

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

BEHAVIORAL MODELING AND VERIFICATION OF CHARGE PUMP DC-DC CONVERTERS

By

Eng.: Dalia Hany Mohamed El-Ebiary

B. Sc. Electronics and Communication Engineering 1999

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

M. Sc. in Electronics Engineering

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Hassan Ahmed El-Ghitani Prof. Dr. Ismail Mohamed Hafez Dr. Mohamed A. Dessouky

Cairo, 2008

Name: Dalia Hany Mohamed El-Ebiary

Thesis: Behavioral Modeling and Verification of Charge Pump DC-DC Converters

Degree: Master of Science in Electronics Engineering

Referees committee

Title, Title and Affiliation

Signature

Date: / /2008

STATEMENT

This thesis "Behavioral Modeling and Verification of Charge

Pump DC-DC Converters" is submitted to Ain Shams

University for the degree of Master of Science in Electronics

Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in

the Department of Communication and Electronics Engineering,

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a

qualification at any other University or Institution.

Name: Dalia Hany Mohamed El-Ebiary

Signature:

Date:

C.V.

Name of Researcher: Dalia Hany Mohamed El-Ebiary

Date of Birth: 15 December 1976

Place of Birth: Kuwait

First University Degree: B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering

Name of University: Ain Shams University

Date of Degree: June 1999

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Hassan El-Ghitani and Dr. Mohamed A. Dessouky.

Special thanks are due to Eng. Maged Fikry from Mentor Graphics, for his encouragement and guidance throughout my research work and my career. He has been my mentor since I graduated and I am particularly grateful to the advice both technical and personal that he has given me over the years.

Thanks to Mentor Graphics Egypt for supporting this thesis and providing the machines and tools used in simulations.

Special thanks and gratitude to my father and mother, for emphasizing to me the importance of continuing my education and encouraging me to complete this degree.

Finally, my deepest gratitude and most sincere thanks are for my husband, Asser Essam. Without his understanding and support and encouragement this wouldn't have been possible.

ABSTRACT

Complex systems today are becoming more and more mixed-signal, with the analog part being the design and verification bottle neck. Traditionally, the virtual verification for the analog part of the design was only available through transistor-level SPICE simulation. Lately, behavioral modeling has been proved to be the right methodology to cope with today's design and verification demands.

Some behavioral models of complex systems consume a lot of time during simulation due to reasons such as high switching rates or many operating frequencies. An example of such circuits is the family of switched-capacitor DC-DC converters, also known as charge pumps.

Our target is to study the different behavioral modeling techniques which, when deployed, enable the speed up of the simulation time of such circuits, while preserving accuracy. In this thesis we will also present an average modeling technique that concentrates on the information bearing signal of low frequency, instead of the detailed transient higher frequency signal, thereby greatly relaxing the simulation time-step, and gaining the same information in a shorter time. A real-life design is used to verify the presented methodology.

Table of Contents

| CHAP | TEF | R 1 | 1 |
|-------------|------------|---|----|
| INTRO | וומכ | CTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | | otivation | |
| 1.1 | | ontributions | |
| 1.3 | | esis Outline | |
| 1.3 1.4 | | blications | |
| | | R 2 | |
| Вена | VIO | RAL MODELING IN MIXED-SIGNAL DESIGN | 6 |
| 2.1 | | roduction | |
| 2.2 | | ndamental Concepts | |
| 2.2 | 2.1 | A/MS Systems | |
| 2.2 | 2.2 | SoC | |
| 2.2 | 2.3 | Functional Verification | |
| 2.2 | 2.4 | Modeling | |
| 2.2 | 2.5 | Behavioral Modeling | |
| 2.2 | 2.6 | HDLs | |
| 2.3 | Th | e Challenge of Mixed-Signal Design | 13 |
| 2.4 | Th | e Role of Behavioral Modeling in Top-Down Design | 17 |
| 2.4 | 4.1 | Step 1: System Level Simulation | 20 |
| 2.4 | 4.2 | Step 2: System Decomposition | 20 |
| 2.4 | 4.3 | Step 3: Block Specification | 21 |
| 2.5 | Th | e Role of Behavioral Modeling in Bottom-Up Verification | 21 |
| 2.5 | 5.1 | Step 1: Cell Implementation and Characterization | 23 |
| 2.5 | 5.2 | Step 2: Detailed Model Development | 23 |
| 2.5 | 5.3 | Step 3: Model Calibration | 24 |
| 2.5 | 5.4 | Step 4: Sub-System Verification | 25 |
| 2.6 | To | p-Down Design & Bottom-Up Verification | 25 |
| 2.7 | Pr | oblems Facing the Adoption of Behavioral Models | 27 |
| 2.8 | | e need for a library of models | |
| 2.9 | Be | havioral Model Creation | 29 |
| 2.10 | Be | havioral Modeling Techniques | |
| 2.1 | 10.1 | 'SPICE' Macro-Modeling | 31 |
| 2.1 | 10.2 | Equation-Based Modeling | 33 |
| 2.1 | 10.3 | Physically Inspired | |
| | 10.4 | Curve Fitted | |
| | 10.5 | Table Based Modeling | |
| | 10.6 | Empirical Modeling | |
| | 10.7 | Event Driven Modeling. | |
| 2 11 | R_{ρ} | havioral Model Validation | 37 |

| 2.11.1 | Unit Testing | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 2.11.2 | Characterization Benches | 39 |
| 2.11.3 | System Testing | |
| 2.11.4 | Regression Testing | 40 |
| CHAPTER | 23 | 42 |
| SWITCHE | D-CAPACITOR DC-DC VOLTAGE CONVERTERS | 42 |
| | roduction | |
| 3.2 The | e Need for Switched-Capacitor DC-DC Converters | 42 |
| | vantages of Switched-Capacitor DC-DC Converters | |
| | ndamental Concepts | |
| 3.4.1 | Charge transfer using capacitors | |
| 3.4.2 | Charging a capacitor from a Voltage Source | |
| | itched-Capacitor Voltage Converter Architectures | |
| | o Phase Voltage Doubler (TPVD) Basic Principle of Operation | |
| | o Phase Voltage Inverter Basic Principle of Operation | |
| 3.8 Tw | o Phase Push-Pull Basic Principle of Operation | 57 |
| CHAPTER | R 4 | 60 |
| BEHAVIO | RAL MODELING OF | 60 |
| | D CAPACITOR DC-DC CONVERTERS | |
| | roduction | |
| | ansistor Models | |
| | tailed Behavioral Models | |
| 4.3.1 | Switch Implementation techniques | |
| 4.3.1. | | |
| 4.3.1. | | |
| 4.3.1. | | |
| 4.3.1. | | |
| 4.3.1. | | |
| 4.3.1. | \mathcal{E} | |
| | arge Pump Model Implementation | |
| 4.4.1 | Voltage Doubler | |
| 4.4.2 | Voltage Inverter | |
| 4.4.3 | Push-Pull Voltage Doubler | |
| | erage Behavioral Models | |
| 4.5.1 | Voltage Doubler Average Model Analysis | 87 |
| 4.5.2 | Voltage Doubler Average Model | |
| 4.5.3 | Voltage Inverter Average Model Analysis | |
| | Voltage Inverter Average Model | |
| 4.5.5 | Push-Pull Voltage Doubler Average Model Analysis | |
| 4.5.6 | Push-Pull Voltage Doubler Average Model | |
| CHAPTER | 2 5 | 103 |
| EXPERIM | ENTAL RESULTS | 103 |

| 5.1 | Introduction | |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.2 | Voltage Doubler | 103 |
| 5.3 | Voltage Inverter | |
| 5.4 | Push-Pull Voltage Doubler | 107 |
| 5.5 | Charge Pump Voltage Converter Circuit | |
| 5.5 | | |
| 5.5 | | 115 |
| 5.5 | 5.3 Average Behavioral Description | 117 |
| 5.5 | | |
| CHAP | ΓER 6 | 126 |
| Conc | LUSION | 126 |
| 6.1 | Summary | |
| 6.2 | Conclusion | |
| 6.3 | Future Work | |
| APPE | NDIX A | 130 |
| REFE | RENCES | 137 |

Table of Figures

| FIGURE 2. 1 TOP-DOWN DESIGN PYRAMID | 18 |
|---|----|
| FIGURE 2. 2 TOP-DOWN DESIGN GAP | 18 |
| FIGURE 2. 3 EXAMPLE OF A TOP-LEVEL SYSTEM. | 20 |
| FIGURE 2. 4 EXAMPLE OF A SUB-SYSTEM | 20 |
| FIGURE 2. 5 EXAMPLE OF A SUB-SYSTEM | 21 |
| FIGURE 2. 6 MIXING LEVELS OF ABSTRACTION | 23 |
| FIGURE 2. 7 DETAILED BEHAVIORAL MODEL | 24 |
| FIGURE 2. 8 MODEL CALIBRATION | 24 |
| FIGURE 2. 9 TOP-DOWN DESIGN & BOTTOM-UP VERIFICATION FLOW | 25 |
| FIGURE 2. 10 DIFFERENT ABSTRACTION LEVELS | 30 |
| FIGURE 2. 11 DIFFERENT ABSTRACTION LEVELS | 31 |
| FIGURE 2. 12 MACROMODEL DESCRIPTION | 32 |
| FIGURE 2. 13 VCO TUNING CURVES | 34 |
| FIGURE 2.10.1 VCO TUNING CURVE TABLE BASED MODEL | 35 |
| FIGURE 2.10.2 MICROSTRIP EMPIRICAL MODELS | 36 |
| FIGURE 3. 1 IDEAL AND ACTUAL CAPACITOR MODEL | 45 |
| FIGURE 3. 2 CHARGING IDEAL AND ACTUAL CAPACITORS | 46 |
| FIGURE 3. 3 CHARGE SHARING CAPACITORS | 47 |
| FIGURE 3. 4 SIMPLE CHARGE PUMP CIRCUIT | 48 |
| FIGURE 3. 5 CONTINUOUSLY SWITCHING CHARGE PUMP | 49 |
| FIGURE 3. 6 COCKCROFT-WALTON MULTIPLYING CIRCUIT | 50 |
| FIGURE 3. 7 COCKCROFT-WALTON MULTIPLYING CIRCUIT USING DIODES | 51 |
| FIGURE 3. 8 DICKSON CHARGE PUMP WITH DIODE-CAPACITOR IMPLEMENTATION | 51 |
| FIGURE 3. 9 MOSFET IMPLEMENTATION OF DICKSON CHARGE PUMP | 52 |
| FIGURE 3. 10 MAKOWSKI CHARGE PUMP | 53 |
| FIGURE 3. 11 IDEAL CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER | 54 |
| FIGURE 3. 12 NON-IDEAL CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER | 55 |
| FIGURE 3. 13 NON-IDEAL CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER | 56 |
| FIGURE 3. 14 PUSH-PULL CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER | 58 |
| FIGURE 4. 1 TANH FUNCTION | 68 |
| FIGURE 4. 2 RESCALED TANH FUNCTION | 68 |
| FIGURE 4. 3 CUBIC SPLINE FUNCTION | 71 |
| FIGURE 4. 4 SCALED CUBIC SPLINE | 71 |
| FIGURE 4. 5 DIFFERENT SWITCH IMPLEMENTATIONS | 74 |
| FIGURE 4. 6 IDEAL VOLTAGE DOUBLER SIMULATION | 77 |
| FIGURE 4. 7 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER SIMULATION FOR DIFFERENT LOADS | 78 |
| FIGURE 4. 8 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER SIMULATION FOR DIFFERENT SWITCH C |)N |
| RESISTANCES | 79 |
| FIGURE 4. 9 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE INVERTER SIMULATION FOR DIFFERENT LOADS | 81 |
| FIGURE 4. 10 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE INVERTER SIMULATION FOR DIFFERENT SWITCH | |
| ON RESISTANCES | 82 |

| FIGURE 4. 11 COMPARISON BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL VOLTAGE DOUBLER AND PUSH- |
|---|
| Pull Architectures |
| FIGURE 4. 12 NON-IDEAL CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER |
| FIGURE 4. 13 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE DOUBLER: AVERAGE EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT 90 |
| FIGURE 4. 14 NON-IDEAL CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE INVERTER |
| FIGURE 4. 15 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE INVERTER: AVERAGE EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT 94 |
| FIGURE 4. 16 PUSH-PULL VOLTAGE DOUBLER |
| FIGURE 4. 17 PUSH-PULL VOLTAGE DOUBLER: AVERAGE EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT |
| FIGURE 5. 1 RESULTS FOR VOLTAGE DOUBLER (CIRCUIT-LIKE) VERSES AVERAGE MODEL 104 |
| FIGURE 5. 3 RESULTS FOR PUSH-PULL DOUBLER CONVENTIONAL VERSES AVERAGE MODEL |
| |
| FIGURE 5. 4 SIMPLE DIAGRAM FOR ST MICROELECTRONICS CHARGE-PUMP CIRCUIT 110 |
| FIGURE 5. 5 TEST CIRCUIT FOR THE CHARGE PUMP CIRCUIT AND MODELS |
| FIGURE 5. 6 PRACTICAL EXAMPLE: ST MICROELECTRONICS PROPRIETARY - PUBLICATION |
| OF THE SCHEMATICS AUTHORIZED FRANCESCO PULVIRENTI, DESIGN DIRECTOR OF |
| DISPLAY DIVISION – STMICROELECTRONICS (APRIL 2007) |
| FIGURE 5. 7 CHARGE PUMP AVERAGE EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT |
| FIGURE 5. 8 RESULTS FOR VOLTAGE CONVERTER CIRCUIT VERSES CIRCUIT-LIKE |
| BEHAVIORAL MODELS |
| FIGURE 5. 9 VOLTAGE CONVERTER CIRCUIT VS. CIRCUIT-LIKE BEHAVIORAL MODEL WITH |
| OVERLAPPING PHASES |
| FIGURE 5. 10 RESULTS FOR VOLTAGE CONVERTER CIRCUIT VERSES AVERAGE BEHAVIORAL |
| MODEL |

Table of Tables

| TABLE 5. 1 VOLTAGE DOUBLER: ACCURACY COMPARISON FOR DIFFERENT RLOAD | . 105 |
|---|-------|
| TABLE 5. 2 VOLTAGE DOUBLER: SIMULATION STATISTICS | . 105 |
| TABLE 5. 3 VOLTAGE INVERTER: ACCURACY COMPARISON FOR DIFFERENT RLOAD | . 107 |
| TABLE 5. 4 VOLTAGE INVERTER: SIMULATION STATISTICS | . 107 |
| TABLE 5. 5 PUSH-PULL: ACCURACY COMPARISON FOR DIFFERENT RLOAD AND RON; | |
| fclk=20kHz | . 109 |
| TABLE 5. 6 PUSH-PULL: ACCURACY COMPARISON FOR DIFFERENT RLOAD AND RON; | |
| FCLK=250KHz | . 109 |
| TABLE 5. 7 PUSH-PULL: SIMULATION STATISTICS; FCLK=20KHZ | . 109 |
| TABLE 5. 8 PUSH-PULL: SIMULATION STATISTICS; FCLK=250KHZ | . 110 |
| TABLE 5. 9 TRANSISTOR-LEVEL ELEMENTS | . 113 |
| TABLE 5. 10 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE CONVERTER CIRCUIT VS. CIRCUIT-LIKE MODEL: | |
| SIMULATION STATISTICS | . 122 |
| TABLE 5. 11 CHARGE PUMP VOLTAGE CONVERTER CIRCUIT VS. AVERAGE MODEL: | |
| SIMULATION STATISTICS | . 124 |

Chapter 1 Introduction

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The growing competition among chip makers has made first time silicon success a key requirement. To achieve this, SoC designers are always in search for means to minimize risks and to verify their systems at less critical stages within their design cycle. Combining the complexity of digital verification with the increasing integration of more sophisticated analog circuits, the problem is getting exponentially worse. It is no longer wise to divide a design into analog and digital parts and make separate simulations for each. This is why mixed-signal simulation has become the solution adopted by many design houses to improve their verification methodologies. The complete system is simulated to verify the system functionality with respect to the target system specifications.

To succeed in simulating large and complex systems, some blocks need to be simplified using mixed-signal HDLs. An example of a typical sub-system that needs modeling is the Switched-capacitor or charge pump voltage converter circuit. This circuit is used in many applications that require multiple derived supply voltages, such as LCD drivers and many other portable applications. Its popularity stems from its low cost, small size and inductorless and fairly simple design. Complex systems such as LCD drivers are almost impossible to simulate at the system level due to their large size and complex functionality. The charge pump is one of the most active blocks in such systems, as it is