

NUMERICAL ANALYSES OF CHEMICAL AND THERMAL STRUCTURE OF MICRO FLAMES

By

Eng. Mahmoud Ashraf Hussein Ali

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT**

2018

NUMERICAL ANALYSES OF CHEMICAL AND THERMAL STRUCTURE OF MICRO FLAMES

By

Eng. Mahmoud Ashraf Hussein Ali

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil

Professor, Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Hatem Kayed Haridy

Lecturer, Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Taher Mohamed Abou-deif

Lecturer, Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

NUMERICAL ANALYSES OF CHEMICAL AND THERMAL STRUCTURE OF MICRO FLAMES

By

Eng. Mahmoud Ashraf Hussein Ali

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil (Thesis Main Advisor and member)
Professor, Mechanical Power Department-Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Hafez Hasanin (Internal Examiner)
Professor, Mechanical Power Department-Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fayk Abd-Rabo (External Examiner)
Professor, Mechanical Power Department-Faculty of Engineering-Banha University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Mahmoud Ashraf Hussein Ali
Date of Birth: 16 /02 /1992
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Eng_mah162@yahoo.com
Phone: 01114625273
Address: 12Abo-Elmaali Street, El-Agoza, Giza
Registration Date: 01 /10 /2014
Awarding Date:/..../2018
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Mechanical Power Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil
Dr. Hatem Kayed Haridy
Dr. Taher Mohamed Abou-deif Ismail

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Abdel-Hafez Hasanin (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fayk (External examiner)
Professor, Mechanical Power Department-Faculty of
Engineering-Banha University

Title of Thesis:

**NUMERICAL ANALYSES OF CHEMICAL AND THERMAL STRUCTURE
OF MICRO FLAMES**

Key Words:

Micro diffusion flame; Laminar hydrogen flame; Heat recirculation; Flame burner interaction; Reaction mechanism

Summary:

The present study presents numerical analyses of chemical and thermal structure for different micro flames formed inside micro tube burner by studying the effect of changing fuel flow velocity, burner material and type of fuel. The present study is performed using ANSYS 17 CFD package to simulate eleven case studies. Reduced Deutschmann chemical reaction mechanism is adopted in this study.

Acknowledgment

Firstly, I would like to thank Almighty ALLAH, whom I owe everything, for his generousness and support through all my life.

I would like to thank Prof. Essam E. Khalil, Dr. Hatem Kayed Haridy and Dr. Taher Mohamed Abou-deif for their guidance and unremitting encouragement. I am grateful to them, and to all my respectful professors, for mentoring me throughout my graduate study.

I extend my gratitude to Eng. Alaa Mohamed Abdel-Raziq for his valuable suggestions and noteworthy discussions. Thanks are also to my colleagues for their encouragement and support.

Finally, I owe a lifelong debt to my parents for their motivation through finishing this thesis and their patience and care and for maintaining a perfect environment for study and research.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgment | v |
| LIST OF TABLES | iv |
| Nomenclature | xi |
| Symbol Quantity | xi |
| Greek Letters | xi |
| Abbreviations | xii |
| Chapter 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Energy density | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Micro-satellite thrusters | 1 |
| 1.1.3 Micro-air vehicles | 2 |
| 1.1.4 Micro reactors | 2 |
| 1.1.5 MEMS and communication systems | 2 |
| 1.1.6 Energy density for various power sources | 3 |
| 1.2 Scaling Parameters of Micro-scale Combustion..... | 4 |
| 1.2.1 Length scale | 4 |
| 1.2.2 Flame quenching diameter scale | 4 |
| 1.2.3 Device scale | 4 |
| 1.3 Development and Challenges of Micro-power Generation | 5 |
| 1.4 Improving Micro-Combustors Efficiencies | 6 |
| 1.5 Challenges in Fundamental Research of Micro-scale Combustion | 8 |
| 1.6 Thesis Outline | 9 |
| Chapter 2 Literature review | 10 |
| 2.1 Literature Investigating Combustion Characteristics of Non-premixed Micro-jet Methane Flame at Different Fuel Flow Velocities | 10 |
| 2.2 Literature Discussing the Effect of Fuel Flow Velocity and Premixed Fuel on Thermal and Chemical Structures of Micro Hydrogen-air Jet Flames | 17 |
| 2.2.1 Influence of fuel flow velocity on the flame height and OH radical concentration | 18 |

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2.2.2 Detailed flame structure formed inside the burner | 19 |
| 2.2.3 Slight premixed effect..... | 20 |
| 2.3 Experimental Study on the Unique Stability Mechanism via Miniaturized Micro Jet Diffusion Flames by Utilizing Preheated Systems | 22 |
| 2.3.1 Role of burner as heat recirculation medium..... | 26 |
| 2.3.2 The effect of slight addition of O ₂ (at T _{air} =920k)..... | 29 |
| 2.4 Scope of Current Work | 31 |
| Chapter 3 Modeling of Micro-Scale Flame | 32 |
| 3.1 Description of the Adopted Experimental System | 32 |
| 3.1.1 Cheng's Experiment Layout | 32 |
| 3.1.2 Instruments used by Cheng..... | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| 3.2 System Modeling | 33 |
| 3.3 Governing Equations..... | 33 |
| 3.3.1 Mass Conservation Equation (Continuity) | 33 |
| 3.3.2 Momentum Equation | 34 |
| 3.3.3 Navier-Stokes Equation | 35 |
| 3.3.4 Energy Equation..... | 35 |
| 3.3.5 Equation of state | 38 |
| 3.4 Heat Transfer for solid | 39 |
| 3.4.1 Conduction heat transfer for a solid..... | 39 |
| 3.4.2 Convective heat transfer to solids | 39 |
| 3.5 Reaction Mechanism..... | 40 |
| Chapter 4 Numerical Investigation Principles | 44 |
| 4.1 Validation of ANSYS and Grid Sensitivity Analysis | 44 |
| 4.1.1 The validation for ANSYS and grid sensitivity analysis using experiment of Cheng..... | 44 |
| 4.1.1.1 Validation input data..... | 44 |
| 4.1.1.2 ANSYS simulation results | 47 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 4.2 Computational Domain Used in Current Study | 57 |
| 4.3 Boundary Conditions Used in Current Study | 58 |
| Chapter 5 Results and discussion..... | 59 |
| 5.1 Effect of Different Chemical Reaction Mechanisms | 59 |
| 5.2 Thermal Structure of Micro-jet Flame under Different Burner Materials... | 67 |
| 5.2.1 Thermal structure of micro low fuel ejecting velocity flame | 67 |
| 5.2.2 Thermal structure of micro high fuel ejecting velocity flame | 71 |
| 5.3 Thermal and Chemical Structure under Different Fuels..... | 74 |
| Chapter 6 Conclusions and Suggested Future Work | 76 |
| 6.1 Conclusions | 76 |
| 6.2 Suggested Future Work..... | 77 |
| References | 78 |
| Appendix A: Deutschmann Reaction Mechanism..... | 83 |
| Appendix B: GRI-MECH 3.0 Reaction Mechanism | 86 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1.1 Definition of micro-scale and mesoscale combustion using different length scales..... | 5 |
| Table 4.1: Series of grid simulations | 43 |
| Table 5.1: Case studies..... | 57 |
| Table 5.2: Reaction mechanisms..... | 57 |
| Table 5.3: Maximum temperature for different cases..... | 69 |
| Table 5.4: Maximum solid tube temperature..... | 71 |
| Table 5.5: Fuel types | 72 |
| Table 5.6: Maximum temperature for case (11) and case (12)..... | 73 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure1. 1: Comparison of specific energy densities of lithium ion batteries with hydrocarbon and oxygenated hydrocarbon fuels as well as different engines [2]. | 3 |
| Figure1. 2: Temperature distributions of the swirling burner and heat recirculation burner | 6 |
| Figure2. 1: Schematic of the experimental system. [54] | 11 |
| Figure2. 2: Physic model, computation domain and boundary conditions. [54] | 12 |
| Figure2. 3: Direct images of micro-jet flames at different fuel flow velocities. (a: 18.3 m/s, b: 7.5 m/s, c: 2.5 m/s, d: 1.2 m/s). [54] | 13 |
| Figure2. 4: Variations of flame height and shape with fuel flow velocity. [54] | 13 |
| Figure2. 5: Variations of flame height with fuel flow velocity at different coflow air velocities. [54] | 14 |
| Figure2. 6: Flammable region of the micro-jet flame at different coflow air velocities. [54] | 15 |
| Figure2.7: The CH distributions of flames at different velocities by experiments (upper) and computations. (a: 5 m/s, b: 2.5 m/s, c: 1.2 m/s, experimental and numerical results are shown in the same scale) [54] | 16 |
| Figure2. 8: The OH distributions of flames at different velocities by experiments (upper) and computations (lower). (a: 5 m/s, b: 2.5 m/s, c: 1.2 m/s) [54] | 16 |
| Figure 2.9 :schematic view of computational domain and boundary conditions [55] | 18 |
| Figure2. 10: Effect of applied fuel flow velocity on the flame height (vertical lines stands for stoichiometric mixture fraction, $\zeta_{st} = 0.0284$) and the distribution of mass fraction of OH along the axis. [55] | 19 |
| Figure2. 11: 2-D temperature and mass fraction of H-atom distributions for different fuel ejecting velocities ((a) $u_{in} = 2.5$ m/s and (b) $u_{in} = 0.25$ m/s) [55] | 20 |
| Figure2. 12: Comparisons of 2-D profiles of reaction rates [kgmol/m ³ s] (a–d), temperature [K] (e), and mass fraction of H ₂ O ₂ (f) with/without O ₂ addition cases (left: 5% of O ₂ is added into the fuel flow, right: pure hydrogen is used as the fuel). [55] | 21 |
| Figure2. 13: Schematic illustration of experimental apparatus [56] | 23 |
| Figure2. 14: Direct photograph of the micro flames under three preheated air temperature conditions ($T_{air} = 293$ K, 570 K, 920 K) and various fuel flow rates [56] | 24 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 2. 15: Definitions of the quenching distance (L_q) and the flame height (L_f) ($T_{air} = 293\text{ K}$) [56]..... | 24 |
| Figure2. 16:Relationship between minimum fuel flow rate and thermal conductivity of burner wall ($T_{air} = 293\text{ K}$) [56] | 25 |
| Figure2. 17: Relationship between the limiting value of fuel flow rate (V_{Lf}) and the imposed preheated-air temperature, T_{air} [56] | 25 |
| Figure2. 18: Relationship between the limiting flame height and the limiting quenching distance under all tested conditions considered in this study [54]..... | 26 |
| Figure2. 19: Typical temperature distribution of micro-flames under two preheated air temperature conditions ((I) $T_{air} = 293\text{ K}$ and (II) $T_{air} = 670\text{ K}$) [56]..... | 27 |
| Figure2. 20Variation of conductive heat flux against the imposed fuel flow rate under three preheated air temperature conditions ($T_{air} = 293\text{ K}, 500\text{ K}, 670\text{ K}$) [56] | 28 |
| Figure2. 21: Temperature at the burner tip (left: T_w , right: $T_w - T_{air}$) [56]..... | 29 |
| Figure2. 22: Direct image of flames with/without the slight premixing effect at 920 K of preheated air temperature (a) w/o premixing and (b) 7.3% of O_2 added to the fuel [56] | 30 |
| Figure2. 23: Effect of slight premixing on minimum fuel flow rate and flame luminosity at the extinction under 920 K of preheated air temperature [56]..... | 30 |
| Figure3. 1: Schematic diagram of UV Raman/LIPF system [57]..... | 32 |
| Figure4. 1: Coordinate system. | 45 |
| Figure4. 2: Grid system for (a)Case 1 simulation, (b) Case 2 simulation and (c) Case 3 simulation..... | 46 |
| Figure4. 3: N_2 concentration variation with radial distance at $X=50\text{ mm}$ | 47 |
| Figure4. 4: H_2O concentration variation with radial distance at $X=50\text{ mm}$ | 48 |
| Figure4. 5: O_2 concentration variation with radial distance at $X=50\text{ mm}$ | 48 |
| Figure4. 6: H_2 concentration variation with radial distance at $X=50\text{ mm}$ | 49 |
| Figure4. 7: Hydroxyl radical concentrations (OH) variation with radial distance at $X=50\text{ mm}$ | 49 |
| Figure4. 8: Temperature variation with radial distance at $X=50\text{ mm}$ | 50 |
| Figure4. 9: N_2 concentration variation with radial distance at $X=25\text{ mm}$ | 50 |
| Figure4. 10: H_2O concentration variation with radial distance at $X=25\text{ mm}$ | 51 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure4. 11: O ₂ concentration variation with radial distance at X=25 mm..... | 51 |
| Figure4. 12: H ₂ concentration variation with radial distance at X=25 mm..... | 52 |
| Figure4. 13: Hydroxyl radical concentrations (OH) variation with radial distance at X=25mm. | 52 |
| Figure4. 14: Temperature variation with radial distance at X=25mm..... | 53 |
| Figure4. 15: N ₂ concentration variation with radial distance at X=5 mm..... | 53 |
| Figure4. 16: H ₂ O concentration variation with radial distance at X=5 mm..... | 54 |
| Figure4. 17: O ₂ concentration variation with radial distance at X=5 mm..... | 54 |
| Figure4. 18: H ₂ concentration variation with radial distance at X=5 mm..... | 55 |
| Figure4. 19: Hydroxyl radical concentrations (OH) variation with radial distance at X=5mm. | 55 |
| Figure4. 20: Hydroxyl radical concentrations (OH) variation with radial distance at X=5mm. | 56 |
| Figure4. 21: LIPF-OH image and computed OH concentration..... | 56 |
| Figure4. 22: Schematic illustration of computational domain with boundary conditions..... | 57 |
| | |
| Figure5. 1: Comparisons of the computed and measured N ₂ and H ₂ concentrations at X=5 mm. | 60 |
| Figure5. 2: Comparisons of the computed and measured O ₂ and H ₂ O concentrations at X=5 mm. | 60 |
| Figure5. 3: Comparisons of the computed and measured OH concentration at X=5 mm..... | 61 |
| Figure5. 4: Comparisons of the computed and measured temperature at X=5 mm. | 61 |
| Figure5. 5: Comparisons of the computed and measured N ₂ concentrations at X=25 mm. | 62 |
| Figure5. 6: Comparisons of the computed and measured H ₂ , H ₂ O and O ₂ concentrations at X=25 mm. | 62 |
| Figure5. 7: Comparisons of the computed and measured OH concentration at X=25 mm. | 63 |
| Figure5. 8: Comparisons of the computed and measured temperature at X=25 mm. | 63 |
| Figure5. 9: Comparisons of the computed and measured N ₂ concentrations at X=50 mm. | 64 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure5. 10: Comparisons of the computed and measured H ₂ , H ₂ O and O ₂ concentrations at X=50 mm. | 64 |
| Figure5. 11: Comparisons of the computed and measured OH concentration at X=50 mm. | 65 |
| Figure5. 12: Comparisons of the computed and measured temperature at X=50 mm. | 65 |
| Figure5. 13: Temperature distribution and radical concentration using Case (1) Deutschmann reaction mechanism and Case (2)GRI-MECH 3.0 | 66 |
| Figure5. 14: 2-D temperature distributions for different burner materials; case (3) titanium, (4) stainless steel, (5) aluminum and (6) copper. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 5 m/s..... | 67 |
| Figure5. 15: Flame structure near burner port; case (3) titanium burner, (4) stainless steel burner, (5) aluminum burner and (6) copper burner | 68 |
| Figure5. 16: Computational axial flame temperature at different burner materials along axial axis. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 5 m/s | 69 |
| Figure5. 17: Computational temperature distribution of solid tube for different burner materials; case (3) titanium, (4) stainless steel, (5) aluminum and (6) copper. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 5 m/s | 70 |
| Figure5. 18: Computational axial temperature of solid tube for different burner materials. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 5 m/s | 70 |
| Figure5. 19: 2-D temperature distributions for different burner materials; Case (7) titanium, (8) stainless steel, (9) aluminum and (10) copper. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 36 m/s..... | 71 |
| Figure5. 20: Computational temperature distribution of solid tube for different burner materials; Case (7) titanium, (8) stainless steel, (9) aluminum and (10) copper. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 36 m/s | 72 |
| Figure5. 21: Computational axial flame temperature at different burner materials. Adopted fuel ejecting velocity 36 m/s | 73 |
| Figure5. 22: comparison between temperature distributions and radical concentration for case (1) and case (11)..... | 74 |

Nomenclature

Symbol Quantity

| | |
|-------------|---|
| c_p | Constant pressure specific heat, (J/Kg.K) |
| c_s | Solid material specific heat, (J/Kg.K) |
| g | Acceleration of gravity, (m/sec ²) |
| h | Enthalpy; heat transfer coefficient, (J/Kg) |
| K | Thermal conductivity, (W/m.C ^O) |
| L | Length scale, (m) |
| M_α | Molecular weight of gas species α , (Kg/Kmole) |
| \dot{m}_a | Air mass flow rate, (Kg/sec) |
| \dot{m}_f | Fuel mass flow rate, (Kg/sec) |
| n_x | Number of grid cells in x-direction |
| n_y | Number of grid cells in y-direction |
| p | Pressure, (Pa) |
| R | Universal gas constant, (J/Kg.K) |
| Re | Reynolds number |
| T | Temperature, (K) |
| T_w | Temperature at the wall, (K) |
| t | Time, (sec) |
| x | (x, y, z) Position vector, (m) |
| X_α | Volume fraction of species α |
| Y_α | Mass fraction of species α |

Greek Letters

| | |
|-----------|---------------------------|
| ∇ | Gradient |
| λ | Wave length |
| μ | Dynamic viscosity |
| ρ | Density |
| σ | Stefan-Boltzmann constant |

Superscripts and Subscripts

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Δ | Change interval of any property |
| ∞ | Ambient property |
| f | Fuel property |
| flow | Flow property |
| g | Gas property |
| ij | Indicates two different Cartesian coordinates |
| w | Wall property |
| $\alpha_{x,y}$ | Property for species α |

Abbreviations

| | |
|------|---|
| CFD | Computational Fluid Dynamics |
| HCCI | Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition |
| MEMS | Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems |
| SSME | Space Shuttle Main Engine |